ON PSEUDO-SYMMETRY CURVATURE CONDITIONS OF GENERALIZED (k, μ) -PARACONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS

SOURAV MAKHAL AND U. C. DE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate Ricci pseudo-symmetric and Ricci generalized pseudo-symmetric generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifolds. Besides this we characterize generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifolds satisfying the curvature conditions Q(S,R)=0 and Q(S,g)=0, where S,R are the Ricci tensor and curvature tensor respectively. Several corollaries are also obtained.

1. Introduction

The notion of paracontact geometry was introduced by Kaneyuki and Williams [16] in 1985. A systematic investigation on paracontact metric manifolds done by Zamkovoy [19]. Recently, Cappelletti-Montano et al [6] introduced a new type of paracontact geometry so-called paracontact metric (k,μ) space, where k and μ are constant. It is known [1] that in contact case $k \leq 1$, but in paracontact case there is no restriction for k.

The conformal curvature tensor C is invariant under conformal transformation and vanishes identically for 3-dimensional manifolds. Using this result several authors studied different types of 3-dimensional manifolds ([10], [11], [12]).

A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called locally symmetric if its curvature tensor R is parallel (that is, $\nabla R = 0$) and semi-symmetric if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

$$(1.1) R(X,Y) \cdot R = 0,$$

where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor and R(X,Y) is considered as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the manifold for tangent vector fields

Date: July 19, 2017 and, in revised form, October 4, 2017.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C05, 53D15.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifold, Ricci pseudo-symmetric manifold, Ricci generalized pseudo-symmetric manifold, Einstein manifold.

The first author expresses his sincere gratitude to CSIR-UGC, New Delhi, India for sponsoring the research work.

X, Y. A complete intrinsic classification of these manifolds was given by Szabo in [18].

A (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifold is called an Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor satisfies the condition $S = \lambda g$, where λ is some constant. We define endomorphisms R(X,Y) and $X \wedge_A Y$ by

(1.2)
$$R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z$$

and

$$(1.3) (X \wedge_A Y)Z = A(Y, Z)X - A(X, Z)Y,$$

respectively, where $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M), \chi(M)$ is the set of all differentiable vector fields on M, A is the symmetric (0,2)-tensor, R is the Riemannian curvature tensor of type (1,3) and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection. For a (0,k)-tensor field $T, k \geq 1$, on (M,g) we define the tensor $R \cdot T$ and Q(g,T) by

$$(R(X,Y) \cdot T)(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)) = -T(R(X,Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) -T(X_1, R(X,Y)X_2, \dots, X_k) \dots - T(X_1, X_2, \dots, R(X,Y)X_k)$$
(1.4)

and

$$Q(g,T)(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k, Y) = -T((X \wedge Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)$$

$$-T(X_1, (X \wedge Y)X_2, \dots, X_k)$$

$$\dots - T(X_1, X_2, \dots, (X \wedge Y)X_k)$$

$$(1.5)$$

respectively [17]. If the tensors $R \cdot S$ and Q(g, S) are linearly dependent, then M is called Ricci pseudo-symmetric [17]. This is equivalent to

$$(1.6) R \cdot S = fQ(g, S),$$

holding on the set $U_S = \{x \in M : S \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$, where f is some function on U_S . Also if the tensors $R \cdot R$ and Q(S, R) are linearly dependent, then M is said to be Ricci generalized pseudo-symmetric [17]. This is equivalent to

$$(1.7) R \cdot R = fQ(S, R).$$

Recently, 3-dimensional generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifolds have been studied by Kupeli Erken et al ([15], [14]). Kowalczyk [13] studied semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying Q(S,R)=0 and Q(g,S)=0, where S,R are the Ricci tensor and curvature tensor respectively. De et al. [9] studied Ricci pseudo-symmetric and Ricci generalized pseudo-symmetric P-sasakian manifolds.

The paper is organized in the following way:

In Section 2, we discuss about some basic results of paracontact metric manifolds. Next, we investigate Ricci pseudo-symmetric generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifolds. Section 4 deals with Ricci generalized pseudo-symmetric generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifolds. In Section 5 and 6 we study generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifolds satisfying Q(S,R)=0 and Q(S,g)=0, where S,R are the Ricci tensor and curvature tensor respectively.

