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#### Abstract

In this paper, first we study the harmonicity of the functions and forms on the twisted products, and then we determine its sectional curvature. We explore some characteristics of static perfect fluid and static vacuum spacetimes on twisted product manifolds by proving the existence and obstructions on Ricci curvature. Finally, we study the problem of the existence static perfect fluid spacetime associated with the twisted generalized Robertson-Walker and standard static spacetime metrics.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent years, various properties of different kind of product manifolds have been studied in both Riemannian and Lorentzian settings. While warped product manifolds are the most ideal example that provides Einstein's field equations and characterizes the universe, twisted products offer a much more realistic characterization in this regard $[3,9,19]$. The most important reason for this, twisted products are introduced as a generalization of warped products and their twisting functions are defined on the points of both base and fiber. It was proved [20] that if $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ are two canonical foliations of the product manifold ( $M=$ $M_{1} \times M_{2}, g$ ), whose leaves intersect perpendicularly, the leaves of $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ are totally geodesic and the leaves of $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ are totally umbilic, then $(M, g)$ is isometric to a twisted product $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$. In [14], it was proved that a mixed Ricci-flat twisted product semi-Riemannian manifold (i.e., the product manifold satisfying $\operatorname{Ric}(X, V)=0$, for all $X \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $V \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ ) can be expressed as a warped product. Very recently, Chen proved that the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the torqued vector field on an $m$-dimensional semiRiemannian manifold $M$ is that the manifold $M$ can be expressed as a twisted product $I \times_{f} M^{*}$, where $M^{*}$ is an $(m-1)$-dimensional manifold, [8]. Moreover, in [18], the Ricci tensor of the twisted product RobertsonWalker and generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes were characterized in terms of the stress-energy tensor of an imperfect fluid. For more, see $[7,17,16]$ In the present paper, we study the harmonicity of the functions and arbitrary $p$-forms on the twisted products by proving the existence and obstructions on curvature. The next purpose of this paper is to study and explore some characteristics of static perfect fluid spacetimes on twisted product manifolds. Static perfect fluids spacetimes are special global solutions of Einstein's equations that show the relationship between matter content and spacetime in general relativity, [10, 11, 21].
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## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, all geometric objects of this paper are assumed to be smooth and connected. In this section, we give the notation and basic formulas for the Levi-Civita connection, the Riemannian curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of the twisted products that will be used in the proofs of our main results.

Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and ( $M_{2}, g_{2}$ ) be two semi-Riemannian manifolds of dimensions $m_{i}, i=1,2$. Let $\pi: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow$ $M_{1}$ and $\sigma: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}$ be the canonical projections. Then twisted product manifold ( $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ) of $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ is the product manifold $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ equipped with the metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\pi^{*} g_{1}+f^{2} \sigma^{*} g_{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is called the twisting function. If $f$ only depends on the points of $M_{1}$, then $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ reduces to a warped product.
Notation 2.1. For the sake of simplicity, from now on, all relations will be written, without involving the projection maps from $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ to each component $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ as in $g=g_{1} \oplus f^{2} g_{2}$. Moreover, all objects such as Levi-Civita connection ${ }^{i} \nabla$, Riemannian curvature tensor $R_{i}$, Ricci tensor Ric ${ }_{i}$ etc. having the indices or powers $i$ denote the objects of the manifold $\left(M_{i}, g_{i}\right)$, where $i=1,2$. Also, all non-index and non-power objects are considered to belong to the twisted product manifold.

Let $\mathfrak{L}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$ be the set of lifts of vector fields on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ to $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ respectively, and we denote the same symbol for the vector fields and their lifts. Also, let $k=\ln f$. By using the similar computations of [19, p. 206-211], we find the components of the Levi-Civita connection, Riemannian tensor, Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of the twisted product $\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$. We skip the proofs that are long but straightforward, as is the case of warped product manifolds.
Lemma 2.1. [15] Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $U, V \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then:
(1) $\nabla_{X} Y={ }^{1} \nabla_{X} Y$,
(2) $\nabla_{X} V=\nabla_{V} X=X(k) V$,
(3) $\nabla_{U} V={ }^{2} \nabla_{U} V+U(k) V+V(k) U-g(U, V) \nabla k$.

