

Effects of Different Doses of Biochar Applications on Yield and Nutrient Element Concentrations on Wheat Grown under Salt Stress

Halil Gökten Demirbaş^{1,a}, Osman Sönmez^{1,b}, Ahmet Demirbaş^{2,c}, Fatma Nur Kılıç^{1,d,*}

¹ Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Türkiye

² Department of Plant and Animal Production, Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Türkiye

*Corresponding author

Research Article

History

Received: 12/06/2024

Accepted: 23/09/2024



This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

ABSTRACT

In the study, the effects of different doses of biochar applications on the yield and nutrient uptake of wheat grown under salt stress in greenhouse conditions were investigated. The study was conducted in 2 kg capacity plastic pots with three replications using a random plot design. In the study, salt doses were applied as 0 dS m⁻¹, 6 dS m⁻¹ and 12 dS m⁻¹ (in the form of NaCl), and biochar doses (BC) were applied as 0%, 0.5%, 1% and 2% W/W. At the end of the study, the dry matter yield of wheat plant and sodium (Na), nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), zinc (Zn), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe) and copper (Cu) concentrations were determined. Study results showed that BC applications increased the dry matter production of the plant, and the highest was obtained with 2% BC application at 6 dS m⁻¹ salt dose, 1.85 g pot⁻¹. However, due to increasing salt doses, BC applications had no effect on the phosphorus and potassium concentrations of the wheat plant, except for calcium, and decreases were determined in the average values. In the study, although all BC applications increased iron, zinc, manganese and copper concentrations compared to the control, when evaluated in terms of average values, decreases were detected in the microelement concentrations of the plant due to increasing salt doses.

Keywords: Abiotic stress, Biochar soil conditioner, Salinity mitigation, Wheat crop resilience, Enhanced nutrient availability.

^a tdastan@erciyes.edu.tr

^b <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-0195-7703>

^c ademirbas@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

^d <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2523-7322>

^e osmansonmez@erciyes.edu.tr

^f <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9134-6466>

^g fatmanur@erciyes.edu.tr

^h <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3498-2455>

Introduction

The salinity problem in agricultural production areas leads to soil degradation on one hand and yield losses in plant production on the other. Saline soils are generally found predominantly in arid and semi-arid regions. The use of low quality irrigation water in agricultural areas, inappropriate irrigation methods and inadequate drainage conditions cause the salinity problem to increase day by day. It has been reported that the electrical conductivity of saline soils is higher than 4 mmhos / cm and the percentage of exchangeable sodium (Na) is less than 15 [1]. In general, it is known that the dominant salt form in soils is NaCl and that the highest crop yield losses are caused by this salt. More than 800 million hectares of land worldwide face both salinity and alkalinity problems [2]. In Türkiye, it has been reported that there is a salinity problem in an area of 1.5 million hectares [3]. There may be significant changes in the morphology of plants grown under salt stress. Accordingly, salinity retards the growth of plants, causing leaf appearance to be small and dull bluish-green, and shoot growth generally declines more than root growth [4]. It has been reported that the first symptoms of salinity-related toxicity in plants growing in saline areas are chlorosis, which starts from the tips of old leaves and progresses to the leaf blade and stem, and then turns into necrosis [5].

Biochar is produced by heating plant biomass in the absence of oxygen and is a relatively new term that has

emerged in relation to issues of soil management and carbon (C) enrichment [6]. The production and application of biochar to the soil is a new process in order to limit the risk of CO₂ return in the atmosphere and reduce the CO₂ storage in the long term [6]. Carbon-rich materials obtained by heating various biomass such as wood, animal manure and leaves in a closed environment where there is little or no oxygen are called biochar. In a more technical sense, the material produced by the exchange/pyrolysis of organic materials in the presence of a limited amount of oxygen and at relatively low temperatures (<700 °C) is called biochar [7]. It is an important issue to investigate this valuable product called biochar in terms of different application areas due to its high surface area and porous structure, especially in improving soil quality and removing pollution [8]. Due to the recalcitrant nature of biochar (obtained from charcoal or biomass) in reducing greenhouse gas emissions, there has been growing interest in its use as a soil amendment [9]. Recently, studies on biochar have increasingly focused on its effectiveness in enhancing both the sustainability and productivity of agricultural systems [10-11]. In this study, the effects of different doses of biochar obtained from peanut shells on the yield and nutrient uptake of Kızıltan wheat variety grown under salt stress in greenhouse conditions were investigated.

