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#### Abstract

In the ray-optics regime, we calculated the radial and axial force field on a micron-sized spherical particle in an optical levitation trap. The momentum change in the photon-stream path of tightly focused incident laser beam causes the calculated force field in the optical trap. The computational results for the force field are compared with the literature and a good agreement is obtained. Utilizing the benchmarked force field, the optical trapping dynamics of (i) a transparent spherical particle with continuous-wave $T E M_{00}$ Gaussian beam and (ii) a reflecting spherical particle with continuous-wave $T E M_{01}^{*}$ Laguerre-Gaussian beam under various conditions are simulated in Matlab.
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## 1. Introduction

Trapping and precisely manipulating micron and submicron scale objects without interfering with a physical attachment is an interesting technical challenge. In the early 1970s, Ashkin and colleagues have reported the first applicable observation of acceleration and trapping of particles by radiation forces [1-6]. The technique opened the door to the study of the dynamics of single suspended particles, molecules, biological cells, and water droplets held in an optical levitation trap and to completely isolate these objects from their immediate surroundings [7, 8]. Thus, using radiation forces obtained by tightly focusing laser beams has become a useful tool for trapping and manipulating micrometer-sized particles in many scientific areas such as chemistry, physics and biology [2,3,9-15]. There are three different approaches for deriving the theoretical expressions for radiation forces due to laser beam exposures; (i) the electromagnetic dipole model that calculates the radiation force for particles in the Rayleigh regime where the objects are much smaller than the wavelength of the laser beam, and (ii) the ray-optics approach which has been first studied by Ashkin and applicable to the objects larger than the wavelength of the laser beam used in optical levitation trap [2,7,1620]. Especially the single-beam gradient trap setups were originally designed for Rayleigh particles $[2,5,21]$. These setups are also named as optical tweezers which has been experimentally shown that they could be used for trapping and manipulating
micron-sized biological particles such as living cells and organelles within living cells [2,3,6,22]. In 2018, Ashkin won the Nobel Prize for his contributions to the optical tweezers and their application to biological systems. (iii) The third approach for the mathematical definition of the radiation force is the Lorenz-Mie model which has been used for the objects with dimensions close to the wavelength of the tightly focused laser beam utilized in optical trap setup [23]. Recently, the studies on the optical levitation of submicron particles are
being focused on trapping by ultrashort laser pulses [24,25] high precision nano-g acceleration sensing [26,27] manipulation of the trapped sub-micron particles and applications in optomechanics [28,29].
There are unique design requirements for an optical levitation trap setup. Especially regarding stability, loading of targets under vacuum and trapping of transparent or reflective targets, a computational tool requirement to help inform further development and experimental considerations motivate the work we present here. In this work, we focused on Ashkin's approach of ray-optics model [1-6] and computationally analysed the optical trapping of transparent and reflecting micron-sized spherical particles. This paper gives the computational results of (i) optical trapping of a transparent spherical particle with continuous-wave $T E M_{00}$ Gaussian beam, and (ii) optical trapping of a reflecting spherical particle with continuous-wave $T E M_{01}^{*}$ Laguerre-Gaussian beam under various conditions. Both the evolution of axial

[^0]and radial dynamics are calculated in Matlab utilizing Velocity Verlet integrator algorithm.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows; Section 2 gives the analytical model and the simulation results for optical trapping of transparent spherical particle with $T E M_{00}$ Gaussian beam. The benchmarking process for the developed Matlab code is explained in this part. Section 3 describes the analytical model for optical trapping of reflecting spherical particle with $T E M_{01}^{*}$ Laguerre-Gaussian beam and gives the simulation results. The conclusion and the proposed future work is explained in Section 4. In Appendix, the Matlab modules developed in this study are given.

## 2. Optical Trapping of Transparent Micronsized Spherical Particle with TEM 00 Gaussian Beam

In this study, the equations for the axial and radial force fields of the ray-optics model have been utilized from Gauthier et al. [7]. We calculated and simulated the force fields on a micron-sized transparent spherical particle due to $T E M_{00}$ Gaussian beam exposure using Matlab. In Section 2.1, the results for the benchmarking of the developed Matlab code is given. Once the force fields are obtained correctly, using Velocity Verlet algorithm in Section 2.2, we simulated the evolution of the axial position, radial position and radial velocity of the particle trapped in $T E M_{00}$ Gaussian beam as shown in Figure 1 below.

Due to the change in the momentum of the photonstream while passing through the transparent micronsized spherical particle, a net force occurs on the particle as shown in Figure 1a. Here, particle has an inital radial offset with respect to the center of the Gaussian beam. Under the exposure of this force, particle departs towards the centre of the Gaussian beam. Once it reaches the center of the beam, the transverse force diminishes and only the longitudinal force in the direction of the Gaussian beam remains (see Figure 1b). Here, the transverse direction is on the radial axis and the longitudinal direction is on the propagation direction of the beam which is assumed to be on the z-dimension (see Figure 1c). Due to the viscosity effect, the transparent spherical particle will have damped oscillation in the transverse direction at the vicinity of the center of the beam as depicted in Figure 1c. Here, the comparison for the trapping of transparent and reflecting particles are also shown in Figure 1c. The difference between the trapping dynamics of transparent and reflecting spherical particle is that; while trapping a transparent spherical with $T E M_{00}$ mode is possible, for trapping reflecting spherical particle $T E M_{01}^{*}$ mode is needed. In order to trap a reflecting particle with $T E M_{01}^{*}$ mode, the particle must be located somewhere in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and the $3^{\text {rd }}$ regions of the beam. In these regions, reflecting particle will have a damped oscillation just as similar to the transparent particle having a damped oscillation under $T E M_{00}$ mode exposure as shown in Figure 1c.