Now, for a smooth function $\varphi$ on a twisted product $\left(M=M_{1} \times f_{1} M_{2}, g\right)$, we define, $h_{1}^{\varphi}(X, Y)=X Y(\varphi)-$ $\left({ }^{1} \nabla_{X} Y\right)(\varphi)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $h_{2}^{\varphi}(U, V)=U V(\varphi)-\left({ }^{2} \nabla_{U} V\right)(\varphi)$ for all $U, V \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then using Lemma 1, the Hessian tensor $h^{\varphi}$ of $\varphi$ on a twisted product ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f_{1}} M_{2}, g$ ) satisfies [15]

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{\varphi}(X, Y) & =h_{1}^{\varphi}(X, Y)  \tag{2.2}\\
h^{\varphi}(U, V) & =h_{2}^{\varphi}(U, V)-U(k) V(\varphi)-V(k) U(\varphi)+g(U, V) g(\nabla k, \nabla \varphi)  \tag{2.3}\\
h^{\varphi}(X, U) & =-X(k) U(\varphi) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The following formula is also useful.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{k}=h^{\ln f}=\frac{1}{f} h^{f}-\frac{1}{f^{2}} d f \otimes d f \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ be the lifts of curvature tensors of $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and ( $M_{2}, g_{2}$ ), respectively and $R$ be the curvature tensor of the twisted product $\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$. Then by Lemma 2.1 and equations (2.2) - (2.4), we have the following relations:
Lemma 2.2. [15] Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z & =R_{1}(X, Y) Z  \tag{2.6}\\
R(X, Y) U & =0  \tag{2.7}\\
R(X, V) Y & =\left[h_{1}^{k}(X, Y)+X(k) Y(k)\right] V  \tag{2.8}\\
R(V, W) X & =V X(k) W-W X(k) V  \tag{2.9}\\
R(X, V) W & =X W(k) V-X(k) g(V, W) \nabla k-g(V, W) \mathrm{H}^{k}(X)  \tag{2.10}\\
R(U, V) W & =R_{2}(V, W) U+\mathrm{h}_{2}^{k}(V, U) W-\mathrm{h}_{2}^{k}(W, U) V \\
& +W(k) U(k) V-V(k) U(k) W-g(U, W) V(k) \nabla k  \tag{2.11}\\
& +g(U, V) W(k) \nabla k+g(U, V) \mathrm{H}^{k}(W)-g(U, W) \mathrm{H}^{k}(V)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{h}^{k}(\cdot, \cdot)=g\left(\mathrm{H}^{k}(\cdot), \cdot\right)$.

Now, let $\operatorname{Ric}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{2}$ be the lifts of Ricci tensors of $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$, respectively and Ric be the Ricci tensor of the twisted product $\left(M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$. Then using the equations (2.2) - (2.11), we have the following relations:
Lemma 2.3. [15] Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $U, V \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then the components of the Ricci tensor of the twisted product ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ) are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Ric}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Ric}_{1}(X, Y)-\frac{m_{2}}{f} h_{1}^{f}(X, Y)  \tag{2.12}\\
& \operatorname{Ric}(X, V)=\left(1-m_{2}\right) X V(k)=0  \tag{2.13}\\
& \quad \operatorname{Ric}(U, V)=\operatorname{Ric}_{2}(U, V)+\frac{\left(2-m_{2}\right)}{f} h_{2}^{f}(U, V)  \tag{2.14}\\
& +\frac{2\left(m_{2}-2\right)}{f^{2}}(d f \otimes d f)(U, V)-\left(\frac{1}{f} \Delta f-\frac{1}{f^{2}} g(\nabla f, \nabla f)\right) g(U, V)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta$ denotes the Laplacian on $M$ and $m_{i}=\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{i}\right)$.

## 3. Harmonicity of Functions on Twisted Products

In this section, we deal with harmonicity on twisted product manifolds. We recall that any smooth function $\varphi$ on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is harmonic if its Laplacian $\Delta \varphi$ vanishes identically. If $\nabla$ denotes the LeviCivita connection of $g$ and $\left\{E_{j}\right\}_{j}$ is an orthonormal frame on $M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi=\operatorname{trace}(\operatorname{Hess} \varphi)=\operatorname{trace}(\nabla d \varphi)=E_{j} E_{j}(\varphi)-\left(\nabla_{E_{j}} E_{j}\right) \varphi, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Hess} \varphi(X, Y)=g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla \varphi, Y\right)$ denotes the Hessian of $\varphi$, for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$. Equivalently, $\Delta \varphi=$ $\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} \varphi)$. The divergence of a vector field $X \in \chi(M)$ is given by $\operatorname{div} X=g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{E_{j}} X, E_{j}\right)$ and the gradient of $\varphi$ is defined by $\operatorname{grad} \varphi=\nabla \varphi=(d \varphi)^{\sharp}$, where $\sharp$ denotes the musical isomorphism with respect to $g$.