Material and Methods

The greenhouse experiment was carried out in the greenhouses of Erciyes University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. The experiment was set up according to the random parcel design with three repetitions. The soil used in the experiment; It has a clay loam texture and slightly alkaline pH (7.98), low organic matter content (1.77%), salt-free (0.02%), medium calcareous (9.95%), low phosphorus concentration (56.8 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and low potassium concentration (987.1 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). Plastic pots were used in the experiment and 3000 g of soil was placed in each pot. In the experiment, as basic fertilization, 200 mg N kg⁻¹ (in the form of CaNO₃.4H₂O), 100 mg P kg⁻¹ and 125 mg K kg⁻¹ (in the form of KH₂PO₄), 2.5 mg Zn kg⁻¹ (in the form of ZnSO₄.7H₂O) and 2.5 mg Fe kg⁻¹ (in the form of Fe-EDTA) was applied to each pot. In the experiment, salt concentrations were applied using a NaCl source at 0 dS m⁻¹, 6 dS m⁻¹ and 12 dS m⁻¹ levels. 1/3 of each salt dose was initially mixed into the soil, and the remaining part was completed with irrigation water along with the outlets. Pure water was used for irrigation and care was taken to avoid water loss from the bottom of the pot. The Kızıltan wheat variety was utilized as the plant material. Initially, 12 wheat seeds were sown in each pot, and after germination, the seedlings were thinned to reduce the number to 8.

In the study, biochar obtained from peanut shells by slow pyrolysis and burning at 400 °C for 2 hours was applied as 0%, 0.5%, 1% and 2% w/w. Approximately 50 days after planting, the harvested plants were brought to

the laboratory and washed first with tap water, then with 0.1% N HCl, and then with pure water. The plant leaves, placed separately in a paper bag, were dried in an air circulation drying cabinet at 70 °C until they reached a constant weight. The samples, whose dry weights were determined, were ground in a plant grinding mill. 0.2 g of ground plant samples were weighed and burned in an acid mixture of H₂O₂-HNO₃ (2 ml of 35% H₂O₂ and 5 ml of 65% HNO₃) according to the wet combustion method in a microwave device, and the final volume was completed to 20 mL with pure water and filtered through blue band filter paper. From the obtained filters, phosphorus (P) concentration was measured colorimetrically in a spectrophotometer at 882 nm [12], potassium (K), calcium (Ca), sodium (Na), zinc (Zn), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe) and copper (Cu) concentrations were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer device (Shimadzu AA-7000) [13]. Nitrogen (N) determination was made according to the Kjeldahl distillation method [14]. Research findings and all measured variables were analyzed using the SPSS 20.0 for Windows package program for statistical analysis, and the differences between the means were determined with the Tukey test at a significance level of p < 0.05.

Results and Discussion

In the study, the dry matter production and Na concentration of the wheat plant are given in Table 1, the N and P concentrations are given in Table 2, the K and Ca concentrations are given in Table 3, the Fe and Zn concentrations are given in Table 4, and the Mn and Cu concentrations are given in Table 5.

Table 1. Effects of the applications on the dry matter production (g pot⁻¹) and Na concentration of the wheat plant (%)