Figure 1. (a) Ray-optics approach of the photon-stream path incident upon the lower side of a transparent spherical particle with an arbitrarily given initial radial offset with respect to the center axis of the Gaussian beam. Here, $\Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}=0}, \Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r} \neq 0}, \mathrm{n}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ denotes for the momentum change at $\mathrm{r}=0$, momentum change at $\mathrm{r} \neq 0$, ambient refractive index and particle refractive index, respectively. (b) Transparent spherical particle located in the center of a Gaussian beam [30]. (c) Comparison of trapping transparent spherical particle with TEM 00 Gaussian beam and trapping reflecting spherical particle with $T E M_{01}^{*}$ Laguerre-Gaussian beam. It is not possible to trap a reflecting particle with $T E M_{00}$ mode.

For the trapping simulations of the transparent spherical particle, we must explicitly define the intensity of the $T E M_{00}$ Gaussian beam in the numerical model. It is defined as:
$I(\rho, z)=\frac{2 P}{\pi W(z)^{2}} \exp \left[\frac{-2 \rho^{2}}{W(z)^{2}}\right]$
where; (i) $\rho$ is the radial displacement of a point on the surface of the spherical particle (not the center of the
particle) (see Figures 2a and 2b). If the center of the spherical particle is displaced from the center of the beam by $\bar{a}$, then we can write the radial displacement (with respect to the global coordinate system) of a point on the sphere as $\bar{d}$. Therefore, the magnitude of the radial displacement is defined as $\rho$. In other words, $\rho$ is the radial distance from the beam's axis. From Figures 2a and 2b, we can write;
$\bar{d}=\rho \hat{d}$
where $\rho=|\bar{d}|$. Here, $\bar{d}$ is the position of points on the surface of the spherical particle with respect to the global coordinate system. Thus looking at Figures 2a and 2 b , the following can be written;
$\bar{a}=a_{x} \hat{a}_{x}+a_{y} \hat{a}_{y}+a_{z} \hat{a}_{z}$
and
$\bar{b}=x \hat{a}_{x}+y \hat{a}_{y}+z \hat{a}_{z}$
where $\bar{a}$ is the position of the center of the spherical particle with respect to the global coordinate system. Here, $x=R \operatorname{Sin} \theta \operatorname{Cos} \varnothing$ and $y=R \operatorname{Sin} \theta \operatorname{Sin} \emptyset$. Using $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{b}$, we can write
$\bar{d}=\bar{a}+\bar{b}$.
Assume $a_{y}=0$ and $a_{z}=0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{d}=\left(a_{x}+x\right) \hat{a}_{x}+y \hat{a}_{y} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho=|\bar{d}| \rightarrow \rho=\sqrt{\bar{d} \bar{d}}  \tag{7}\\
& \rho=\left(a_{x}^{2}+2 a_{x} x+x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we can define $\rho$ as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho= & {\left[a_{x}^{2}+2 a_{x} R \operatorname{Sin} \theta \operatorname{Cos} \emptyset+(R \operatorname{Sin} \theta \operatorname{Cos} \emptyset)^{2}+\right.} \\
& \left.(R \operatorname{Sin} \theta \operatorname{Sin} \emptyset)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta \in[0, \pi / 2]$ and $\emptyset \in[0,2 \pi]$.


Figure 2. (a) 2-dimensional view of the spherical particle from the above. Here, the propagation of the Gaussian beam is at $\hat{a}_{z}$ direction. (b) 3-dimensional view of a spherical particle under the exposure of a $T E M_{00}$ Gaussian beam. Particle has an arbitrary initial radial offset with respect to the center axis of the beam. Here, $\emptyset$ is the polar angle, $\theta$ is the incident angle and $|\bar{a}|$ is the radial distance between the center axis of the beam and center axis of the sphere [8].
(ii) $z$ is the vertical displacement of a point on the surface of the spherical particle (not the center of the particle). In other words, it is the distance measured along the beam's direction of propagation with $z=0$ located at the minimum waist;
$z=a_{z}-R \operatorname{Cos} \theta$
(iii) $P$ is the total power of the laser beam and $w(z)$ is the beam width defined as:
$w(z)=w_{0}\left[1+\left(\frac{z}{z_{0}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$
where $w_{0}$ is the beam waist and $z_{0}$ is the Rayleigh range defined as $z_{0}=\pi w_{0}^{2} / \lambda_{0}$ where $\lambda_{0}$ is the wavelength of the laser beam.

### 2.1. Benchmarking the Matlab code by comparing force plots

There is a vast amount of literature on optical trapping codes containing force plots of the optical gradient forces. As mentioned above, in this study, the equations for the axial and radial force fields of the ray-
optics model have been utilized from Gauthier et al. [7]. Thus, in order to validate our optical force modules of the Matlab code are functioning correctly, the Matlab outputs of our code are compared with the results of [7]. In the benchmarking simulations, three cases were tested and compared in which the radius of the spherical particle is (i) smaller than the beam waist,
(ii) equal to the beam waist and (iii) larger than the beam waist as shown in Figure 3 below. For a correct comparison of the results in the benchmarking process, the physical parameters of [7] are utilized as listed in Table 1 below. In the next section, dynamic viscosity, refractive index of the spherical particle, wavelength of the beam and the laser power are changed.


Figure 3. (a) Radius of spherical particle is smaller than laser beam waist $\left(\mathrm{R}<\mathrm{w}_{0}\right)$. (b) R

Table 1. Values of the parameters utilized from Gauthier et al. [7] for code benchmarking calculations.

| Input Parameters | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Initial radial offset $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0 |
| Initial axial offset $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0 |
| (for Figures 4-b, 4-d and 4-f) |  |

Looking at Figure 4 below, a good agreement is seen between the force curves acting as a sanity check against our Matlab code. In the Appendix, the related

(a)

Matlab modules implemented in the benchmarking calculations are provided.