Also, note that for any function $\varphi$, the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m}{\varphi} \mathrm{H}^{\varphi}=\mathrm{H}^{m \ln \varphi}+\frac{1}{m} \mathrm{~d}(m \ln \varphi) \otimes \mathrm{d}(m \ln \varphi), \quad m \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the next statement, we need the following:
Remark 3.1. If $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{1}}$ and $\left\{u_{l}\right\}_{l_{1}}^{m_{2}}$ denote the orthonormal frames on the manifolds $M_{i}$ with respect to $g_{i}(i=1,2)$ respectively, then $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{1}}$ and $\left\{\frac{u_{l}}{f}\right\}_{l_{1}}^{m_{2}}$ are respectively orthonormal frames on $M_{i}(i=1,2)$ all with respect to $g$. Therefore, $\left\{E_{j}\right\}_{j_{1}}^{n}=\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{1}} \bigcup\left\{\frac{u_{l}}{f}\right\}_{l_{1}}^{m_{2}}$ is an orthonormal frame on the twisted product manifold $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ with respect to $g$.

Now, we deal with the Laplacian, which is one of the most used operator in both PDE and Differential Geometry.
Proposition 3.1. Let $\left(M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ be a twisted product manifold. Then for any smooth function $\varphi$ on $M$, one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi=\Delta_{1} \varphi+\frac{1}{f^{2}} \Delta_{2} \varphi+\left(m_{2}-\frac{2}{f^{2}}\right) g(\nabla k, \nabla \varphi) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Remark 3.1 and (3.1) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \varphi & =E_{j} E_{j}(\varphi)-\left(\nabla_{E_{j}} E_{j}\right) \varphi  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\left[e_{i} e_{i}(\varphi)-\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right) \varphi\right]+\frac{1}{f^{2}}\left[u_{l} u_{l}(\varphi)-\left(\nabla_{u_{l}} u_{l}\right) \varphi\right]
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\Delta \varphi=\Delta_{1} \varphi+\frac{1}{f^{2}}\left[u_{l} u_{l}(\varphi)-\left(\nabla_{u_{l}}^{2} u_{l}\right) \varphi\right]-\frac{2}{f^{2}} u_{l}(k) u_{l}(\varphi)+m_{2} \nabla k(\varphi)
$$

which gives (3.3) by straightforward computation, that complete the proof.

As consequences of (3.3), we obtain the following:
Proposition 3.2. If ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ) is a twisted product manifold, then for any smooth function $\varphi_{1}$ on $M_{1}$, one has:
(i) $\varphi_{1}$ is harmonic on $(M, g)$ if and only if $\Delta_{1} \varphi_{1}=\left(m_{2}-\frac{2}{f^{2}}\right) g(\nabla k, \nabla \varphi)$;
(ii) Any two of the following assertions imply the third one:
(a) $\varphi_{1}$ is harmonic on ( $M, g$ ); (b) $\varphi_{1}$ is harmonic on ( $M_{1}, g_{1}$ );
(c) $\nabla k$ is orthogonal to $\nabla \varphi_{1}$.

Remark 3.2. Note that if for any smooth function $\varphi_{1}$ on $M_{1}, \nabla k$ is orthogonal to $\nabla \varphi_{1}$, then by Lemma 2.1, $k \in C^{\infty}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Thus the metric $g$ becomes $g=g_{1} \oplus \tilde{g}_{2}$, where $\tilde{g}_{2}$ is a conformal metric $f^{2} g_{2}$ on $M_{2}$. Therefore the twisted product $M$ reduces to the direct product of $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, \tilde{g}_{2}\right)$.
Proposition 3.3. If ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ) is a twisted product manifold, then for any smooth function $\varphi_{2}$ on $M_{2}$, one has:
(i) $\varphi_{2}$ is harmonic on $(M, g)$ if and only if $\Delta_{2} \varphi_{2}=\left(2-m_{2} f^{2}\right) g\left(\nabla k, \nabla \varphi_{2}\right)$.
(ii) Any two of the following assertions imply the third one:
(a) $\varphi_{2}$ is harmonic on ( $M, g$ ); (b) $\varphi_{2}$ is harmonic on ( $M_{2}, g_{2}$ );
(c) $\nabla k$ is orthogonal to $\nabla \varphi_{2}$.

