New Theory

ISSN: 2149-1402

43 (2023) 83-91 Journal of New Theory https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/jnt Open Access



# On the Orbit Problem of Free Lie Algebras

# Zeynep Özkurt<sup>1</sup> 问

Article InfoAbstract – By operationalizing  $F_n$  as a free Lie Algebra of finite rank n, this work considersReceived: 19 Apr 2023the orbit problem for  $F_n$ . The orbit problem is the following: given an element  $u \in F_n$  and aAccepted: 28 Jun 2023finitely generated subalgebra H of  $F_n$ , does H meet the orbit of u under the automorphismPublished: 30 Jun 2023for  $F_n$ ? It is proven that the orbit problem is decidable for finite rank  $n, n \ge 2$ .Research Articleprimitive element of  $F_n$ . In addition, some applications are provided. Finally, the paper

Keywords Orbit, automorphism, free Lie algebras

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) 17B01, 17B40

# 1. Introduction

The orbit problem is one of the most studied algorithmic problems in algebra. The problem generally concerns a subalgebra H of an algebra F, the orbit of an element u of F under the action of a subgroup G of Aut F, and it is checked whether or not the subalgebra contains the orbit of a given element. Indeed, the orbit problem has been extensively studied in various algebraic structures, including groups, Lie algebras, and associative algebras. Computational group theory, in particular, has been a prominent field where the orbit problem has been investigated. Whitehead's [1] work in computational group theory proved the decidability of the orbit problem for free groups. This means that there exists an algorithm that can effectively determine whether the orbit of a given element under the action of a subgroup of the automorphism group lies within a subgroup of a free group. In [2,3], the authors established similar results regarding the orbit problem of finitely generated subgroups. The problem was also studied for a cyclic subgroup of the automorphism group of a free group, e.g., [4,5]. Furthermore, in [6], Kozen focused on the decidability of the orbit problem for infinite algebras. In 2011, Bahturin and Olshanskii [7] investigated if the subalgebra membership problem is decidable for free Lie algebras. The membership problem for free Lie algebras asks whether a given element belongs to a subalgebra of a free Lie algebra. This problem's decidability would imply a systematic and algorithmic approach to determine whether a given element belongs to a subalgebra. The results of this study determined that the subalgebra membership problem for free Lie algebras is, in fact, undecidable. This means that there is no general algorithm that can solve this problem for all cases. Consequently, the subalgebra membership problem for free Lie algebras remains an open and challenging research topic in algebraic computation. It is worth noting that even though the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>zyapti@cu.edu.tr (Corresponding Author)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Çukurova University, Adana, Türkiye

subalgebra membership problem is undecidable for free Lie algebras, specific cases may exist where the problem can be solved. In the context of the present paper, the orbit problem is a particular case of the membership problem. In the case of free Lie algebras, the orbit problem considers whether an automorphic image of a given Lie element is contained in a finitely generated subalgebra, while the membership problem asks whether a given element belongs to a free Lie algebra or a given finitely generated subalgebra.

This paper considers the orbit problem for finitely generated free Lie algebras. The technique used to solve the problem is inspired by the results of a similar problem in groups [3]. We give algorithms if an automorphic image of a given Lie element u is contained by a given finitely generated subalgebra H of a free Lie algebra  $F_n$  with finite rank n such that  $n \ge 2$ . Moreover, we prove that it is decidable whether or not a primitive element is contained by a given finitely generated subalgebra H.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $F_n$  be a free Lie algebra generated by  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  over a field K of characteristic 0. Denote by  $U(F_n)$ , the universal enveloping algebra of  $F_n$ , i.e., the free associative algebra with the same generating set X over the field K. There is the augmentation homomorphism  $\varepsilon : U(F_n) \to K$  defined by  $\varepsilon(x_i) = 0, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Fox derivations [8,9]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}: U(F_n) \to U(F_n), \quad i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$$

satisfy the following conditions for each  $a, b \in K$  and  $u, v \in U(F_n)$ ,

