# et Science

# **Cumhuriyet Science Journal**

e-ISSN: 2587-246X ISSN: 2587-2680 Cumhuriyet Sci. J., 41(3) (2020) 617-624 http://dx.doi.org/10.17776/csj.689877



# Lacunary J-invariant convergence

Uğur ULUSU<sup>1,\*</sup> (D), Fatih NURAY<sup>1</sup> (D)

<sup>1</sup> Afyon Kocatepe University, Department of Mathematics, Afyonkarahisar/TURKEY

#### **Abstract**

In this study, firstly, we introduce the notion of lacunary invariant uniform density of any subset E of the set  $\mathbb N$  (the set of all natural numbers). Then, as associated with this notion, we give the definition of lacunary  $\mathcal I_\sigma$ -convergence for real sequences. Furthermore, we examine relations between this new type convergence notion and the notions of lacunary invariant summability, lacunary strongly q-invariant summability and lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistical convergence which are studied in this area before. Finally, introducing the notions of lacunary  $\mathcal I_\sigma$ -convergence and  $\mathcal I_\sigma$ -Cauchy sequence, we give the relations between these notions and the notion of lacunary  $\mathcal I_\sigma$ -convergence.

# **Article info**

convergence, *J*-Cauchy sequence.

History:
Received: 16.02.2020
Accepted: 26.06.2020
Keywords:
Lacunary sequence,
J-convergence,
Invariant convergence,
Statistical

# 1. Introduction and Background

Let  $\sigma$  be a mapping such that  $\sigma: \mathbb{N}^+ \to \mathbb{N}^+$  (the set of all positive integers). A continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $\ell_{\infty}$ , the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a  $\sigma$ -mean if it satisfies the following conditions:

i.  $\varphi(x_n) \ge 0$ , when the sequence  $(x_n)$  has  $x_n \ge 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

ii.  $\varphi(e) = 1$ , where e = (1,1,1,...) and

$$iii. \varphi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \varphi(x_n)$$
 for all  $(x_n) \in \ell_{\infty}$ .

The mappings  $\sigma$  are assumed to be one-to-one and such that  $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , where  $\sigma^m(n)$  denotes the m th iterate of the mapping  $\sigma$  at n. Thus,  $\varphi$  extends the limit functional on c, the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that  $\varphi(x_n) = \lim x_n$  for all  $(x_n) \in c$ .

In the case  $\sigma$  is translation mappings  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , the  $\sigma$ -mean is often called a Banach limit.

The space  $V_{\sigma}$ , the set of bounded sequences whose invariant means are equal, can be shown that

$$V_{\sigma} = \left\{ (x_k) \in \ell_{\infty} : \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{\sigma^k(n)} = L, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

Several authors studied on the notions of invariant mean and invariant convergent sequence (for examples, see [1-8]).

The notion of strongly  $\sigma$ -convergence (it is denoted by  $[V_{\sigma}]$ ) was introduced by Mursaleen [9]. Then this notion, using a positive real number p, was generalized by Savaş [10] (it is denoted by  $[V_{\sigma}]_p$ ).

By a lacunary sequence, we mean an increasing integer sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  such that

$$k_0 = 0$$
 and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$  as  $r \to \infty$ .

The intervals determined by  $\theta$  is denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  (see, [11]).

Throughout the study,  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  will be taken as a lacunary sequence.

The set of lacunary strongly  $\sigma$ -convergence sequences was defined by Savaş [12] as below:

$$L_{\theta} = \left\{ (x_k) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

Recently, Pancaroğlu and Nuray [13] defined the notions of lacunary invariant summability and lacunary strongly *q*-invariant summability as follows.

A sequence  $(x_k)$  is said to be lacunary invariant summable to L if

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}x_{\sigma^k(n)}=L,$$

uniformly in n.

A sequence  $(x_k)$  is said to be lacunary strongly q-invariant summable  $(0 < q < \infty)$  to L if

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L|^q = 0,$$

uniformly in n and it is denoted by  $x_k \to L([V_{\sigma\theta}]_q)$ .

The idea of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [14] and then studied by several authors (for example, see [15-17]). In one of these studies, Savaş and Nuray [18] defined the notion of lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistical convergence as below.