Remark 3.3. Note that if for any smooth function $\varphi_{2}$ on $M_{2}, \nabla k$ is orthogonal to $\nabla \varphi_{1}$, then by Lemma 2.1, $k \in C^{\infty}\left(M_{1}\right)$. Therefore the twisted product $M$ reduces to the warped product of $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$.
Now, we study harmonic forms on a twisted product manifold ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ) for which we recall the following:
Definition 3.1. On a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, we say that a $p$-form $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{p}(M)$ is co-closed if its codifferential $\delta^{g} \omega$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{g} \omega\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p-1}\right)=(\nabla . \omega)\left(\cdot, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p-1}\right), \forall X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p-1} \in \chi(M) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

vanishes identically. Moreover, a $p$-form on $(M, g)$ is harmonic if it is both closed and co-closed.
Proposition 3.4. The co-differential operator $\delta^{g}$ of the twisted product manifold ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ) is related to the co-differential operators $\delta^{g_{j}}$ of $\left(M_{j}, g_{j}\right), j=1,2$, by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{g} \omega=\delta^{g_{1}} \omega+\frac{1}{f^{2}} \delta^{g_{2}} \omega+\left(m_{2}-\frac{2}{f^{2}}\right) w(\nabla k) ; \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{A}_{1}(M), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. With the notations of Remark 3.1, we express the co-differential operator $\delta^{g}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta^{g} \omega & =\left(\nabla_{E_{j}} \omega\right)\left(E_{j}\right)=E_{j}\left(\omega\left(E_{j}\right)\right)-\omega\left(\nabla_{E_{j}} E_{j}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& =e_{i}\left(\omega\left(e_{i}\right)\right)-\omega\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right)+\frac{u_{l}}{f}\left(\omega\left(\frac{u_{l}}{f}\right)\right)-\omega\left(\nabla_{\frac{u_{l}}{f}}^{f} \frac{u_{l}}{f}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By using Lemma 2.1 in (3.7), we complete the proof.

As a consequence, we obtain the following results:
Theorem 3.1. Let ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ) be a twisted product manifold. For each $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{1}(M)$, any of the following three assertions imply the fourth one:
(i) $\omega$ is co-closed with respect to $g$;
(ii) $\omega$ restricted to $M_{1}$ is co-closed with respect to $g_{1}$;
(iii) $\omega$ restricted to $M_{2}$ is co-closed with respect to $g_{2}$;
(iv) $\omega(\nabla k)=0$ holds.

Corollary 3.1. Let ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ) be a twisted product manifold. For each $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{1}(M)$, any of the following three assertions imply the fourth one:
(i) $\omega$ is harmonic with respect to $g$;
(ii) $\omega$ restricted to $M_{1}$ is harmonic with respect to $g_{1}$;
(iii) $\omega$ restricted to $M_{2}$ is harmonic with respect to $g_{2}$;
(iv) $\omega$ is closed and $\omega(\nabla k)=0$ holds.

Remark 3.4. The above Proposition 3.4, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 can be generalized to arbitrary $p$-forms.

## 4. Sectional Curvature of Twisted Products

By using Remark 3.1, we express the sectional curvature $K(\sigma)=K(E, F)=\bar{g}(\bar{R}(E, F) F, E)$ of any 2-plane $\sigma$ spanned by a basis $\{E, F\}$, orthonormal with respect to $g$. From a long calculation, we obtain:
Theorem 4.1. For any 2-plane $\sigma$, tangent to the twisted product manifold ( $M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}, g$ ), the sectional curvature $K$ of the metric $g$ can be calculated from the following three cases, involving the sectional curvatures $K_{j}$ of $g_{j}, j=1,2$ :
(i) If $\sigma$ is tangent to $M_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\sigma)=K_{1}(\sigma) ; \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $\sigma$ is tangent to $M_{2}$ spanned by the vector fields $U, V \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\sigma)=\frac{1}{f^{2}}\left[K_{2}(\sigma)-h_{2}^{k}(U, U)-h_{2}^{k}(V, V)+U(k)^{2}+V(k)^{2}-\|\nabla f\|^{2}\right] ; \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) If $\sigma$ is spanned by arbitrary vector fields $A \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $U \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\sigma)=-\frac{1}{f^{2}}\left[A(k)^{2}+h_{1}^{f}(A, A)\right] ; \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 and Remark 3.1, we have the following three cases:
(i) For any 2-plane spanned by arbitrary unit vector fields $X, Y \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right), K(X, Y)=g(R(X, Y) Y, X)=$ $K_{1}(X, Y)$.
(ii) For any 2-plane spanned by arbitrary unit vector fields $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
K(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) & =g(R(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})=\frac{1}{f^{4}} g(R(U, V) V, U)  \tag{4.4}\\
& =\frac{1}{f^{2}}\left[R_{2}(U, V, V, U)-h_{2}^{k}(V, V)+V(k)^{-} U(k)^{2}-h^{k}(U, U)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{U}=\frac{U}{f}$ and $\mathcal{V}=\frac{V}{f}$. Using (2.1) and Lemma 2.1, the last equation yields (4.2).
(iii) At last, for any unit vector field $X \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{U} \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, we have $K(X, \mathcal{U})=g(R(X, \mathcal{U}) \mathcal{U}, X)=$ $\frac{1}{f^{2}} g(R(X, U) U, X)$ yields (4.3).