 $i. \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x_j) = \delta_{ij}, \text{ (Kronecker delta)}$  $ii. \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(au + bv) = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(u) + b\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(v)$  $iii. \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(uv) = u\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(v) + \varepsilon(v)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(u)$ 

such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(a) = 0$ , for any  $a \in K$ . A primitive element in  $F_n$  is an element belonging to a free generating set of  $F_n$ . Given an arbitrary element u in  $F_n$ , the rank of u, denoted by rank(u), is defined as the least number of free generators from X on which the image of u under any automorphism of  $F_n$  can depend. This definition is in line with the work of [9]. We introduce the left  $U(F_n)$ -module  $M_u$  generated by the elements  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ , for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The algebra  $U(F_n)$  as a left  $U(F_n)$ -module is a free cyclic module. It is known that any left ideal of a free associative algebra is a free module of unique rank [10]. We denote the rank of the module  $M_u$  as rank $(M_u)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [11] Let  $u \in F_n$  and  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ . Then,  $\operatorname{rank}(M_{\varphi(u)}) = \operatorname{rank}(M_u) = \operatorname{rank}(u)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [11] Let H be a subalgebra generated by  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ ,  $1 \le r < n$  and  $u \in F_n$ . If rank $(M_u) \le r$ , then there is an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $F_n$  such that  $\varphi(u) \in H$ .

For an element u of  $F_n$ , we write  $u = u(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  if u depends on the generators  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . We use bracket notation [u, v] to denote the Lie product of elements u and v of  $F_n$ . Lie monomials of  $F_n$  are defined in the usual way as non-zero Lie products of elements of X. The degree of a monomial is the length of this product. We call an element u of  $F_n$  is homogeneous if it is a linear combination of the monomials with the same degree. A subalgebra of  $F_n$  generated by a set Y is denoted by  $\langle Y \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [12] We define elementary transformations of  $F_n$  by one of the following transformations applied to X

*i.* A non-singular linear transformation is applied to X

*ii.* An element x of X is replaced by  $x + u(x_1 \cdots, x_k)$  where u is an expression in the elements

 $x_1, \cdots, x_k$  of  $X \setminus \{x\}$ 

In [12], Cohn proved that every automorphism of a finitely generated free Lie algebra is a composition of elementary transformations.

**Proposition 2.4.** [12] Every automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $F_2$  belongs to the general linear group  $GL_2(K)$  and is defined by

$$\varphi : x_1 \to \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2$$
$$x_2 \to \gamma x_1 + \delta x_2$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in K$  and  $\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** [13] An endomorphism  $\varphi: F_2 \to F_2$  defined as

$$\varphi : x_1 \to \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2$$
$$x_2 \to \gamma x_1 + \delta x_2$$

is an automorphism if and only if

$$[\varphi(x_1),\varphi(x_2)] = k[x_1,x_2]$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in K$ ,  $k = \alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \neq 0$ .

Thus, we can decide whether a given pair of elements of  $F_2$  generates this algebra with this criterion.

## 3. The Orbit Problem

In this section, we discuss the decidability of the orbit problem and the existence of primitive elements in a finitely generated subalgebra H of a free Lie algebra  $F_n$  with finite rank  $n \ge 2$ . Decidability of the orbit problem means that there exists an algorithm or a systematic procedure that can determine whether the orbit of a given element under the action of a given subgroup of automorphisms belongs to a subalgebra. Firstly, we prove that for the case of rank 2, it is possible to decide whether the orbit of a given element  $u \in F_2$  under the action of  $\operatorname{Aut} F_2$  is in H. This result is significant because it establishes a decision algorithm for a specific case of the orbit problem. In addition, we show that for rank 2, it is also possible to decide whether or not H contains a primitive element. Furthermore, we extend the results to larger ranks and provide algorithms to solve the orbit problem and determine the existence of primitive elements in H, for n > 2.

#### **3.1. Case of Rank** n = 2

**Theorem 3.1.** Given  $u \in F_2$  and a finitely generated subalgebra H of  $F_2$ , it is decidable whether or not  $\varphi(u) \in H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}F_2$ .

Proof.

Let  $F_2$  be a free Lie algebra generated by  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  and H be a finitely generated subalgebra of  $F_2$ . Given  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_2$  defined by  $\varphi(x_1) = a$  and  $\varphi(x_2) = b$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an automorphism, the set  $\{a, b\}$  freely generates  $F_2$ . For any element  $u = u(x_1, x_2) \in F_2$ ,

$$\varphi(u(x_1, x_2)) = u(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2)) = u(a, b)$$