Applications		Dry Matter Production (g pot ⁻¹)		Na (%)	
0 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	1.23	±0.01 ef	1.68	±0.35 g
	0.5 % BC	1.61	±0.06 bc	2.28	±0.02 fg
	1% BC	1.73	±0.04 ab	2.66	±0.16 fg
	2% BC	1.73	±0.08 ab	3.55	±0.60 f
	Average	1.57		2.54	
6 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	1.24	±0.20 ef	14.05	±0.70 e
	0.5 % BC	1.42	±0.02 d	16.62	±0.32 c
	1% BC	1.48	±0.01 cd	16.12	±1.19 cd
	2% BC	1.85	±0.06 a	14.50	±1.87 de
	Average	1.50		15.32	
12 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	1.18	±0.04 e-g	27.56	±0.05 a
	0.5 % BC	1.14	±0.03 fg	27.09	±0.05 a
	1% BC	1.33	±0.02 de	24.67	±1.58 b
	2% BC	1.06	±0.11 g	25.86	±0.59 ab
	Average	1.18		26.29	

P<0.05

When Table 1 is evaluated in terms of the effects of the applications on the dry matter production of the wheat plant in the research, the highest dry matter production was obtained with 1.85 g pot⁻¹ in 2% BC application at 6 dS m⁻¹ salt dose. This application was followed by 1% BC and 2% BC applications at 0 dS m⁻¹ salt

dose, production at 1.73 g pot⁻¹. The lowest dry matter production was determined at 1.06 g pot⁻¹ and 2% BC application at a salt dose of 12 dS m⁻¹. Research results show that when moderate salt is applied, 2% BC application significantly increases the dry matter production of the plant compared to the control. In

addition, BC applications at all three salt doses generally increased the dry matter production of wheat plants compared to the control. In a similar study, [15] conducted a study to evaluate the potential of biochar and gibberellic acid (GA3) to reduce salinity stress in wheat. In this study, two different salinity levels (2.43 dS m⁻¹ and 5.11 dS m⁻¹) and eight different combinations (T1-T8) were used. The combinations applied in the trial are: T1 (control with 2.43 dS m⁻¹ EC), T2 (salinity stress with 5.11 dS m⁻¹ EC), T3 (10 mg kg⁻¹ GA3 and 2.43 dS m⁻¹ EC), T4 (10 mg kg⁻¹ GA3 and 5.11 dS m⁻¹ EC), T5 (0.75% biochar and 2.43 dS m⁻¹ EC), T6 (0.75% biochar and 5.11 dS m⁻¹ EC), T7 (2.43 dS m⁻¹ EC with 10 mg kg⁻¹ GA3 and 0.75% biochar) and T8 (10 mg kg⁻¹ GA3 and 0.75% biochar with 5.11 dS m⁻¹ EC). They reported that individual and combined applications of GA3 and biochar significantly (P<0.05) increased plant growth in saline conditions compared to the control. When the average dry matter production was evaluated in our research, it was determined that the dry matter production of the plant decreased as the salt doses increased. While the highest average dry matter production was determined at 0 dS m⁻¹ salt dose with 1.57 g pot⁻¹, the lowest average dry matter production was determined at 12 dS m⁻¹ salt dose with 1.18% g pot⁻¹.

When Na concentration was examined in the study, the highest sodium concentration was found in 0% BC

application at 12 dS m⁻¹ salt dose with 27.56% Na. When average Na concentrations were evaluated, it was seen that sodium concentrations increased as salt doses increased. In parallel with this increase, the dry matter production of the wheat plant also decreased. Contrary to our research results, [16] examined the effects of biochar and selenium nanoparticles (Se-NP) on the growth and biomass production of wheat irrigated with salt water in their study. In the study, biochar was used as 5% of the soil amount in 2 kg pots, 3000 ppm NaCl was applied 20 days after wheat emergence, and 30 ppm Se-NP was added 5 days after salt application. Plants were harvested after 55 days. The results showed that plants treated with the combination of NaCl, biochar and Se-NP accumulated 50% less Na in their leaves and significantly increased (P<0.005) growth and yield parameters. [17] reported that roots are the first organs to encounter salinity and that the decline in root growth is greater with salt stress compared to the green parts. The decline in shoot and root growth in question is due to osmotic stress in plants due to salt stress [18], ion imbalances and regressions in carbohydrate fixation [19]. Regressions in plant growth due to salt stress have been shown in different plant species, for example, wheat [20], rice [21] and corn [22].