As a consequence of Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following:
Corollary 4.1. For a twisted product manifold ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ):
(i) If the sectional curvature of $M$ is of constant sign, then $K_{1}$ is of constant sign on $M_{1}$;
(ii) If the sectional curvature of $M$ is positive, then $\operatorname{Hess}_{1} f(A, A)$ is always negative, for all unit vector field $A \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$.

## 5. Static Perfect Fluid Spacetimes on Twisted Products

Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Then $(M, g)$ is said to be a static perfect fluid space if it admits a nontrivial solution $\varphi$ of the static equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi R i c-h^{\varphi}=\frac{1}{n}(\tau \varphi-\Delta \varphi) g, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor, $\tau$ is the scalar curvature, $h$ is the Hessian tensor and $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator [11,21]. The concept of static perfect fluid space plays an important role in both general relativity and differential geometry.
If for some smooth function $\varphi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}+\mathrm{h}^{\varphi}=\lambda g \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then the triple $(M, g, \varphi, \lambda)$ satisfying (5.2) is called a gradient Ricci soliton. Here $\lambda$ is usually a real constant. But, sometimes $\lambda$ can be smooth function on $M$ and in this case, $(M, g, \varphi, \lambda)$ is called a gradient
almost Ricci soliton, [6] There are also several related notions, such as almost $\eta$-Ricci and almost $\eta$-Yamabe solitons [4,5]: The manifold satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ric}+\mathrm{h}^{\varphi}=\gamma g+\mu \eta \otimes \eta \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some smooth functions $\varphi, \gamma$ and $\mu$ and the corresponding non-zero 1 -form $\eta$, is called the gradient almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton.

Lemma 5.1. Let ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ) be a non-trivial twisted product manifold. If $(M, g)$ is a static perfect fluid spacetime with the potential function $\varphi$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varphi(V)=0, \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $V \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$.
Proof. For the twisted product ( $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}, g$ ), we have $X V(k)=0$. From (2.4), (2.13) and (5.1), for any $X \in$ $\mathfrak{L}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $V \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right), X(k) V(\varphi)=0$. Hence, either $X(k)=0$ or $V(\varphi)=0$. In the first case, the function $f$ only depends on the points of $M_{2}$. Hence, we can write $g=g_{1} \oplus \tilde{g_{2}}$, where $\tilde{g_{2}}=f^{2} g_{2}$. Namely, $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ can be expressed as a usual product $M_{1} \times M_{2}$, where the metric tensor of $M_{2}$ is $\tilde{g}_{2}$ given above. In the second case, we immediately get (5.4).
Theorem 5.1. Let $\left(M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ be a non-trivial twisted product manifold. Then $(M, g)$ is a static perfect fluid spacetime with the potential function $\varphi$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. the relation given below holds on $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{1}+\mathrm{h}_{1}^{-m_{2}} \ln f-\ln \varphi-m_{2} d(\ln f) \otimes d(\ln f)-d(\ln \varphi) \otimes d(\ln \varphi)=\frac{1}{n}\left(\tau-\frac{\Delta \varphi}{\varphi}\right) g_{1} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ is the gradient almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with the potential function is $\tilde{\varphi}=\left(2-m_{2}\right) k-\ln \varphi$, associated 1form $\eta=d k$ and the associated soliton functions $\mu=m_{2}-2$ and $\lambda=f \Delta f-\|\nabla f\|^{2}+f^{2} g(\nabla k, \nabla \ln \varphi)+\frac{f^{2}}{n}(\tau-$ $\left.\frac{\Delta \varphi}{\varphi}\right)$.
Proof. If ( $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g$ ) is a non-trivial twisted product manifold admitting a static perfect fluid spacetime structure with potential function $\varphi$, then by using Lemma 2.1-(1), (2.5) and (2.12) into the fundamental equation (5.1) of static perfect fluid spacetime, we get the relation (5.9) on ( $M_{1}, g_{1}$ ). This gives the assertion (1).