(b)



Figure 4. (a) Evolution of axial force with axial distance from beam waist for $\mathrm{R}=1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (radius of transparent spherical particle) and $\mathrm{w}_{0}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (laser beam waist) [7]. (b) Corresponding Matlab output of our code for Fig. $3-\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{R}=1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{w}_{0}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}\right.$ ). (c) Evolution of axial force with axial distance from beam waist for $\mathrm{R}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{0}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}[7]$. (d) Corresponding Matlab output of our code for Fig. 3-c ( $\mathrm{R}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{w}_{0}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). (e) Evolution of axial force with axial distance from beam waist for $\mathrm{R}=8.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{0}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ [7]. (f) Corresponding Matlab output of our code for Fig. 3-e $\left(\mathrm{R}=8.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{w}_{0}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}\right)$. (g) Evolution of radial force with radial distance from beam axis for $\mathrm{R}=1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{0}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ [7]. (h) Corresponding Matlab output of our code for Fig. 3-g ( $\mathrm{R}=1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{w}_{0}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). (i) Evolution of radial force with radial distance from beam axis for $\mathrm{R}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{0}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}[7]$. (j) Corresponding Matlab output of our code for Fig. 3-i $\left(\mathrm{R}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{w}_{0}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}\right)$.

### 2.2. Simulation results for optical trapping of transparent spherical micro-particle

Once the credibility of the code was established proving the force fields are being calculated properly, as the next step we move forward to investigate the dynamics of the transparent spherical particle under $T E M_{00}$ Gaussian beam exposure. Thus, in order to understand the evolution of the axial and radial dynamics of the particle in the optical trap, we utilized the Velocity Verlet (VV) algortihm in side the code. It is a popular integrator giving pricesly the velocity and the position of the particle at the same time $t$ in a threestage calculation [31]:
$V\left(t_{0}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\right)=V\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{F\left(r\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right)}{m}$
$r\left(t_{0}+\Delta t\right)=r\left(t_{0}\right)+\Delta t V\left(t_{0}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\right)$
$V\left(t_{0}+\Delta t\right)=V\left(t_{0}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\right)+\frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{F\left(r\left(t_{0}+\Delta t\right), t_{0}+\Delta t\right)}{m}$
Here, $F$ is the force field (Lorentz force) on the particle. It must be noted that $F$ is being calculated twice in the VV algorithm. The first evaluation of $F$ is at the inital time and position of the particle (see Eq. 12). The second evaluation of $F$ is at the next position of the particle after the time-step $\Delta t$ (see Eq. 14) [31].

In the simulations, we utilized the following values for the given parameters in Table 2 below:

Table 2. Values of the parameters used in the simulations of optical trapping dynamics of transparent spherical particle.

| Input Parameters | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Initial radial offset $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Initial axial offset $(\mathrm{m})$ | $-5 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| Initial axial velocity $\left(\mathrm{ms}^{-1}\right)$ | 5.0 |
| Particle radius $(\mathrm{m})$ | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Beam waist $(\mathrm{m})-\mathrm{FWHM}$ | $4 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Laser power $(\mathrm{mW})$ | 200 |
| Density of the particle $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 2500 |
| Medium dynamic viscosity $\left(\mathrm{kgm}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $1.82 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Laser wavelength $(\mathrm{nm})$ | 532 |
| Simulation step size $(\mathrm{sec})-\Delta \mathrm{t}$ | $5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Ambient refractive index $-\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | 1.0 |
| Particle refractive index $-\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | 1.52 |

The simulation results for the evolution of the axial and radial trajectories of the transparent spherical particle in the optical trap are given in Figure 5 below. In the Appendix, the related Matlab modules implemented for these simulations are provided. For a proper experimental design of an optical trap, it is vital to understand the axial and radial dynamics of a particle located in the optical trap. Thus having $a$ computational tool providing the evolution of particle trajectory is extremely beneficial for the experimentalists working in this research field.


Figure 5. (a) Radial vs axial trajectory of the particle under TEM ${ }_{00}$ beam exposure in the optical trap. (b) Temporal evolution of the damped oscillation of the particle at the vicinity of the center axis of the TEM $M_{00}$ laser beam. (c) Temporal evolution of the radial velocity of the particle in the optical trap.

## 3. Optıcal Trapping Of A Reflecting Particle Under $T E M_{01}^{*}$ Laguerre-Gaussian Beam

As explained in Section 2, different than trapping a transparent particle with a $T E M_{00}$ beam, we need $T E M_{01}^{*}$ Laguerre-Gaussian beam for trapping a
reflecting spherical particle. In this section, first the derivation of the intensity expression of LaguerreGaussian donut beam in terms of total beam power is discussed. Laguerre-Gaussian beams are the higherorder Gaussian beams in cylindrical coordinates [32,33]:
$E_{p, l}(\rho, \theta, z)=\frac{A_{p, l}}{w(z)}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \rho}{w(z)}\right)^{l} L_{p}^{l}\left(\frac{2 \rho^{2}}{w(z)}\right) \exp \left(\frac{-\rho^{2}}{w(z)^{2}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{i k \rho^{2}}{2 R(z)}\right) \exp (i[l \theta-\varphi(z)])$
where $\rho=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \theta=\tan ^{-1}(y / x), w(z)$ is the beam width defined same as the Gaussian beam width, $L_{p}^{l}$ is the associated Laguerre polynomial of radial order $p$ and azimuthal order $l$ and $A_{p, l}$ is the constant term for normalizing the beam equation [34]:
$A_{p, l}=p!\left(\frac{2}{\pi p!(|l|+p)!}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
Here, if $l \neq 0$ and $p=0$, then the beams have a characteristic single-ringed donut. The radius of donut is proportional to $l^{1 / 2}$. Thus, $\left.L G_{0}^{1}\right|_{(p=0, l=1)}=T E M_{01}^{*}$ [33-35]. For $L G_{p}^{l}$ mode, the amplitude expression can be defined from Eq. 15 as below [ $32,33,35$ ]:
$E o_{p, l}(\rho, \theta, z)=\frac{A_{p, l}}{w(z)}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \rho}{w(z)}\right)^{l} L_{p}^{l}\left(\frac{2 \rho^{2}}{w(z)}\right) \exp \left(\frac{-\rho^{2}}{w(z)^{2}}\right)$
Using Eq. 17, the intensity expression of the donut mode can be derived [35]:
$I_{L G_{0}^{1}}=\frac{c \varepsilon_{o}}{2}\left(E o_{p=0, l=1}\right)^{2}$,
$I_{L G_{0}^{1}}=\frac{c \varepsilon_{o}}{2}\left[\frac{A_{p=0, l=1}}{w(z)}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \rho}{w(z)}\right)^{1} L_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{2 \rho^{2}}{w(z)}\right) \exp \left(\frac{-\rho^{2}}{w(z)^{2}}\right)\right]^{2}$
where