Thus, if  $u \in F_2$ , then  $\varphi(u) \in H$  if and only if  $u(a, b) \in H$  for some free generating set  $\{a, b\}$  of  $F_2$ . By Proposition 2.5,

$$[a,b] = [\varphi(x_1),\varphi(x_2)] = \lambda[x_1,x_2]$$

where  $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence, we obtain that there exists an automorphism  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(u) \in H$  if and

only if the following system admits a solution

$$[a,b] = \lambda[x_1,x_2]$$

and

$$u(a,b) = h$$

where  $h \in H$ ,  $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0\}$ , and a and b are free generators of  $F_2$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.2.** Given a subalgebra H generated by the subset  $\{[x_1, x_2], x_2\}$  of  $F_2$ . Consider the element  $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + [[x_1, x_2], x_1]$  of  $F_2$ . We find a solution to the system

$$[a,b] = \lambda[x_1,x_2]$$

and

$$u(a,b) = h$$

where  $h \in H$ ,  $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0\}$ , and a and b are free generators of  $F_2$ . It implies

$$u(a,b) = a + [[a,b],a] = \alpha x_2 + \beta [[x_1, x_2], x_2]$$
(1)

where  $\alpha, \beta \in K \setminus \{0\}$ . By grading,  $a = \alpha x_2$ , and replacing a in Equation 1,  $b = -\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} x_1$  can be obtained. Then, by the equation

$$[a,b] = -\frac{\beta}{\alpha}[x_1,x_2]$$

a and b are free generators. Hence, by Theorem 3.1, there exists an automorphism  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(u) \in H$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** Let H be a subalgebra of  $F_2$ . It is decidable whether or not H contains a primitive element.

We consider a tuple element of  $F_2$  rather than a single element in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in F_2$  and  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k, H$ , and K be subalgebras of  $F_2$ . The following problems are decidable

*i.* whether  $\varphi(u_1) \in H_1, \cdots, \varphi(u_k) \in H_k$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_2$ 

*ii.* whether  $\varphi(K) \subseteq H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_2$ 

#### Proof.

Let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in F_2$  and  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k, H$ , and K be subalgebras of  $F_2$ .

*i.* We prove this statement as Theorem 3.1, by reduction to a system of equations. Let  $F_2$  be a free Lie algebra generated by  $\{x_1, x_2\}$ . We consider the system

$$[a,b] = \alpha[x_1,x_2]$$

and

$$u_i(a,b) = h_i, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$$

where  $h_1, \dots, h_k \in H$ ,  $\alpha \in K \setminus \{0\}$ , and a and b are free generators of  $F_2$ . Clearly, if this system admits a solution, then  $\varphi(u_i) \in H_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

*ii.* This statement is a particular case of *i*, when  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is a generating set of *K* and  $H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_k = H$ .  $\Box$ 

# **3.2.** Case of Rank n > 2

**Theorem 3.5.** Let u be a homogeneous element of  $F_n$  and H be a subalgebra of  $F_n$ . If H is a free factor of  $F_n$  or rankH = 1, it is decidable whether or not  $\varphi(u) \in H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ .

Proof.

Assume that H is a free factor of  $F_n$ , i.e.,  $F_n = H * G$  where rank H = r, 1 < r < n, and G is a subalgebra of  $F_n$ . Let  $u \in F_n$  and  $M_u$  be the left  $U(F_n)$ -module generated by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . By Lemma 2.1,

$$\operatorname{rank} u = \operatorname{rank} M_u = \operatorname{rank} M_{\varphi(u)}$$

for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ . By [9], we can compute a minimum rank element v in the automorphic orbit

$$\operatorname{Orb}(u) = \{\psi(u) : \psi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n\}$$

of u. If rank v = r, it is easily verified that  $\phi(v) \in H$  for some automorphism  $\phi$  of  $F_n$  by Lemma 2.2. Thus,  $\phi(v) = \varphi(u) \in H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ . Assume that  $H = \langle y \rangle$ , for an element y of  $F_n$ . Given  $u = \alpha u_1$  and  $y = \beta y_1$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in K \setminus \{0\}$  and  $u_1, y_1 \in F_n$ . If  $\varphi(u) \in H$ , then

$$\varphi(u) = \alpha \varphi(u_1) = \gamma y = \gamma \beta y_1$$

where  $\gamma \in K$ . It implies  $\alpha = \gamma \beta$  and  $\varphi(u_1) = y_1$ . Therefore, we obtain  $\varphi(u) \in H$  if and only if  $\varphi(u_1) = y_1$ , i.e.,  $u_1$  and  $y_1$  are in each other's automorphic orbit if and only if  $\varphi(u) \in H$ .  $\Box$ 

We require the following technical result.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $u \in F_n$ .  $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, u\}$  is a free generating set of  $F_n$  if and only if  $u = \alpha x_n + f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  where  $\alpha \in K \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  is an element of  $F_n$  depends on the free generators  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$ .