Table 2. Effects of the applications on N and P concentration of the wheat plant (%)

Applications		N (%)	P (%)
0 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	4.44 ±0.02 ab	0.86 ±0.06 ab
	0.5 % BC	4.94 ±0.02 a	0.43 ±0.03 d-f
	1% BC	5.04 ±0.01 a	0.47 ±0.02 de
	2% BC	5.10 ±0.13 a	0.19 ±0.01 g
	Average	4.88	0.49
6 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	4.99 ±0.15 a	0.60 ±0.24 cd
	0.5 % BC	4.18 ±0.00 bc	0.60 ±0.00 cd
	1% BC	4.46 ±0.10 ab	0.26 ±0.06 fg
	2% BC	4.05 ±0.19 c	0.47 ±0.12 de
	Average	4.42	0.48
12 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	3.66 ±0.23 d	0.93 ±0.00 a
	0.5 % BC	3.58 ±0.28 de	0.69 ±0.09 bc
	1% BC	3.30 ±0.07 e	0.39 ±0.07 ef
	2% BC	3.23 ±0.25 e	0.18 ±0.03 g
	Average	3.44	0.55

P<0.05

The highest N concentration of wheat plant was determined in the application of 2% BC at a dose of 5.10% N and 0 dS m⁻¹ (Table 2). This application was followed by 1% BC application at 0 dS m⁻¹ dose, which is statistically in the same group (5.04% N). The lowest N concentration was determined in the application of 2% BC at a dose of 12 dS m⁻¹ with 3.23% N. In the study, while all BC doses at 0 dS m⁻¹ salt dose increased the N concentration of the plant compared to the control, BC applications at other salt doses did not have any effect and higher N concentrations were detected in the control applications. When average N concentrations were evaluated, the N concentration of the plant decreased in parallel with

increasing salt doses. Similarly, BC applications had no effect on the phosphorus concentrations of the plant. The highest P concentration was determined in 0% BC application at a dose of 12 dS m⁻¹ with 0.93% P. The lowest P concentration with 0.18% P was detected in 2% BC application at the same salt dose. In all three salt doses, the P concentration of wheat plants decreased compared to the control. [23] examined the effects of biochar and P-dissolving and salt-tolerant PGPRs (*Bacillus thuringiensis* or *Bacillus tropicus*) on wheat plants. For this purpose, saline soil was added to 6 kg pots and biochar and PGPR were applied at the rate of 1% of the soil amount. Research results revealed that applications increased

wheat growth and yield compared to the control group. In particular, significant improvements were achieved in the K/Na ratio, available P level and microbial biomass carbon in the soil; this led to an increase in the nutrient content

(N, P, K) in roots, shoots and grains. These findings indicate that the combined use of biochar and PGPR has potential benefits in wheat cultivation in saline soil conditions.

Tablo 3. Effects of the applications on K and Ca concentration of the wheat plant (%)

Applications		K	Ca
0 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	3.51 ±0.04 c	1.07 ±0.06 e-g
	0.5 % BC	3.96 ±0.05 b	0.85 ±0.06 gh
	1% BC	4.11 ±0.02 ab	0.85 ±0.11 gh
	2% BC	4.20 ±0.01 a	0.67 ±0.01 h
	Average	3.94	0.86
6 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	3.12 ±0.10 de	1.28 ±0.27 de
	0.5 % BC	2.95 ±0.04 ef	1.37 ±0.00 d
	1% BC	3.10 ±0.01 de	1.27 ±0.01 d-f
	2% BC	3.45 ±0.03 c	1.02 ±0.12 fg
	Average	3.15	1.23
12 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	3.22 ±0.14 d	1.85 ±0.05 c
	0.5 % BC	2.82 ±0.01 f	2.51 ±0.19 a
	1% BC	2.87 ±0.04 f	2.25 ±0.21 ab
	2% BC	3.00 ±0.25 ef	2.04 ±0.03 bc
	Average	2.98	2.16