$$
A_{p=0, l=1}=\left[p!\left(\frac{2}{\pi p!(|l|+p)!}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]_{p=0, l=1},
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p=0, l=1}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{2 \rho^{2}}{w(z)}\right)=1 \text { where } L_{0}^{l}(x)=1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eqs. 21 and 22 in Eq. 19, we can get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{L G_{0}^{1}}=\frac{c \varepsilon_{o}}{2}\left[\frac{\sqrt{2 / \pi}}{w(z)}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \rho}{w(z)}\right) \exp \left(\frac{-\rho^{2}}{w(z)^{2}}\right)\right]^{2}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I_{L G_{0}^{1}}=\left(2 c \varepsilon_{0}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\pi w(z)^{4}} \rho^{2} \exp \left(\frac{-2 \rho^{2}}{w(z)^{2}}\right)\right]$.
The total optical power carried by the beam is the integral of the optical intensity over a transverse plane as $P=\int_{0}^{\infty} I(\rho, z) 2 \pi \rho d \rho[34,35]$.

Thus using Eq. 24, the total power can be written as [33-35]:
$P=\frac{2 c \varepsilon_{0}}{\pi w(z)^{4}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho^{2} \exp \left(\frac{-2 \rho^{2}}{w(z)^{2}}\right) 2 \pi \rho d \rho$,
$P=\frac{4 c \varepsilon_{0}}{w(z)^{4}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho^{3} \exp \left(\frac{-2 \rho^{2}}{w(z)^{2}}\right) d \rho$.

The definition of the integral term in Eq. 26 is defined as [36]:
$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} \exp \left(-a x^{2}\right) d x=\left\{\begin{array}{c}(i)^{\frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} / a^{n+1 / 2} ;(n>-1, a>0) \\ (\text { ii }) \frac{(2 k-1)!!}{2^{k+1} a^{k}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} ;(n=2 k, k \text { is integer, } a>0, \\ !!\text { is double factorial }) \\ \text { (iii) } \frac{k!}{2 a^{k+1}} ;(n=2 k+1, k \text { is integer, } a>0)\end{array}\right.$

The integral term in Eq. 26 ( $n=3, k=1$ and $a=$ $\frac{2}{w(z)^{2}}>0$ ) satisfies both of the conditions for (i) and (iii). For the value of the integral term, we found the same results:
(i) $\frac{\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(2)}{\left(\frac{2}{w(z)^{2}}\right)^{2}}=\frac{w(z)^{4}}{8}$,
and
(iii) $\frac{1!}{2\left(\frac{2}{w(z)^{2}}\right)^{2}}=\frac{w(z)^{4}}{8}$.

This result can be used in Eq. 26 for defining $P$ :
$P=\frac{4 c \varepsilon_{0}}{w(z)^{4}} \frac{w(z)^{4}}{8}$,
$P=\frac{c \varepsilon_{0}}{2}$.
Using Eq. 31 in Eq. 24, the intensity expression of the donut mode in terms of power can be written as:
$I_{L G_{0}^{1}}=T E M_{01}^{*}=\frac{4 P}{\pi w(z)^{4}} \rho^{2} \exp \left(\frac{-2 \rho^{2}}{w(z)^{2}}\right)$.

### 3.1. Simulation results for optical trapping of reflecting spherical micro-particle

Utilizing Eq. 32, the axial optical trapping dynamics of micron-sized reflecting spherical particles with various radius values and with various initial axial positions are simulated. The following parameters in Table 3 are used in the simulations. The results are depicted in Figures 6 and 7 below.
Table 3. Values of the parameters used in the simulations of optical trapping dynamics of reflecting spherical particle.

| Input Parameters | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Initial radial offset $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0 |
| Initial axial offset $(\mathrm{m})$ | $(200$ and -50$) \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Initial axial velocity $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec})$ | 0 |
| Particle radius $(\mathrm{m})$ | $(2-2.5-3.5) \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Beam waist $(\mathrm{m})-\mathrm{FWHM}$ | $3 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Laser power $(\mathrm{mW})$ | 600 |
| Density of the particle $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 1197.2 |
| Medium dynamic viscosity | $1.82 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{kgm}^{-1} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)$ | 532 |
| Laser wavelength $(\mathrm{nm})$ | $1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Simulation step size $(\mathrm{sec})-\Delta \mathrm{t}$ |  |



Figure 6. The axial stabilization of the particles with different radius $(\mathrm{R})$ values and initial axial positions (Zinitial).


Figure 7. The axial velocity of the particles with different radius (R) values and initial axial positions (Zinitial).

## 4. Conclusion and Future Work

The momentum change in the photon-stream path of incident laser beam causes radiation force field. Using this radiation force field for trapping and manipulating micron-sized particles by tightly focusing laser beams in an optical trap has become a useful tool for many recent research areas. Due to the unique design requirements in an optical trap experiment, a computational tool is required for a better setup development. In this work, using Ashkin's approach of
ray-optics model, we computationally analysed the optical levitation trapping of transparent and reflecting micron-sized spherical particles. We calculated the radiation force field using continuous-wave $T E M_{00}$ Gaussian and $T E M_{01}^{*}$ Laguerre-Gaussian laser beams for the optical trapping of transparent and reflecting particles, respectively. Utilizing Velocity Verlet algorithm, evolution of optical levitation trap dynamics are analysed in Matlab. As a future challenging work,
we aim to focus on analytical modelling and simulation of optical trapping of micron-sized metamaterials having negative index of refraction.