#### Proof.

If A is a free generating set then the Jacobian matrix J(A) is invertible over  $U(F_n)$  by [14]. The Jacobian matrix

$$J(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

can be reduced to

$$J(A)^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

by applying elementary transformations to its rows. Clearly, J(A) is invertible if and only if  $J(A)^*$ is invertible. Therefore,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}$  is an invertible element of  $U(F_n)$ . Since the only invertible elements of  $U(F_n)$  are the elements of the field K,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}$  belongs to K. Thus, for a nonzero element  $\alpha \in K$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} = \alpha$ and the element u is of the form

$$\alpha x_n + f(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})$$

Conversely, if

$$u \in Kx_n + \langle x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} \rangle$$

then J(A) is invertible. Hence, A is a free generating set.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  be a primitive subset of  $F_n$ . Then, there exists a set

$$A = \{ w \in F_n \mid \{v_1, \cdots, v_{n-1}, w\} \text{ is a free generating set of } F_n \}$$

Proof.

Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  be a primitive subset in  $F_n$  and  $\varphi$  be an automorphism of  $F_n$  defined by

$$\varphi: x_i \to v_i$$
$$x_n \to z$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $z \in F_n$ . Then,  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \varphi^{-1}(z)\}$  is a free generating set of  $F_n$ . This shows that

$$\varphi^{-1}(z) \in Kx_n + \langle x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} \rangle$$

by Theorem 3.6. Thus,  $z \in K\varphi(x_n) + \langle v_1, \cdots, v_{n-1} \rangle$ , and we obtain a free generating set  $\{v_1, \cdots, v_{n-1}, z\}$ . Hence, we obtain a set A such that

$$A = K\varphi(x_n) + \langle v_1, \cdots, v_{n-1} \rangle = Kz + \langle v_1, \cdots, v_{n-1} \rangle, \quad z \in F_n$$

**Theorem 3.8.** Given  $u \in F_n$  and a subalgebra H of  $F_n$ . If rankH = n - 1, then it is decidable whether or not  $\varphi(u) \in H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ .

#### Proof.

Let *H* be a subalgebra of  $F_n$  generated by the set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  freely and  $\varphi$  be an automorphism of  $F_n$ . Assume that  $\varphi(x_i) = v_i$ , for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ . Consider the set

$$A = \{ w \in F_n \mid \{v_1, \cdots, v_{n-1}, w\} \text{ is a free generating set of } F_n \}$$

It implies  $w = \varphi(x_n)$ . By [15],

$$F_n/\langle x_n \rangle \cong \langle x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} \rangle$$

and  $\langle x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} \rangle$  is a free Lie algebra. For  $u \in F_n$ ,

$$u + \langle x_n \rangle \in \langle x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} \rangle$$

Thus, it is obtained

$$u = \sum \alpha_s[\cdots[x_n, x_{i_1}], \cdots], x_{i_s}] + f(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})$$

where  $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s} \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ . We compute

$$\varphi(u) = \sum \alpha_s[\cdots[\varphi(x_n), \varphi(x_{i_1})] \cdots], \varphi(x_{i_s})] + \varphi(f(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}))$$
$$= \sum \alpha_s[\cdots[w, v_{i_1}] \cdots] v_{i_s}] + f(v_1, \cdots, v_{n-1})$$

Therefore, we decide whether there exists some  $w \in A$  such that  $\varphi(u) \in H$ . This is equivalent to deciding whether the equation

$$y = \sum \alpha_s [\cdots [w, v_{i_1}] \cdots ]v_{i_s}] + f(v_1, \cdots, v_{n-1})$$

on the variables w and y has a solution in  $F_n$  with  $w \in A$  and  $y \in H$ .  $\Box$ 

### Proof.

Let  $K_i = \langle x_1, \dots, x_i \rangle$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . It is known that  $K_{i-1}$  is a subalgebra of  $K_i$  and by [15]

$$K_i/\langle x_i \rangle \cong K_{i-1}, \quad i \in \{2, \cdots, n\}$$

Therefore, for an element u of  $K_n$ , we have  $u + \langle x_n \rangle \in K_{n-1}$ . By the same way  $u + \langle x_n \rangle + \langle x_{n-1} \rangle \in K_{n-2}$ and with consecutive applications  $u + \langle x_n \rangle + \cdots + \langle x_{n-r} \rangle \in K_r$  are obtained. Hence,