P<0.05

In the study, the K concentration of wheat plants decreased due to increasing salt doses, as did N and P concentrations (Table 3). While BC applications at 0 dS m⁻¹ salt dose increased the K concentration compared to the control, BC applications at increasing salt doses were generally not effective and higher K concentrations were detected in control applications. In the study, the highest K concentration was determined in 2% BC application at 0 dS m⁻¹ salt dose with 4.20% K, while the lowest was determined in 0.5% BC application at 12 dS m⁻¹ salt dose with 2.82% K. When average K concentrations were evaluated, a decrease was observed due to increasing salt doses. [24] reported that excessive uptake of Na⁺ ion in plants under salt stress led to less uptake of K⁺ ion due to competition. Contrary to the K concentration, the calcium concentration of the plant also increased due to increasing salt doses. This situation is explained by the fact that Ca plays an important role in the selective transport of K to make the plant resistant to salinity in the presence of high Na. Consequently, under salt stress, the Ca uptake of plants increases in parallel with the sodium uptake [25-26]. Except for the 0 dS m⁻¹ salt dose, all BC applications at other salt doses increased the Ca concentration of the plant compared to the control. The highest calcium concentration was determined in 0.5% BC application with 2.51% Ca and 12 dS m⁻¹ salt dose, and the lowest calcium concentration was determined in 2% BC application with 0.67% Ca and 0 dS m⁻¹ salt dose. [27] in their study on the use of biochar (corn stalk and rice husk) and vermicompost (VC) improves the chemical structure of the soil and the physiological and biochemical properties of plants to relieve water stress of wheat plants in saline sodic soil, VC (4% W:W), biochar (1% W:W) and VC+biochar applications were made at 50%, 75% and 100% field capacity. As a result of the study, VC-biochar combined application followed by biochar application as a single application was significantly effective on relative water content, chlorophyll content, stomatal conductance, cytotoxicity, leaf K content in relation to nutrient uptake (N, P and K), while reducing oxidative

stress (P< 0.05) reported. Contrary to our study results, some researchers studied different plant species, such as wheat [28], sorghum [29], different pumpkin species, melon [30] and tomato [31] reported that there were decreases in Ca concentration due to salt stress. According to [32], Ca nutrition has an important role in maintaining good growth under saline conditions.

In the study, the highest Fe concentration was determined as 97.7 mg Fe kg⁻¹ in 1% BC application at 0 dS m⁻¹ salt dose, while the lowest was determined as 59.2 mg Fe kg⁻¹ in 0% BC application at 12 dS m⁻¹ salt dose (Table 4). In the study, although all BC applications at all three salt doses increased the Fe concentration of the plant compared to the control, when average Fe concentrations were examined, the Fe concentration of the plant decreased due to increasing salt doses. A similar situation applies to the Zn concentration of the plant. While Zn concentration increased with BC applications at all salt doses compared to the control, when average Zn concentrations were evaluated, decreases were detected in the Zn concentration of wheat plants due to increasing salt doses. The highest Zn concentration was detected in 2% BC application at 0 dS m⁻¹ salt dose, with 147.0 mg Zn kg⁻¹. [32] investigated the determination of the effects of Zn and salt applications on the shoot parts and some element concentrations of durum wheat in a soil with Zn deficiency. In the study, 3 different Zn doses (0, 5 and 10 mg Zn kg⁻¹) were applied and 4 different salt doses (0, 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5%) were applied during the stemming period of the plants. At the end of the research, they reported that the differences in the concentration of micronutrients in the salty conditions of the durum wheat plant may be due to the decrease in water use, especially with increasing salt concentration, and the interaction resulting from the imbalance in ion uptake.

Tablo 4. Effects of the applications on Fe and Zn concentration of the wheat plant (mg kg⁻¹)

Applications		Fe		Zn	
0 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	69.9	±5.37 c-e	132.3	±0.35 b-d
	0.5 % BC	86.3	±2.12 ab	137.2	±3.18 a-c
	1% BC	97.7	±2.96 a	144.1	±9.04 ab
	2% BC	76.7	±2.05 b-d	147.0	±0.42 a
	Average	82.6		140.1	
6 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	64.7	±2.19 e	102.7	±8.84 fg
	0.5 % BC	66.1	±5.16 de	115.9	±5.44 ef
	1% BC	78.6	±7.85 bc	129.2	±0.07 c-e
	2% BC	76.7	±1.98 b-d	118.4	±3.96 de
	Average	71.5		116.5	
12 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	59.2	±1.41 e	78.6	±0.07 h
	0.5 % BC	63.2	±2.90 e	92.0	±1.27 gh
	1% BC	70.1	±13.51 c-e	96.4	±3.25 g
	2% BC	77.0	±6.51 b-d	94.2	±16.97 g
	Average	67.4		90.3	