## Appendix

## A.1. Matlab code for the benchmarking process and optical trapping simulations of the transparent spherical micro-particle

This section gives the Matlab functions developed for both benchmarking process and optical trap
simulations. Figure 8 below gives the geometric model of the photon-stream path of the incident beam on a transparent spherical particle where the refractive index of the particle is greater than the refractive index of the ambient. During calculating the force field on the particle, we took into consideration the momentum change due to the $1^{\text {st }}$ reflected $\&$ transmitted beams and $2^{\text {nd }}$ reflected \& transmitted beams in this ray-optics model.


Figure 8. Reflected and transmitted ray-optics model of a transparent spherical particle under the exposure of a laser beam. The force due to the momentum change with respect to the $1^{\text {st }}$ reflected $\&$ transmitted beams and $2^{\text {nd }}$ reflected $\&$ transmitted beams are calculated in the given Matlab modules below. Using the calculated force-fields, the trap dynamics of the particle is simulated.
function $\mathrm{f}=$ forceAxial()
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
\% This function calculates (within the geometrical optics regime) the total axial force
$\%$ on a transparent spherical particle in the optical trap due to the 1 st reflected and
$\%$ 1st transmitted photon-stream paths and 2nd reflected and 2nd transmitted photon-
$\%$ stream paths of a continuous-wave TEM00
Gaussian laser beam.
global az
global ax
global R
global W0
global P
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
$\mathrm{ax}=0$; \% Initial radial offset of particle from center axis of laser beam
$\mathrm{R}=2 \mathrm{e}-6$; \% Radius of spherical particle
$\mathrm{W} 0=1.5 \mathrm{e}-6 ; \%$ Beam waist
$\mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{e}-3$; \% Laser power
$\mathrm{n} 0=1.333 ; \%$ Refractive index of ambient
$\mathrm{ns}=1.5468 ; \%$ Refractive index of transparent spherical particle
lambda $0=514 \mathrm{e}-9 ; \%$ Laser wavelength -m
density $=1000 ; \%$ Particle density $-\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$
$m=$ density* $(4 / 3) *$ pi $^{*} \mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 3 ; \%$ mass of the spherical particle -kg
$\mathrm{g}=9.8 ; \% \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2$
ForceWeight $=\mathrm{m}^{*} \mathrm{~g}$;
counter $=1 ; \%$ counter initialization
for $a z=0: 1 e-5: 5 e-3$
posAxial(counter)=az; \% Axial position of the particle in the trap
$\%$ Axial force due to the 1 st reflected photon-stream path

ForceOneRZ=dblquad(@forceOneReflectedZ,0,2*pi, 0,pi/2);
\% Axial force due to the 1st transmitted photonstream path

ForceOneTZ=dblquad(@forceOneTransmittedZ,0,2* pi,0,pi/2);
$\%$ Axial force due to the 2 nd reflected photonstream path

ForceTwoRZ=dblquad(@forceTwoReflectedZ,0,2*pi ,0,pi/2);
\% Axial force due to 2 nd transmitted photon-stream path

ForceTwoTZ=dblquad(@forceTwoTransmittedZ,0,2* pi,0,pi/2);
\% Total axial force on the particle
ForceAxial(counter)=ForceOneRZ+ForceOneTZ+For ceTwoRZ+ForceTwoTZ-

ForceWeight;
counter=counter + ;
end
plot(posAxial,ForceAxial)
grid on
return

## function $\mathrm{f}=$ forceRadial()

\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates (within the geometrical optics regime) the total radial force
$\%$ on a transparent spherical particle in the optical trap due to the 1 st reflected and
$\%$ 1st transmitted photon-stream paths and 2nd reflected and 2nd transmitted photon-
$\%$ stream paths of a continuous-wave TEM00 Gaussian laser beam.
global az
global ax
global R
global W0
global P
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
$\mathrm{az}=0 ; \%$ Initial axial offset of the particle in the trap
$\mathrm{R}=2 \mathrm{e}-6 ; \%$ Radius of spherical particle
$\mathrm{W} 0=1.5 \mathrm{e}-6 ; \%$ Beam waist
$\mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{e}-3$; \% Laser power
$\mathrm{n} 0=1.333 ; \%$ Refractive index of ambient
$\mathrm{ns}=1.5468 ; \%$ Refractive index of transparent spherical particle
lambda0 $=514 \mathrm{e}-9$; \% Laser wavelength -m
counter $=1 ; \%$ counter initialization
for $a x=0: 1 e-7: 10 e-6$;
posRadial(counter)=ax; \% Radial position of the particle in the trap
\% Radial force due to the 1 st reflected photonstream path

ForceOneRR=-
dblquad(@forceOneReflectedR,0,2*pi,0,pi/2);
\% Radial force due to the 1st transmitted photonstream path

ForceOneTR=dblquad(@forceOneTransmittedR,0,2*pi,0,pi/2);
\% Radial force due to the 2 nd reflected photonstream path

ForceTwoRR=-
dblquad(@forceTwoReflectedR,0,2*pi,0,pi/2);
\% Radial force due to the 2 nd transmitted photonstream path