$$u = \sum \alpha_{n_s} [\cdots [x_n, y_{n_1}] \cdots ], y_{n_s}] + \dots + \sum \alpha_{(n-r)_s} [\cdots [x_{n-r}, y_{(n-r)_1}], \cdots ], y_{(n-r)_s}] + f(x_1, \cdots, x_r)$$

where  $y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_s} \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}\}$  and  $j = n - r, \dots, n$ . Let H be a subalgebra of  $F_n$  freely generated by a set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}, r < n$ , and  $\varphi$  be an automorphism of  $F_n$ . Assume that  $\varphi(x_i) = v_i$ , for  $1 \le i \le r$ , and  $\varphi(x_i) = w_i$ , for  $r + 1 \le i \le n$ . Hence, we compute

$$\varphi(u) = \sum \alpha_{n_s} [\cdots [w_n, v_{n_1}] \cdots ]v_{n_s}] + \dots + \sum \alpha_{(n-r)_s} [\cdots [w_{n-r}, v_{(n-r)_1}] \cdots ], v_{(n-r)_s}] + f(v_1, \cdots, v_r)$$

Therefore, we decide whether the equation

$$y = \sum \alpha_{n_s} [\cdots [w_n, v_{n_1}], \cdots ], v_{n_s}] + \cdots + \sum \alpha_{(n-r)_s} [\cdots [w_{n-r}, v_{(n-r)_1}], \cdots ], v_{(n-r)_s}] + f(v_1, \cdots, v_r)$$

has a solution on the variables  $w_{n-r}, \cdots w_n$  of  $F_n$  and  $y \in H$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.10.** Let H be a subalgebra of  $F_n$ . Then, it is decidable whether or not H contains a primitive element.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \in F_n$  and H and G be subalgebras of  $F_n$ . The following problems are decidable

*i.* whether,  $\varphi(u_1), \cdots, \varphi(u_m) \in H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ 

*ii.* whether,  $\varphi(G) \subseteq H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ 

#### PROOF.

Let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \in F_n$  and H and G be subalgebras of  $F_n$ .

i. Let

$$y_i = \sum \alpha_{n_s}^{(i)} [\cdots [w_n, v_{n_1}] \cdots ], v_{n_s}] + \dots + \sum \alpha_{(n-r)_s}^{(i)} [\cdots [w_{n-r}, v_{(n-r)_1}] \cdots ], v_{(n-r)_s}] + f_i(v_1, \cdots, v_r)$$

such that  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . If this equation on the variables  $w_n, \dots, w_{n-r}, y_1, \dots, y_m$  has a solution in  $F_n$ , then  $\varphi(u_i) = y_i \in H$  by Corollary 3.9.

*ii.* This statement is a particular case of *i*, when  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is a generating set of *G*. Then, it is decidable whether or not  $\varphi(u_i) \in H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ . Hence,  $\varphi(G) \subseteq H$ .  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.12.** Let  $H = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  be a subalgebra of  $F_n$ . Given  $u = [x_3, x_2] \in F_n$ . It is decidable whether or not  $\varphi(u) \in H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ . By [8],

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = x_3, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} = -x_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{rank} u = 2$$

Since  $M_u$  is left  $U(F_n)$ -module generated by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3}$ , rank $M_u = 2$ . Given an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $F_n$  defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi & : & x_i \to x_i \\ & & x_2 \to x_2 + x_1 \\ & & x_3 \to x_3 - x_1 \end{array}$$

where  $i \notin \{2, 3\}$ . Then,

$$\varphi(u) = [x_3 - x_1, x_2 + x_1] = [x_3, x_2] + [x_3, x_1] - [x_1, x_2]$$

We calculate

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial x_1} = x_3 + x_2, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial x_2} = x_3 - x_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial x_3} = -x_2 - x_1$$
$$\frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial x_3} = -\frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial x_2}$$

then

Since

 $\operatorname{rank} u = \operatorname{rank} M_u = \operatorname{rank} M_{\varphi(u)} = 2$ 