A sequence  $(x_k)$  is said to be lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistical convergent to L if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r}|\{k\in I_r\colon |x_{\sigma^k(n)}-L|\geq \varepsilon\}|=0,$$

uniformly in n, where the vertical bars denote the number of elements in the enclosed set.

The idea of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence which is a generalization of the statistical convergence notion was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [19]. Some properties of this notion and similar notions which are noted following studied by several authors (for examples, see [20-22]).

A family of sets  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal iff

- $i. \emptyset \in \mathcal{I},$
- ii. For each  $E, F \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have  $E \cup F \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- *iii*. For each  $E \in \mathcal{I}$  and each  $F \subseteq E$ , we have  $F \in \mathcal{I}$ .

An ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called non-trivial if  $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$  and a non-trivial ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called admissible if  $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

All ideals in this study will be assumed to be admissible in  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  (the power set of  $\mathbb{N}$ ).

An admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  has property (AP) if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets  $\{E_1, E_2, ...\}$  belonging to  $\mathcal{I}$ , there exists a countable family of sets  $\{F_1, F_2, ...\}$  such that the symmetric differences  $E_i \Delta F_i$  is a finite for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \in \mathcal{I}$ .

A family of sets  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called a filter iff

- i.  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ ,
- ii. For each  $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $E \cap F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- *iii*. For each  $E \in \mathcal{F}$  and each  $F \supseteq E$ , we have  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ .

There is a filter  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  corresponding with  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : (\exists E \in \mathcal{I})(M = \mathbb{N} \setminus E)\}$  for any ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to L if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set

$$E(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  and it is denoted by  $\mathcal{I} - \lim x_k = L$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent to L if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{m_k} = L$$

and it is denoted by  $\mathcal{I}^* - \lim x_k = L$ .

The notions of  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequence and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence were introduced by Nabiev et al. [23]. Similar notions were studied in [24], too.

A sequence  $(x_k)$  is called an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$F(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \colon |x_k - x_N| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

A sequence  $(x_k)$  is called an  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy sequence if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  such that

$$\lim_{k, n \to \infty} |x_{m_k} - x_{m_p}| = 0.$$

Lately, Nuray et al. [25] introduced the notions of  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence and  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^*$ -convergence for real sequences. Also, they gave some relations between these notions and the notions which are studied in this area before.

### 2. Main Results

In this section, firstly, we introduce the notion of lacunary invariant uniform density of any subset E of the set  $\mathbb{N}$ . After that, associate with this notion, we give the definition of lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence for real sequences. Furthermore, we examine relations between this new type convergence notion and the notions of lacunary invariant summability, lacunary strongly q-invariant summability and lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistical convergence which are studied in this area before.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be a lacunary sequence,  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and

$$s_r := \min_n \{ |E \cap \{\sigma^m(n) : m \in I_r\}| \}, \quad S_r := \max_n \{ |E \cap \{\sigma^m(n) : m \in I_r\}| \}.$$

If the following limits exist

$$\underline{V_{\theta}}(E) := \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{s_r}{h_r} \text{ and } \overline{V_{\theta}}(E) := \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{S_r}{h_r},$$

then they are called a lower lacunary invariant uniform density and an upper lacunary invariant uniform density of the set E, respectively. If  $\underline{V_{\theta}}(E) = \overline{V_{\theta}}(E)$ , then  $V_{\theta}(E) = \underline{V_{\theta}}(E) = \overline{V_{\theta}}(E)$  is called the lacunary invariant uniform density of the set E.

The class of all  $E \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $V_{\theta}(E) = 0$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  is an admissible ideal.

**Definition 2.2** A sequence  $(x_k)$  is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent to L if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set

$$E(\varepsilon) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ , i.e.,  $V_{\theta}(E(\varepsilon)) = 0$  and we write  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim x_k = L$ .

The class of all lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent sequences will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ .

It can be easily verified that if  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim x_k = L_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim y_k = L_2$ , then

i. 
$$\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim (x_k + y_k) = L_1 + L_2$$
 and

ii. 
$$\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim (\alpha x_k) = \alpha L_1$$
 ( $\alpha$  is a constant).