ForceTwoTR=-
dblquad(@forceTwoTransmittedR,0,2*pi,0,pi/2);
\% Total radial force on the particle
ForceRadial(counter)=ForceOneRR+ForceOneTR + F
orceTwoRR+ForceTwoTR;
counter $=$ counter +1 ;
end
plot(posRadial,ForceRadial)
grid on
return
function $\mathrm{f}=$ opticalTrapDynamics()
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
\% This function calculates (within the geometrical optics regime) the optical trap
$\%$ dynamics of a transparent spherical particle due to the 1st reflected and
$\%$ 1st transmitted photon-stream paths and 2nd reflected and 2nd transmitted photon-
$\%$ stream paths of a continuous-wave TEM00 Gaussian laser beam.
$\%$ (see Figure 5 in the text)
global az
global ax
global R
global W0
global P
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
$\mathrm{az}=-5 \mathrm{e}-3 ; \%$ Initial axial position of particle
$a x=1 \mathrm{e}-6 ; \%$ Initial radial offset of particle from center axis of laser beam
$\mathrm{R}=5 \mathrm{e}-6 ; \%$ Radius of transparent spherical particle
$\mathrm{W} 0=4 \mathrm{e}-6 ; \%$ Beam waist
$\mathrm{P}=200 \mathrm{e}-3 ; \%$ Laser power
$\mathrm{n} 0=1.0$; \% Refractive index of ambient
$\mathrm{ns}=1.52 ; \%$ Refractive index of transparent spherical particle
lambda $0=532 \mathrm{e}-9 ; \%$ Laser wavelength -m
velAxialinit=5; \% Initial axial velocity - m/sec
velRadialinit=0; \% Initial radial velocity - m/sec
finaltime $=0.0005 ; \%$ Simulation duration -sec $\mathrm{dt}=0.00005 ; \%$ Simulation time step density $=2500 ; \%$ Particle density $-\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$
$m=$ density* $(4 / 3)^{*} \mathrm{pi}^{*} \mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 3 ; \%$ mass of the spherical particle -kg
$\mathrm{g}=9.8 ; \% \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2$
ForceWeight $=\mathrm{m}$ *g;
eta $=1.82 \mathrm{e}-6 ; \%$ Medium dynamic viscosity $-\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{sec}$
drag $=6 * 3.14 *$ eta; \% drag due to viscosity
$\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{drag} * \mathrm{R} ; \%$ Spring constant due to drag
timeArrayCommon=zeros(1,(finaltime/dt)+2);
accelerationArrayAxial=zeros(1,(finaltime/dt)+2);
positionArrayAxial=zeros(1,(finaltime/dt)+2);
velocityArrayAxial=zeros(1,(finaltime/dt)+2);
accelerationArrayRadial=zeros(1,(finaltime $/ \mathrm{dt})+2)$;
positionArrayRadial=zeros(1,(finaltime/dt)+2);
velocityArrayRadial=zeros(1,(finaltime/dt)+2);
counterCommon=1; \% counter initialization positionArrayAxial(counterCommon)=az;
positionArrayRadial(counterCommon)=ax;
velocityArrayAxial(counterCommon)=velAxialinit;
velocityArrayRadial(counterCommon)=velRadialinit;
tic; \% Simulation start time
for timeCommon=0:dt:finaltime
timeCommon
timeArrayCommon(counterCommon)=timeCommon;
ForceOneRZ=dblquad(@forceOneReflectedZ,0,2*pi, 0,pi/2);

ForceOneTZ=dblquad(@forceOneTransmittedZ,0,2* pi,0,pi/2);

ForceTwoRZ=dblquad(@forceTwoReflectedZ,0,2*pi ,0,pi/2);

ForceTwoTZ=dblquad(@forceTwoTransmittedZ,0,2* pi,0,pi/2);

ForceOneRR=dblquad(@forceOneReflectedR,0,2*pi, 0,pi/2);

ForceOneTR=dblquad(@forceOneTransmittedR,0,2* pi,0,pi/2);

ForceTwoRR=dblquad(@forceTwoReflectedR,0,2*pi ,0,pi/2);

ForceTwoTR=dblquad(@forceTwoTransmittedR, 0,2 *pi,0,pi/2);

ForceDragAxial=-
$k^{*}$ velocityArrayAxial(counterCommon); \%Dragforce in axial direction

ForceDragRadial=-
$k^{*}$ velocityArrayRadial(counterCommon);\%Dragforce radial direction

ForceAxialNet=ForceOneRZ+ForceOneTZ+ForceTw oRZ+ForceTwoTZ+ForceDragAxialForceWeight;
if (positionArrayRadial(counterCommon)==0) ForceRadialNet=ForceDragRadial;
else

ForceRadialNet=ForceOneRR+ForceOneTR+ForceT woRR+ForceTwoTR+ ForceDragRadial; end
\% Beginning of Velocity Verlet Algorithm
accelerationArrayAxial(counterCommon)=ForceAxia 1Net/m;
accelerationArrayRadial(counterCommon)=ForceRad ialNet/m;

```
VelAxialHalfTimeStep=velocityArrayAxial(counterC ommon)+0.5*
accelerationArrayAxial(counterCommon)*dt;
```

positionArrayAxial(counterCommon+1)=positionArr ayAxial(counterCommon)+

VelAxialHalfTimeStep*dt;

## VelRadialHalfTimeStep=velocityArrayRadial(counte rCommon) $+0.5^{*}$ accelerationArrayRadial(counterCommon)*dt;

positionArrayRadial(counterCommon +1 )=positionAr rayRadial(counterCommon)+

1*VelRadialHalfTimeStep*dt;
az=positionArrayAxial(counterCommon +1 ); ax $=$ positionArrayRadial(counterCommon+1);

ForceOneRZ=dblquad(@forceOneReflectedZ,0,2*pi, 0,pi/2);

ForceOneTZ=dblquad(@forceOneTransmittedZ,0,2* pi,0,pi/2);

ForceTwoRZ=dblquad(@forceTwoReflectedZ,0,2*pi ,0,pi/2);

ForceTwoTZ=dblquad(@forceTwoTransmittedZ,0,2* pi,0,pi/2);

ForceOneRR=dblquad(@forceOneReflectedR,0,2*pi, 0,pi/2);

ForceOneTR=dblquad(@forceOneTransmittedR,0,2* pi,0,pi/2);