P<0.05

Tablo 5. Effects of the applications on Mn and Cu concentration of the wheat plant (mg kg⁻¹)

Applications		Mn		Cu	
0 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	101.5	±2.19 de	13.8	±0.42 ab
	0.5 % BC	103.4	±0.21 cd	13.3	±0.07 a-d
	1% BC	143.4	±5.23 a	14.7	±1.63 a
	2% BC	114.6	±1.34 b	13.1	±0.00 b-e
	Average	115.7		13.7	
6 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	110.7	±3.75 bc	12.1	±1.06 d-g
	0.5 % BC	116.7	±2.62 b	11.7	±0.14 e-g
	1% BC	141.2	±0.64 a	12.4	±0.35 c-g
	2% BC	136.9	±6.01 a	12.5	±0.57 b-f
	Average	126.3		12.2	
12 dS m ⁻¹	0% BC	81.2	±0.78 f	11.1	±0.35 g
	0.5 % BC	95.4	±2.47 e	7.3	±0.92 h
	1% BC	101.3	±2.40 de	11.1	±0.28 fg
	2% BC	99.05	±7.28 de	13.7	±0.35 a-c
	Average	94.2		10.8	

P<0.05

In terms of Mn concentration, when Table 5 is examined, the highest concentration of 143.4 mg Mn kg⁻¹ was detected in the 0 dS m⁻¹ salt dose with 1% BC application. This was followed by the 6 dS m⁻¹ salt dose with 1% BC and 2% BC applications, which are statistically in the same group. The lowest Mn concentration was detected in 0% BC application at a salt dose of 12 dS m⁻¹ (81.2 mg Mn kg⁻¹). In the study, BC applications at all salt doses increased the Mn concentration of the plant compared to the control. When the average Mn concentrations were evaluated, the highest average Mn concentration of 126.3 mg Mn kg⁻¹ was determined in the 6 dS m⁻¹ application, while the lowest was determined in the 12 dS m⁻¹ application with 94.2 mg Mn kg⁻¹. In the study, it was seen that BC applications generally had no effect on the Cu concentration of the plant. BC applications at all salt doses did not provide a significant increase compared to the control. However, when average Cu concentrations were evaluated, it was

determined that Cu concentrations decreased in parallel with increasing salt doses. In various studies, some researchers have reported that the general effect of salt stress on plants is the toxic effect of ions such as Na and Cl. This toxicity, especially in the later stages of plant development, leads to a decrease in or toxicity of nutrient uptake and transport [33-34]. As a result of this effect, the yield and quality of the plant are adversely affected [35-36].

Conclusions

In the study, which aimed to determine the effects of applying different doses of biochar obtained from peanut shells to wheat grown under salt stress in greenhouse conditions on yield and nutrient uptake, it was found that dry matter production increased with biochar applications at all salt doses, but when examined in terms of average values, dry matter production decreased with increasing

salt doses. In the study, BC applications significantly increased the N concentration of the plant at the control dose of 0 dS m⁻¹, however, BC applications were not effective at increasing salt doses and control applications had higher N concentrations. BC applications had no effect on the P and K concentrations of the wheat plant, except for Ca, due to increasing salt doses, and decreases were determined in the average values. In the study, when the effects of BC applications at increasing salt doses were evaluated on the microelement concentrations of the wheat plant, although all BC applications increased Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu concentrations compared to the control, when evaluated in terms of average values, decreases were detected in the microelement concentrations of the plant due to increasing salt doses.