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $(x_k) \in \ell_{\infty}$ . If  $(x_k)$  is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent to L, then this sequence is lacunary invariant summable to L.

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Also, we assume that  $(x_k) \in \ell_{\infty}$  and  $(x_k)$  is lacunary convergent to L.

Now, we calculate

$$T_{\theta}(n) := \left| \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{m \in I_r} x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L \right|.$$

For every n = 1, 2, ..., we have

$$T_{\theta}(n) \le T_{\theta}^{(1)}(n) + T_{\theta}^{(2)}(n),$$

where

$$T_{\theta}^{(1)}(n) := \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{m \in I_r \\ |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L| \ge \varepsilon}} \left| x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L \right|$$

and

$$T_{\theta}^{(2)}(n) := \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{m \in I_r \\ |x_{\sigma}m_{(n)} - L| < \varepsilon}} |x_{\sigma}m_{(n)} - L|.$$

For every n=1,2,..., it is obvious that  $T_{\theta}^{(2)}(n) < \varepsilon$ . Since  $(x_k) \in \ell_{\infty}$ , there exists a  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$|x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L| \le \lambda \ (m \in I_r, \ n = 1, 2, ...)$$

and so we have

$$\begin{split} T_{\theta}^{(1)}(n) &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{m \in I_r \\ |x_{\sigma}m_{(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon}} \left| x_{\sigma}m_{(n)} - L \right| \leq \frac{\lambda}{h_r} \left| \{ m \in I_r : |x_{\sigma}m_{(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon \} \right| \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{\max_{n} \left\{ \left| \{ m \in I_r : |x_{\sigma}m_{(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon \} \right| \right\}}{h_r} \\ &= \lambda \frac{S_r}{h_r}. \end{split}$$

Hence, due to our assumption, the sequence  $(x_k)$  is lacunary invariant summable to L.

In general, the converse of Theorem 2.1 does not hold. For example, let  $(x_k)$  be the sequence defined as follows:

$$x_k \colon= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{,} & \text{if } k_{r-1} < k < k_{r-1} + \left[\sqrt{h_r}\right] \text{ and } k \text{ is an even integer,} \\ 0 & \text{,} & \text{if } k_{r-1} < k < k_{r-1} + \left[\sqrt{h_r}\right] \text{ and } k \text{ is an odd integer.} \end{cases}$$

When  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , this sequence is lacunary invariant summable to  $\frac{1}{2}$  but it is not lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent.

In [25], Nuray et al. gave some relations between the notions of  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence and  $[V_{\sigma}]_p$ -convergence and they showed that these notions are equivalent for bounded sequences. Now, we will give analogous theorems which are state relations between the notions of lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence and lacunary strongly q-invariant summability, and we will show that these notions are equivalent for bounded sequences.

**Theorem 2.2** If a sequence  $(x_k)$  is lacunary strongly q-invariant summable to L, then this sequence is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent to L.

*Proof.* Let  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Also, we assume that  $x_k \to L([V_{\sigma\theta}]_q)$ . For every n = 1, 2, ..., we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L|^q &\geq \sum_{\substack{m \in I_r \\ |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon}} |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L|^q \\ &\geq \varepsilon^q \left| \{ m \in I_r : |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon \} \right| \\ &\geq \varepsilon^q \max_n \left\{ \left| \{ m \in I_r : |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon \} \right| \right\} \end{split}$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{m \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L|^q & \geq \varepsilon^q \, \frac{\max_n \left\{ |\{m \in I_r : |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \right\}}{h_r} \\ &= \varepsilon^q \, \frac{S_r}{h_r}. \end{split}$$

Hence, due to our assumption,  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim x_k = L$ .

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $(x_k) \in \ell_{\infty}$ . If  $(x_k)$  is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent to L, then this sequence is lacunary strongly q-invariant summable to L.