ForceTwoRR=dblquad(@forceTwoReflectedR,0,2*pi ,0,pi/2);

ForceTwoTR=dblquad(@forceTwoTransmittedR,0,2
*pi,0,pi/2);
ForceDragAxial=-k*VelAxialHalfTimeStep;
ForceDragRadial=-k*VelRadialHalfTimeStep;

ForceAxialNet=ForceOneRZ+ForceOneTZ+ForceTw oRZ+ForceTwoTZ+

ForceDragAxial-ForceWeight;
if (positionArrayRadial(counterCommon+1)==0)
ForceRadialNet=ForceDragRadial; else

ForceRadialNet=ForceOneRR+ForceOneTR+ForceT woRR+ForceTwoTR+

ForceDragRadial; end
accelerationArrayAxial(counterCommon+1)=ForceA xialNet/m;
accelerationArrayRadial(counterCommon+1)=ForceR adialNet/m;
velocityArrayAxial(counterCommon+1)=VelAxialHa lfTimeStep+0.5*
accelerationArrayAxial(counterCommon +1 ) ${ }^{*} \mathrm{dt}$;

```
velocityArrayRadial(counterCommon+1)=VelRadial
HalfTimeStep+0.5*
    accelerationArrayRadial(counterCommon+1)*dt;
    % End of Velocity Verlet Algorithm
    counterCommon=counterCommon+1;
end
toc; % Simulation end time
timeArrayCommon(counterCommon)=timeCommon
+dt;
```

figure,plot(positionArrayRadial,positionArrayAxial), xlabel('RadialPosition (m)'),
ylabel('Axial Position (m)'),title('Axial Position, z (m)
vs Radial Position, r (m)');
figure,plot(timeArrayCommon,positionArrayRadial), xlabel('Time (sec)'),
ylabel('Radial Position (m)'), title('Radial Position, r (m)');
figure,plot(timeArrayCommon, velocityArrayRadial), xlabel('Time (sec)'),
ylabel('Radial Velocity (m/sec)'),title('Radial Velocity, Vr (m/sec)');
return
function $\operatorname{Ints}=\operatorname{Intensity}(\mathrm{ro}, \mathrm{z})$
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates the intensity of TEM00 Gaussian beam.
global W0
global P
global lambda0
$\mathrm{z} 0=\left(\mathrm{pi}^{*} \mathrm{~W} 0 * \mathrm{~W} 0\right) / \mathrm{lambda} 0 ; \%$ Rayleigh range
$\mathrm{Wz} 2=\left(\mathrm{W} 0^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}\left(1+((\mathrm{z}) / \mathrm{z} 0) .^{\wedge} 2\right) ; \%$ Square of beam width - (square of Eq. 11 in the text)
Ints $=\left(2^{*} \mathrm{P} . /\left(\mathrm{pi}{ }^{*}(\mathrm{Wz} 2)\right)\right)$.*exp(-2*(ro.^2)./(Wz2)); \% Intensity - see Eq. 1 in the text
return
function r1square=refCoefSquare(theta1, theta2)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates the power reflectance coefficient
\% See Eq. 9 of Gauthier et al. [7].
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
r1square $=\left(\left((\mathrm{n} 0 * \mathrm{~ns})^{\wedge} 2^{*}\left((\cos (\right.\right.\right.$ theta 1$)) .^{\wedge} 2-$
$(\cos ($ theta2 $\left.\left.)) . \wedge 2) .^{\wedge} 2\right)\right) /\left((\mathrm{n} 0 * \mathrm{~ns}) *\left((\cos (\right.\right.$ theta $)) .^{\wedge} 2+$
$(\cos ($ theta 2$)) . \wedge 2)+\left(\left(n 0^{\wedge} 2\right)+\left(\mathrm{ns}^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)^{*}(\cos ($ theta1 $) . * \cos (\mathrm{t}$
heta2))).^2;
return
function ro2=rho2(phi,theta1, ax)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates the radial distance $(\rho)$ of a point
$\%$ on the surface of the spherical particle from the beam's axis.
global R
\% Radial distance - see Eq. 9 in the text
ro2 $=\left((\mathrm{ax})^{\wedge} 2+\left(2 *(\mathrm{ax})^{*} \mathrm{R}^{*} \sin (\right.\right.$ theta1 $\left.) . * \cos (\mathrm{phi})\right)+(\mathrm{R} * \mathrm{si}$
$\mathrm{n}($ theta $)) . \wedge 2+\left(\mathrm{R}^{*} \sin (\right.$ theta 1$\left.\left.)\right) \cdot \wedge 2\right) .{ }^{\wedge}(0.5)$;
return
function $s=$ Snell(T1)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
\% Snell function
global n0
global ns
$\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{asin}((\mathrm{n} 0 / \mathrm{ns}) * \sin (\mathrm{~T} 1))$;
return
function F1rz=forceOneReflectedZ(phi,theta1)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates the axial force due to the 1 st reflected
\% photon-stream path (see Figure 8) given by Eq. 22
of Gauthier et al. [7].
global az
global ax
global R
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
$\mathrm{c}=3 \mathrm{e} 8$;
theta $2=$ Snell(theta1);
rhoTheta1Phi=rho2(phi,theta1,ax);
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{az}-\mathrm{R} * \cos ($ theta1);
F1rz $=(0.5 / \mathrm{c}) * \mathrm{n} 0 *(1+\cos (2 *$ theta 1$))$. Intensity(rhoThe ta1Phi,z).*refCoefSquare(theta1,theta2)*(R^2).*sin(2
*thetal);
return
function $\mathrm{F} 1 \mathrm{tz}=$ forceOneTransmittedZ(phi,theta1)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates the axial force due to the 1 st transmitted
\% photon-stream path (see Figure 8) given by Eq. 23 of Gauthier et al. [7].
global az
global ax
global R
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
c=3e8;
theta $2=$ Snell(theta1);
rhoTheta1 $\mathrm{Phi}=$ rho2(phi,theta1, ax);
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{az}-\mathrm{R} * \cos$ (theta1);
F1tz=(0.5/c)*(n0-ns* cos(theta1-
theta2)).*Intensity(rhoTheta1Phi,z).*
(1-
refCoefSquare(theta 1, theta 2$))^{*}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 2\right) . * \sin (2 *$ theta 1$)$; return
function F2rz=forceTwoReflectedZ(phi,theta1)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates the axial force due to the
2nd reflected
\% photon-stream path (see Figure 8) given by Eq. 24 of Gauthier et al. [7].
global az
global ax
global R
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
c=3e8;
theta2=Snell(theta1);
rhoTheta1 $\mathrm{Phi}=$ rho2(phi,theta1, ax);
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{az}-\mathrm{R} * \cos$ (theta1);
$\mathrm{F} 2 \mathrm{rz}=(0.5 / \mathrm{c}) * \mathrm{~ns} *(\cos ($ theta1-theta 2$)+\cos (3 *$ theta2-
theta1)).*Intensity(rhoTheta1Phi,z).*
(1-
refCoefSquare(theta1,theta2)).*refCoefSquare(theta1,
theta2)*
$\left(\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 2\right) .{ }^{*} \sin (2 *$ theta1 $) ;$
return
function $\mathrm{F} 2 \mathrm{tz}=$ forceTwoTransmittedZ(phi,theta1)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates the axial force due to the 2nd transmitted
\% photon-stream path (see Figure 8) given by Eq. 25 of Gauthier et al. [7].
global az
global ax
global R
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
c=3e8;
theta $2=$ Snell(theta1);
rhoTheta1Phi=rho2(phi,theta1,ax);
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{az}-\mathrm{R} * \cos$ (theta1);
$\mathrm{F} 2 \mathrm{tz}=(0.5 / \mathrm{c}) *(\mathrm{~ns} * \cos ($ theta1-theta2)-
$\mathrm{n} 0 * \cos \left(2^{*}(\right.$ theta1-theta2 $\left.)\right)$ ).*
Intensity(rhoTheta1Phi,z).*(1-
refCoefSquare(theta1,theta2)).*
(1-
refCoefSquare(theta1,theta2) $) *\left(\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 2\right) . * \sin (2 *$ theta1 $)$; return
function F1rr=forceOneReflectedR(phi,theta1)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates the radial force due to the 1st reflected
\% photon-stream path (see Figure 8) given by Eq. 34
of Gauthier et al. [7].
global ax
global az
global R
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
$\mathrm{c}=3 \mathrm{e} 8$; \% Speed of light $-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{az}-\mathrm{R} * \cos ($ theta1); \% Vertical displacement of a point - see Eq. 10 in the text
theta2=Snell(theta1);
rhoTheta1 $\mathrm{Phi}=$ rho2(phi,theta1, ax);
F1rr=-
$(\mathrm{n} 0 * 0.5 / \mathrm{c}) *$ Intensity(rhoTheta1Phi, z$). * \sin (2 *$ theta1).* refCoefSquare(theta 1, theta 2$)^{*}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 2\right) . * \cos ($ phi $) . * \sin \left(2^{*}\right.$ theta1);
return
function F1tr=forceOneTransmittedR(phi,theta1)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates the radial force due to the 1st transmitted
\% photon-stream path (see Figure 8) given by Eq. 35
of Gauthier et al. [7].
global ax
global az
global R
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
$\mathrm{c}=3 \mathrm{e} 8$;
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{az}-\mathrm{R} * \cos$ (theta1);
theta2 $=$ Snell(theta1);
rhoTheta1Phi=rho2(phi,theta1,ax);
F1tr=(ns*0.5/c)*Intensity(rhoTheta1Phi,z).*sin(theta1
-theta2).*
(1-
refCoefSquare(theta1,theta2))*(R^2).* $\cos (\mathrm{phi}) . * \sin (2$
*theta1);
return
function $\mathrm{F} 2 \mathrm{rr}=$ forceTwoReflectedR(phi,theta1)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
$\%$ This function calculates the radial force due to the 2nd reflected
\% photon-stream path (see Figure 8) given by Eq. 36 of Gauthier et al. [7].
global ax
global az
global R
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
$\mathrm{c}=3 \mathrm{e} 8$;
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{az}-\mathrm{R} * \cos ($ theta1);
theta2=Snell(theta1);
rhoTheta1Phi $=$ rho2(phi,theta1, ax);