When the research results were evaluated as a whole, it was found that while biochar (BC) applications alone had an impact on the growth and development of the plant, the effect of BC applications on the growth and development of the wheat plant was limited when salt applications were made.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] U.S. Salinity Laboratory staff. Diagnosis and improvement of salina and alkali soils. U.S. Dept. Agric. Handb., (1954) 60.
- [2] FAO, FAO Land and Plant Nutr. Manag. Serv., Available at: <http://www.fao.org/ag/agl/agll/spush/>. Retrieved: June 14, 2024.
- [3] GDRS., (2011) General Directorate of Rural Services.
- [4] Greenway H., Munns R., Mechanisms of salt tolerance in nonhallophytes, *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, 31 (1980) 149-190.
- [5] Mer R.K., Prajith P.K., Pandya D.H., Pandey A.N., Effect of salts on germination of seeds and growth of young plants of *Hordeum vulgare*, *Triticum aestivum*, *Cicer arietinum* and *Brassica juncea*., *J. Agron. Crop. Sci.*, 185 (2000) 209-217.
- [6] Lehmann J., Gaunt J., Rondon M., Bio-char sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems—A review., *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change*, 11(2) (2006) 403-427.
- [7] Lehmann, J., Joseph, S., Biochar for environmental management: an introduction. In *Biochar for environmental management*, Routledge. (2015) pp 1-13.
- [8] Korkmaz H. E., Akgün M., Çelebi M. S., Korkmaz K., Fındık Zurufu ve Biyoçarımdan Üretilen Demir Nanopartiküllerinin (FeONP) Yaşlanmış Börülce Tohumlarında Çimlenme Üzerine Etkisi, *Akademik Ziraat Dergisi*, 12(Special Issue) (2023) 193-202.
- [9] Lorenz K., Lal R., Biochar application to soil for climate change mitigation by soil organic carbon sequestration, *Journal of Plant Nut. and Soil Sci.*, 177 (2014) 651-670.
- [10] Spokas K.A., Cantrell K.B., Novak J.M., Archer D.W., Ippolit, J.A., Collins H.P., Boateng A.A., Lima I.M., Lamb M.C., McAlloon A.J., Lentz R.D., Nichols K.A., Biochar: a synthesis of its agronomic impact beyond carbon sequestration, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 41 (2012) 973-989.
- [11] Kloss S., Zehetner F., Wimmer B., Buecker J., Rempt F., Soja G., Biochar application to temperate soils: Effects on soil fertility and crop growth under greenhouse conditions, *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 177 (2014) 3-15.
- [12] Murphy L., Riley J.P., A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters, *Anal. Chem. Acta.*, 27 (1962) 31-36.
- [13] Kacar B., Inal A., Plant analysis, Nobel Pres 1241 (2008) 891.
- [14] Bremner J.M., Total nitrogen Methods of soil analysis: part 2 chemical and microbiological properties, *Madison*, 9 (1965) 1149-1178.
- [15] Anwar T., Munwwar F., Qureshi H., Siddiqi E. H., Hanif A., Anwaar S., Kamal A., Synergistic effect of biochar-based compounds from vegetable wastes and gibberellic acid on wheat growth under salinity stress, *Scien. Rep.*, 13(1) (2023) 19024.
- [16] Soliman M.H., Alnusairi G.S., Khan A.A., Alnusaire T.S., Fakhr M.A., Abdulmajeed A.M., Najeeb U., Biochar and selenium nanoparticles induce water transporter genes for sustaining carbon assimilation and grain production in salt-stressed wheat, *Journal of Plant Grow. Reg.*, 42(3) (2023) 1522-1543.
- [17] Lazof D. B., Bernstein N., The NaCl induced inhibition of shoot growth: the case for disturbed nutrition with special consideration of calcium, *Adv. Bot. Res.*, 29 (1998) 113-189.
- [18] Munns R., Genes and salt tolerance: bringing them together, *New Phy.*, 167 (2005) 645-663.
- [19] Levitt J., Responses of plants to environmental stresses. Vol. II, 2 ed. Academic Press, New York, (1980) 607.
- [20] Aşıklı S., Tuz stresinin çeşitli *Triticum Spelta* Buğdaylarında Antioksidatif Enzim Aktiviteleri Üzerine Etkisi, Yüksek Lisans tezi, Ç.Ü. Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Toprak Bilimi ve Bitki Besleme Anabilim Dalı, (2017).
- [21] Moons A., Bauw G., Prinsen E., Montagu M.V., Van der Straeten, D., Molecular and physiological responses to abscisic acid and salts in roots of salt-sensitive and salt-tolerant *Indica* rice varieties, *Plant Phy.*, 107(1) (1995) 177-186.
- [22] Eker S., Cömertpay G., Konuşkan Ö., Ülger A. C., Öztürk L., Cakmak İ., Effect of salinity on Dry Matter Production and Ion Accumulation in Hybrid Maize Varieties, *Turk J. Agric. For.*, 30 (2006) 365-373.
- [23] Malik L., Sanaullah M., Mahmood F., Hussain S., Shahzad T., Co-application of biochar and salt tolerant PGPR to improve soil quality and wheat production in a naturally saline soil, *Rhizosphere*, 29 (2024) 100849.
- [24] Davenport R.J., Reid R.J., Smith F.A., Sodium calcium interactions in two wheat species differing in salinity tolerance, *Phys. Pla.*, 99 (1997) 323-327.
- [25] Clarkson D.T., Hanson J.B., The Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, 31 (1980) 239-298.
- [26] Epstein E., Genetic Engineering of Osmoregulation. Impact of Plant Productivity for Food, *Chem. and En.*, (1981) 7-21
- [27] Hafez E.M., Omara A.E., Alhumaydhi F.A., El-Esawi M.A., Minimizing hazard impacts of soil salinity and water stress on wheat plants by soil application of vermicompost and biochar, *Physiol. Plant*, 172 (2020) 587-602.
- [28] Ali A., Ahmed I., Zaman B., Salim M., Nutritional effect of calcium on growth and ionic concentration of wheat under saline conditions, *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 39 (2002) 1-7.
- [29] Netondo G.W., Onyango J. C., Beck E.I., Sorghum and salinity: Response of growth, water relations, and ion accumulation to NaCl salinity, *Crop. Sci.*, 44 (2004) 707-710.