*Proof.* Let  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Also, we assume that  $(x_k) \in \ell_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim x_k = L$ . Since  $(x_k) \in \ell_\infty$ , there exists a  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $|x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L| \le \lambda$   $(m \in I_r, n = 1, 2, ...)$  and so we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{m \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L|^q &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{m \in I_r \\ |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L| \ge \varepsilon}} |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L|^q + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{m \in I_r \\ |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L| < \varepsilon}} |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L|^q \\ &\leq \lambda \, \frac{\max_{n} \left\{ |\{m \in I_r : |x_{\sigma^m(n)} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}|\right\}}{h_r} + \varepsilon^q \\ &\leq \lambda \, \frac{S_r}{h_r} + \varepsilon^q. \end{split}$$

Hence, due to our assumption,  $x_k \to L([V_{\sigma\theta}]_q)$ .

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $(x_k) \in \ell_{\infty}$ . Then,  $(x_k)$  is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent to L if and only if this sequence is lacunary strongly q-invariant summable to L.

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3.

Now, without proof, we will state a theorem that gives a relation between the notions of lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence and lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistical convergence.

**Theorem 2.5** A sequence  $(x_k)$  is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent to L if and only if this sequence is lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistical convergent to L.

**Remark 2.1** By combining Theorem 2 in [18] and Theorem 5 in [25], we obtain that  $\Im_{\sigma\theta} = \Im_{\sigma}$  for every lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$ , where  $\Im_{\sigma}$  is the class of all  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent sequences.

Finally, introducing the notions of lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^*$ -convergence and lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -Cauchy sequence, we will give the relations between these notions and the notion of lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence.

**Definition 2.3** A sequence  $(x_k)$  is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^*$ -convergent to L if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots \} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta})$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{m_k} = L.$$

In this case, we write  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^* - \lim x_k = L$ .

**Theorem 2.6** If a sequence  $(x_k)$  is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^*$ -convergent to L, then this sequence is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent to L.

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Also, we assume that  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^* - \lim x_k = L$ . Then, there exists a set  $H \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  such that for  $M = \mathbb{N} \setminus H = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots\}$  we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{m_k} = L$$

and so there exists a  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{m_k} - L| < \varepsilon$  for every  $k > k_0$ . Hence, it is obvious that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$E(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\} \subset H \cup \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_{k_0}\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is admissible,

$$H \cup \{ m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_{k_0} \} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$$

and so we have  $E(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim x_k = L$ .

The converse of Theorem 2.6 holds if the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  has the property (AP).

**Theorem 2.7** Let the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  be with the property (AP). If a sequence  $(x_k)$  is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent to L, then this sequence is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^*$ -convergent to L.

*Proof.* Let the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  be with the property (AP) and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Also, we assume that  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim x_k = L$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$E(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}.$$

Denote  $E_1, E_2, ..., E_n$  as following

$$E_1 := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \ge 1\} \text{ and } E_n := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \le |x_k - L| < \frac{1}{n-1}\},$$

where  $n \geq 2$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ . Note that  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$   $(i \neq j)$  and  $E_i \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  (for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Since  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  has the property (AP), there exists a set sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that the symmetric differences  $E_i\Delta F_i$  are finite (for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $F = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ . Now, to complete the proof, it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{\substack{k \to \infty \\ k \in M}} x_k = L,\tag{2.1}$$

where  $M = \mathbb{N} \setminus F$ . Let  $\zeta > 0$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n+1} < \zeta$ . Then, we have

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N}: |x_k - L| \ge \zeta\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i.$$

Since the symmetric differences  $E_i \Delta F_i$  (i=1,2,...,n+1) are finite, there exists a  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i\right) \cap \{k \in \mathbb{N}: k > k_0\} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} F_i\right) \cap \{k \in \mathbb{N}: k > k_0\}. \tag{2.2}$$

If  $k > k_0$  and  $k \notin F$ , then

$$k \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} F_i$$
 and by (2.2)  $k \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i$ .

This implies that

$$|x_k - L| < \frac{1}{n+1} < \zeta$$

and so (2.1) holds. Consequently,  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^* - \lim x_k = L$ .

**Definition 2.4** A sequence  $(x_k)$  is a lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the set

$$B(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N}: |x_k - x_N| \ge \varepsilon\}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ , i.e.,  $V_{\theta}(B(\varepsilon)) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.5** A sequence  $(x_k)$  is a lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^*$ -Cauchy sequence if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots \} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta})$  such that

$$\lim_{k,p\to\infty}|x_{m_k}-x_{m_p}|=0.$$

The proof of the following theorems are similar to the proof of theorems in [23], so we omit them.