Similarly, using Lemma 2.1-(2) and (2.13) into the fundamental equation (5.1) of static perfect fluid spacetime, we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varphi\left[\operatorname{Ric}_{2}(U, V)+\frac{\left(2-m_{2}\right)}{f} h_{2}^{f}(U, V)+\frac{2\left(m_{2}-2\right)}{f^{2}}(d f \otimes d f)(U, V)\right.  \tag{5.6}\\
\left.-\left(\frac{1}{f} \Delta f-\frac{1}{f^{2}} g(\nabla f, \nabla f)\right) g(U, V)\right]-h_{2}^{\varphi}(U, V)+U(k) V(\varphi)+V(k) U(\varphi) \\
-g(\nabla k, \nabla \varphi) g(U, V)=\frac{f^{2}}{n}(\tau \varphi-\Delta \varphi) g_{2}(U, V) .
\end{array}
$$

Using (5.4) and (2.5) into (5.6) and dividing both sides by $\varphi \neq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{2}+h_{2}^{\tilde{\varphi}}+\mu d k \otimes d k=\lambda g_{2}, \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}=\left(2-m_{2}\right) k-\ln \varphi, \eta=d k$ and $\mu=m_{2}-2$ and $\lambda=f \Delta f-\|\nabla f\|^{2}+f^{2} g(\nabla k, \nabla \ln \varphi)+\frac{f^{2}}{n}\left(\tau-\frac{\Delta \varphi}{\varphi}\right)$.
If $\Delta \varphi=-\frac{\tau}{n-1} \varphi$ holds in (5.1), then it turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi R i c-h^{\varphi}=-(\Delta \varphi) g \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the equation of vacuum static space. Therefore, static perfect fluid spacetimes behave like a generalization of static vacuum spaces which are an important subject of study in both differential geometry and general relativity, [13]. From Theorem 5.1, we finally have:

Theorem 5.2. Let $\left(M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ be a non-trivial twisted product manifold. Then $(M, g)$ is a vacuum static spacetime with the potential function $\varphi$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. the relation given below holds on $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{1}+\mathrm{h}_{1}^{-m_{2} \ln f-\ln \varphi}-m_{2} d(\ln f) \otimes d(\ln f)-d(\ln \varphi) \otimes d(\ln \varphi)=\frac{1}{n-1} g \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ is the gradient almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with the potential function is $\tilde{\varphi}=\left(2-m_{2}\right) k-\ln \varphi$, associated 1 -form $\eta=d k$ and the associated soliton functions $\mu=m_{2}-2$ and $\lambda=f \Delta f-\|\nabla f\|^{2}+f^{2} g(\nabla k, \nabla \ln \varphi)+\frac{f^{2} \tau}{n-1}$.
5.1. Static Spacetimes with Twisted GRW Metric

In this section, we give some applications of twisted product manifolds.
An $m$-dimensional product manifold $M=I \times_{f} N$ equipped with the metric tensor

$$
g=-\mathrm{d} t^{2} \oplus f^{2} g_{N}
$$

is called a generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime (briely GRW), where $I$ is an open interval in $\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{d} t^{2}$ is the usual Euclidean metric tensor on $I$ and $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $f$ is a positive smooth function on $I$. This notion has been studied by many authors, such as [7,17, 16, 22].

In [15], the authors generalized this notion by defining the relevant function $f$ on the whole manifold $M=I \times_{f} N$ and then give basic geometric formulas of this new spacetime, namely we call it as twisted generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime (briefly say TGRW).

Let's consider the Lorentzian manifold $M=I \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ endowed with the Lorentzian metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=-\mathrm{d} t^{2} \oplus f^{2} g_{2} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is a real open interval and $f$ is a positive smooth function on $M$. Then $\left(M=I \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ is called the twisted generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime (TGRW). Also, let denote the standard vector field on $I$ by $\partial_{t}$. Then we can directly obtain the following lemmas, which are the direct applications of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 5.2. [15] Let $U, V \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then the components of the Levi-Civita connection of $T G R W\left(M=I \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ are:
(1) $\nabla_{\partial_{t}} \partial_{t}=0$,
(2) $\nabla_{\partial_{t}} V=\nabla_{V} \partial_{t}=k^{\prime} V$,
(3) $\nabla_{U} V={ }^{2} \nabla_{U} V+U(k) V+V(k) U-g(U, V) \nabla k$.