F2rr=(ns*0.5/c)*Intensity(rhoTheta1Phi,z). ${ }^{*}\left(\sin \left(3^{*}\right.\right.$ th eta2-theta1)-sin(theta1-theta2))*
(1-
refCoefSquare(theta1,theta2))* refCoefSquare(theta $1, \mathrm{t}$
heta2)*
$\left(\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 2\right) . * \cos (\mathrm{phi}) . * \sin (2 *$ theta1 $) ;$
return
function F2tr=forceTwoTransmittedR(phi,theta1)
\% Written by Ufuk Paralı
\% This function calculates the radial force due to the 2nd transmitted
\% photon-stream path (see Figure 8) given by Eq. 37 of Gauthier et al. [7].
global ax
global az
global R
global n0
global ns
global lambda0
$\mathrm{c}=3 \mathrm{e} 8$;
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{az}-\mathrm{R} * \cos$ (theta1);
theta2=Snell(theta1);
rhoTheta1Phi=rho2(phi,theta1,ax);
F2tr=(0.5/c)*Intensity(rhoTheta1Phi, z$)$. ${ }^{*}(\mathrm{n} 0 * \sin (2 *(\mathrm{t}$
heta1-theta2))-ns*
$\sin ($ theta1-theta2)).*(1-
refCoefSquare(theta1,theta2)).*
(1-
refCoefSquare(theta1,theta2))*(R^2).* $\cos (\mathrm{phi}) . * \sin (2$
*theta1);
return
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