- [30] Yetişir H., Uygur V., Plant growth and mineral element content of different gourd species and watermelon under salinity stress, *Turk J. Agric. For.*, 33 (2009) 65-77.
- [31] Turhan A., Seniz V., Kuşcu H., Genotypic variation in the response of tomato to salinity, *Afr. J. Biotec.*, 8(6) (2009) 1062-1068.
- [32] Marchner H., Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, Academic Press, (1995) 657-680.
- [33] Torun A.A., Gülmezoğlu N., Tolay İ., Duymuş E., Aytaç Z., Cenkseven Ş., Torun B., Çinko ve NaCl Uygulamalarının Makarnalık Buğdayın (*Triticum durum* Desf.) Kuru Madde Verimi ve Besin Elementi Konsantrasyonları Üzerine Etkisi, *Bahri Dağ. Bit. Araş. Der.*, 8(1) (2019) 1-10.
- [34] Korkmaz K., Akgün M., Kırılı A., Özcan M.M., Dede Ö., Kara Ş. M., Effects of gibberellic acid and salicylic acid applications on some physical and chemical properties of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) grown under salt stress, *Turk. Jour. of Agr.-Food Sci. and Tech.*, 8(4) (2020) 873-881.
- [35] Dinler B.S., Cetinkaya H., Akgun M., Korkmaz, K., Simultaneous treatment of different gibberellic acid doses induces ion accumulation and response mechanisms to salt damage in maize roots, *Jour. of Plant Bioc. and Phy.*, 9(3) (2021) 258.
- [36] Aha F. D., Özkutlu F., Tuzlu Koşullarda Bentonit Uygulamasının Makarnalık ve Ekmeklik Buğdayların Kuru Madde Verimi ve Mineral Besin Elementleri Üzerine Etkisi, *Ordu Üniversitesi Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi*, 13(1) (2023) 71-78.