Lemma 5.3. [15] Let $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then, the non-zero components of the Riemannian curvature tensor of TGRW $\left(M=I \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(V, \partial_{t}\right) \partial_{t}=-\left[k^{\prime \prime}+\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] V  \tag{5.11}\\
& R(V, W) \partial_{t}=V\left(k^{\prime}\right) W-W\left(k^{\prime}\right) V  \tag{5.12}\\
& R\left(\partial_{t}, V\right) W=\partial_{t}(W(k)) V-k^{\prime} g(V, W) \nabla k-g(V, W) \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\partial_{t}\right)  \tag{5.13}\\
& \quad R(V, W) U=R_{2}(V, W) U+\mathrm{h}_{2}^{k}(V, U) W-\mathrm{h}_{2}^{k}(W, U) V  \tag{5.14}\\
& +W(k) U(k) V-V(k) U(k) W-g(U, W) V(k) \nabla k+g(U, V) W(k) \nabla k \\
& +g(U, V) \mathrm{H}^{k}(W)-g(U, W) \mathrm{H}^{k}(V)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{h}^{k}(\cdot, \cdot)=g\left(\mathrm{H}^{k}(\cdot), \cdot\right)$.
Then by simple calculations, we have the following:

Lemma 5.4. Let $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then, the non-zero components of the Ricci tensor of $T G R W\left(M=I \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{t}\right)=-m_{2}\left[k^{\prime \prime}+\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{5.15}\\
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(V, \partial_{t}\right)=\left(1-m_{2}\right) V\left(k^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& \operatorname{Ric}(U, V)=\operatorname{Ric}_{2}(U, V)+\left(2-m_{2}\right) h_{2}^{k}(U, V)-\left(2-m_{2}\right)(d k \otimes d k)(U, V)-\Delta_{2} k g(U, V),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{2}$ denotes the Laplacian on $M_{2}$ and $m_{i}=\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{i}\right)$.
Then using Lemma 5.2, the Hessian tensor $h^{\varphi}$ of $\varphi$ on a TGRW $\left(M=I \times{ }_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{\varphi}\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{t}\right) & =\varphi^{\prime \prime}  \tag{5.16}\\
h^{\varphi}(U, V) & =h_{2}^{\varphi}(U, V)-U(k) V(\varphi)-V(k) U(\varphi)  \tag{5.17}\\
& +g(U, V) g(\nabla k, \nabla \varphi) \\
h^{\varphi}\left(\partial_{t}, V\right) & =-k^{\prime} V(\varphi) \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 5.3. Let $\left(M=I \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ be a non-trivial TGRW. Then $(M, g)$ is a static perfect fluid spacetime with the potential function $\varphi$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. the following second order ordinary differential equation between the potential function $\varphi$ and the warping function $k$ holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}+m_{2}\left[k^{\prime \prime}+\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{n}\left(\tau-\frac{\Delta \varphi}{\varphi}\right) . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $d \varphi(V)=0$, for any $V \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$.
3. $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ is the gradient almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with the potential function is $\tilde{\varphi}=\left(2-m_{2}\right) k-\ln \varphi$, associated 1form $\eta=d k$ and the associated soliton functions $\mu=m_{2}-2$ and $\lambda=f \Delta f-\|\nabla f\|^{2}+f^{2} g(\nabla k, \nabla \ln \varphi)+\frac{f^{2}}{n}(\tau-$ $\left.\frac{\Delta \varphi}{\varphi}\right)$.
The proof of the above theorem is completely based on the proof of the Lemma (5.1) and Theorem (5.1). Thus we may skip.

### 5.2. Static Spacetimes on Twisted SSST Metric

Now, we recall the definition of standard static spacetimes. Let ( $F, g_{F}$ ) be an $s$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $f: F \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a smooth function. The $(s+1)$-dimensional product manifold ${ }_{f} I \times F$ endowed with the metric tensor

$$
g=-f^{2} \mathrm{~d} t^{2} \oplus g_{F}
$$

is called a standard static spacetime (briefly SSS-T) and is denoted by $M={ }_{f} I \times F$ where $I$ is an open, connected subinterval of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{d} t^{2}$ is the Euclidean metric tensor on $I$.