**Theorem 2.8** If a sequence  $(x_k)$  is lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent, then this sequence is a lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -Cauchy sequence.

**Theorem 2.9** If a sequence  $(x_k)$  is a lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^*$ -Cauchy sequence, then this sequence is a lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -Cauchy sequence.

**Theorem 2.10** Let the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  be with the property (AP). Then, the notions of lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -Cauchy sequence and lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^*$ -Cauchy sequence coincide.

## Acknowledgement

The work was supported by grants from AKUBAP (16.KARİYER.62).

#### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors state that did not have conflict of interests.

### References

- [1] Raimi R.A., Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability, *Duke Math. J.*, 30(1) (1963) 81-94.
- [2] Schaefer P., Infinite matrices and invariant means. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 36(1) (1972) 104-110.
- [3] Mursaleen M., On finite matrices and invariant means, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 10 (1979) 457-460.
- [4] Savaş E., Strongly  $\sigma$ -convergent sequences, Bull. Calcutta Math., 81 (1989) 295-300.
- [5] Mursaleen M. and Edely O.H.H., On the invariant mean and statistical convergenc, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22(11) (2009) 1700-1704.
- [6] Başarır M. and Konca Ş., On some lacunary almost convergent double sequence spaces and Banach limits, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2012 (2012).
- [7] Pancaroğlu N. and Nuray F., On invariant statistically convergence and lacunary invariant statistical convergence of sequences of sets, *Prog. Appl. Math.*, 5(2) (2013) 23-29.
- [8] Nuray F. and Ulusu U., Lacunary invariant statistical convergence of double sequences of sets, *Creat. Math. Inform.*, 28(2) (2019) 143-150.
- [9] Mursaleen M., Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces, *Houston J. Math.*, 9 (1983) 505-509.
- [10] Savaş E., Some sequence spaces involving invariant means, *Indian J. Math.*, 31 (1989) 1-8.
- [11] Fridy J.A., and Orhan C., Lacunary statistical convergence, Pacific J. Math., 160(1) (1993) 43-51.
- [12] Savaş E., On lacunary strong  $\sigma$ -convergence, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 21(4) (1990) 359-365.
- [13] Pancaroğlu N. and Nuray F., Statistical lacunary invariant summability, *Theoretical Math. Appl.*, 3(2) (2013)

71-78.

- [14] Fast H., Sur la convergence statistique, Collog. Math., 2(3-4) (1951) 241-244.
- [15] Šalát T., On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, Math. Slovaca, 30(2) (1980) 139-150.
- [16] Fridy J.A., On statistical convergence, *Analysis*, 5(4) (1985) 301-314.
- [17] Rath D. and Tripathy B.C., On statistically convergent and statistically Cauchy sequences, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 25(4) (1994) 381-386.
- [18] Savaş E. and Nuray F., On  $\sigma$ -statistically convergence and lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistically convergence, *Math. Slovaca*, 43(3) (1993) 309-315.
- [19] Kostyrko P., Šalát T. and Wilczyński W., J-convergence, Real Anal. Exchange, 26(2) (2000) 669-686.
- [20] Kostyrko P., Macaj M., Šalát T., Sleziak M., *J*-convergence and external *J*-limits points, *Math. Slovaca*, 55 (2005) 443-464.
- [21] Sever Y., Ulusu U. and Dündar E., On strongly  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -lacunary convergence of sequences of sets, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1611(1) (2014) 357–362.
- [22] Konca Ş., Weighted lacunary *J*-statistical convergence, *Iğdır Univ. J. Inst. Sci. & Tech.*, 7(1) (2017) 267-277.
- [23] Nabiev A., Pehlivan S. and Gürdal M., On J-Cauchy sequences, Taiwanese J. Math., 11(2) (2007) 569-576.
- [24] Dems K., On *J*-Cauchy sequences, *Real Anal. Exchange*, 30(1) (2004) 123-128.
- [25] Nuray F., Gök H., Ulusu U.,  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence, *Math Commun.*, 16 (2011) 531-538.