By [11],  $\varphi(u)$  belongs to a subalgebra which has rank 2. It seems that  $\varphi(u)$  involves the generator  $x_3$ , therefore,  $\varphi(u) \notin H = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ . However, it is verified that  $\sigma(\varphi(u)) \in H$  for some automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $F_n$  by Lemma 2.2. Therefore,

$$\sigma(\varphi(u)) = \sigma([x_3 - x_1, x_2 + x_1]) = [\sigma(x_3) - \sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2) + \sigma(x_1)] \in H$$

for some automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $F_n$ . Hence, solving the equation

$$[\sigma(x_3) - \sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2) + \sigma(x_1)] = [x_1, x_2]$$

for an appropriate automorphism  $\sigma$ ,

$$\sigma(x_3) - \sigma(x_1) = x_1$$

and

$$\sigma(x_2) + \sigma(x_1) = x_2$$

Choose  $\sigma(x_1) = x_3$  and  $\sigma(x_i) = x_i, i \notin \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then,  $\sigma(x_3) = x_3 + x_1$  and  $\sigma(x_2) = x_3 + x_2$ . Hence, for the automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $F_n$ , we obtain  $\sigma(\varphi(u)) \in H$ .

**Example 3.13.** Let  $H = \langle x_1 + [x_2, x_3], x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$  be a subalgebra of  $F_n$  and  $u = [x_1, x_2] + [x_3, x_4] \in F_n$ . It is decidable whether or not  $\varphi(u) \in H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ . Given an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $F_n$  defined by

$$\varphi: x_1 \to x_1 + [x_2, x_3]$$
$$x_i \to x_i$$

such that  $i \neq 1$ . Therefore,

$$\varphi(u) = [x_1, x_2] + [[x_2, x_3], x_2] + [x_3, x_4]$$
$$= [x_1 + [x_2, x_3], x_2] + [x_3, x_4]$$

Clearly,  $\varphi(u)$  belongs to a subalgebra generated by  $\{x_1 + [x_2, x_3], x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ .

### 4. Conclusion

In this study, the orbit problem for free Lie algebras of finite rank n such that  $n \ge 2$  is solved. In this context, we prove that for a given element u and a subalgebra H of  $F_n$ , it is decidable whether or not  $\varphi(u) \in H$ , for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ . In addition, we get the decidability of the problem for given primitive elements of free Lie algebras of finite rank. Furthermore, in future research, the decidability of the orbit problem for relatively free Lie algebras can be investigated.

# Author Contributions

The author read and approved the final version of the paper.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

The author declares no conflict of interest.

# References

- J. H. C. Whitehead, On Equivalent Sets of Elements in a Free Group, Annals of Mathematics 37 (4) (1936) 782–800.
- [2] S. Gersten, On Whitehead's Algorithm, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 10 (2) (1984) 281–284.
- [3] P. V. Silva, P. Weil, Automorphic Orbits in Free Groups: Words Versus Subgroups, International Journal of Algebra and Computation 20 (4) (2010) 561–590.
- [4] P. Brinkmann, Detecting Automorphic Orbits in Free Groups, Journal of Algebra 324 (5) (2010) 1083–1097.
- [5] A. G. Myasnikov, V. Shpilrain, Automorphic Orbits in Free Groups, Journal of Algebra 269 (1) (2003) 18–27.
- [6] D. Kozen, Complexity of Finitely Presented Algebras, in: J. E. Hopcroft, E. P. Friedman, M. A. Harrison (Eds.), STOC '77: Proceedings of the Ninth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, Colorado, 1977, pp. 164–177.
- Y. Bahturin, A. Olshanskii, Filtrations and Distortion in Infinite-Dimensional Algebras, Journal of Algebra 327 (1) (2011) 251–291
- [8] R. H. Fox, Free Differential Calculus. I: Derivation in the Free Group Ring, Annals of Mathematics 57 (3) (1953) 547–560.
- [9] V. Shpilrain, On the Rank of an Element of a Free Lie Algebras, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 123 (5) (1995) 1303–1307.
- [10] P. M. Cohn, Free Rings and Their Relations, 2nd Edition, Academic Press, London, 1985.
- [11] A. A. Mikhalev, A. A. Zolotykh, Rank and Primitivity of Elements of Free Colour Lie (p-)Superalgebras, International Journal of Algebra and Computation 4 (4) (1994) 617–656.
- [12] P. M. Cohn, Subalgebras of Free Associative Algebras, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 14 (3) (1964) 618–632.
- [13] V. Drensky, Automorphisms of Relatively Free Algebras, Communications in Algebra 18 (12) (1990) 4323–4351.
- [14] A. V. Yagzhev, Endomorphisms of Free Algebras, Siberian Mathematical Journal 21 (1) (1980) 133–141.
- [15] G. P. Kukin, Primitive Elements of Free Lie Algebras, Algebrai-Logika 9 (4) (1970) 458–472.