Standard static spacetime metrics play very important roles to find the solutions of the Einstein's field equations so that they have been studied intensively for many years. Some famous examples of standard static spacetimes are the Minkowski spacetime, the Einstein's static universe, the universal covering space of anti-de Sitter spacetime and the Exterior Schwarzschild spacetime (for more details see [2, 1, 12]).

As a second application of twisted product, in [15], the auhors extend this notion by redefining the relevant function $f$ on the whole manifold $M={ }_{f} I \times F$ and then give basic geometric formulas on this new product and we call it as twisted standard static spacetime (briefly say TSSS-T).

We consider a semi-Riemannian manifold $M={ }_{f} I \times M_{2}$ endowed with the Lorentzian metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=-f^{2} \mathrm{~d} t^{2} \oplus g_{2} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is a real open interval and $f$ is a positive smooth function on $M$. Then $\left(M={ }_{f} I \times M_{2}, g\right)$ is called the twisted standard static spacetime (TSSS-T). Again by taking the standard vector field on $I$ by $\partial_{t}$, we can directly obtain the following lemmas, which are the direct applications of the previous section.

Lemma 5.5. [15] Let $U, V \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then the components of the Levi-Civita connection of TSSS-T $\left(M={ }_{f} I \times M_{2}, g\right)$ are:
(1) $\nabla_{\partial_{t}} \partial_{t}=2 k^{\prime} \partial_{t}+f \nabla f$,
(2) $\nabla_{\partial_{t}} V=\nabla_{V} \partial_{t}=V(k) \partial_{t}$,
(3) $\nabla_{U} V={ }^{2} \nabla_{U} V$.

Lemma 5.6. [15] Let $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then, the non-zero components of the Riemannian curvature tensor of TSSS-T $\left(M={ }_{f} I \times M_{2}, g\right)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(V, \partial_{t}\right) \partial_{t}=V\left(k^{\prime}\right) \partial_{t}+V(f) \nabla f+f^{2} \nabla_{V} \nabla k  \tag{5.21}\\
& R\left(V, \partial_{t}\right) W=\left[\mathrm{h}_{2}^{k}(V, W)+V(k) W(k)\right] \partial_{t}  \tag{5.22}\\
& R(V, W) U=R_{2}(V, W) U . \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Then again by simple calculations, we have the following:
Lemma 5.7. Let $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then, the non-zero components of the Ricci tensor of TSSS-T $\left(M={ }_{f} I \times M_{2}, g\right)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{t}\right)=f \Delta_{2} f  \tag{5.24}\\
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(V, \partial_{t}\right)=0 \\
& \operatorname{Ric}(U, V)=\operatorname{Ric}_{2}(U, V)-\frac{\mathrm{h}_{2}^{f}(U, V)}{f},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{2}$ denotes the Laplacian on $M_{2}$.
Then using Lemma 5.5, the Hessian tensor $h^{\varphi}$ of $\varphi$ on a TSSS-T $\left(M=I \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{\varphi}\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{t}\right) & =\varphi^{\prime \prime}-2 k^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime}-f g(\nabla f, \nabla \varphi)  \tag{5.25}\\
h^{\varphi}(U, V) & =h_{2}^{\varphi}(U, V)  \tag{5.26}\\
h^{\varphi}\left(\partial_{t}, V\right) & =-V(k) \varphi^{\prime} \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 5.4. Let $\left(M={ }_{f} I \times M_{2}, g\right)$ be a non-trivial TSSS-T. Then $(M, g)$ is a static perfect fluid spacetime with the potential function $\varphi$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. the potential function is of the form $\varphi=\alpha+\tilde{\varphi}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^{\infty}\left(M_{2}\right)$.
2. $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ is also a static perfect fluid spacetime with the same potential function $\varphi$.
3. The twisted function $f$ and the potential function $\varphi$ is related by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi f \Delta_{2}+f g(\nabla f, \nabla \varphi)=-\frac{f^{2}}{n}(\tau \varphi-\Delta \varphi) \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To prove the necessary condition, first, we use (5.24) and (5.27) for any $V \in \mathfrak{L}\left(M_{2}\right)$ and get $V(k) \varphi^{\prime}=0$. Since TSSS-T is non-trivial, we may assume that $V(k) \neq 0$. Otherwise, the metric reduces to the direct product. Thus, $\varphi^{\prime}=0$ that implies the assertion (1). By the TSSS-T metric, (5.24) and (5.26), the second assertion is obvious. Finally, by using (5.24) and (5.25) into the fundamental equation of the static perfect fluid, the relation (5.28) is obtained. The sufficient condition can be directly verified.
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