2. Preliminaries

A (2n+1)-dimensional smooth manifold M is said to be has an alomost paracontact structure if it carries a (1,1)-tensor ϕ , a vector field ξ and a 1-form η satisfying [16]:

(i)
$$\phi^2 X = X - \eta(X)\xi$$
, for all $X \in \chi(M)$, $\eta(\xi) = 1$,

(ii) the tensor field ϕ induces an almost paracomplex structure on each fibre of $D=ker(\eta)$, that is, the eigendistributions D_{ϕ}^{+} and D_{ϕ}^{-} of ϕ corresponding the eigenvalues 1 and -1, respectively, have equal dimension n.

From the above conditions it follows that $\phi(\xi) = 0$, $\eta \circ \phi = 0$.

An almost paracontact structure is said to be normal [16] if and only if the (1,2) type torsion tensor $N_{\phi} = [\phi, \phi] - 2d\eta \otimes \xi$ vanishes identically, where $[\phi, \phi](X, Y) = \phi^2[X, Y] + [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y]$. If an almost paracontact manifold admits a pseudo-Riemannian metric q such that

$$(2.1) q(\phi X, \phi Y) = -q(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for $X,Y \in \chi(M)$, then we say that (M,ϕ,ξ,η,g) is an almost paracontact metric manifold. Any such pseudo-Riemannian metric manifold is of signature (n+1,n). An almost paracontact structure is said to be a paracontact structure if $g(X,\phi Y)=d\eta(X,Y)$ [19]. In a paracontact metric manifold we define (1,1)-type tensor fields h by $h=\frac{1}{2}\pounds_{\xi}\phi$, where $\pounds_{\xi}\phi$ is the Lie derivative of ϕ along the vector field ξ . Then we observe that h is symmetric and anti-commutes with ϕ . Also h satisfies the following conditions [19]:

(2.2)
$$h\xi = 0, tr(h) = tr(\phi h) = 0,$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X + \phi h X.$$

for all $X \in \chi(M)$, where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the pseudo-Riemannian manifold.

Moreover h vanishes identically if and only if ξ is a Killing vector field and then (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be a K-paracontact manifold. (k, μ) -paracontact manifolds have been studied by Calvasuso et al. ([3],[4], [5]) and Cappellaeti-Montano et al. ([7], [8]) and many others.

Generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifolds were studied by Murathan and Kupeli Erken in [15]. A generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifolds mean a 3-dimensional paracontact metric manifold which satisfy the nullity condition

(2.4)
$$R(X,Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY).$$

In a generalized $(k \neq -1, \mu)$ -paracontact manifold the following results hold ([2], [14]):

$$(2.5) h^2 = (1+k)\phi^2,$$

$$\xi(k) = 0,$$

$$(2.7) Q\xi = 2k\xi,$$

(2.8)
$$QX = (\frac{r}{2} - k)X + (-\frac{r}{2} + 3k)\eta(X)\xi + \mu hX, k \neq -1,$$

where X is any vector fields on M, Q is the Ricci operator of M, r denotes the scalar curvature of M.

$$(2.9) h qrad\mu = qrad k.$$

We recall the following:

Lemma 2.1. [14] Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifold with k > -1 and $\xi \mu = 0$. Then

- (1) At any point of M, precisely one of the following relations is valid: $\mu = 2(1+\sqrt{1+k})$, or $\mu = 2(1-\sqrt{1+k})$
- (2) At any point $P \in M$ there exists a chart (U, (x, y, z)) with $P \in U \subseteq M$, such that the functions k, μ depend only on the variable z.
- 3. Ricci pseudo-symmetric generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifolds

In this section we study Ricci pseudo-symmetric generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifolds, that is, the manifold satisfying the curvature condition $R \cdot S = fQ(g, S)$. Then we have from (1.6)

(3.1)
$$(R(X,Y) \cdot S)(U,V) = fQ(g,S)(X,Y;U,V).$$

It is equivalent to

$$(3.2) (R(X,Y) \cdot S)(U,V) = f((X \wedge_g Y \cdot S)(U,V)).$$

Using (1.7) in (3.2), we get

$$-S(R(X,Y)U,V) - S(U,R(X,Y)V) = f[-g(Y,U)S(X,V)]$$

$$(3.3) +g(X,U)S(Y,V) - g(Y,V)S(U,X) + g(X,V)S(U,Y)].$$

Substituting $X = U = \xi$, we obtain

$$-S(R(\xi,Y)\xi,V) - S(\xi,R(\xi,Y)V)$$

$$(3.4) = f[-g(Y,\xi)S(\xi,V) + g(\xi,\xi)S(Y,V) - g(Y,V)S(\xi,\xi) + g(\xi,V)S(\xi,Y)].$$

Applying (2.4) and (2.7) in (3.4), we get

$$(3.5) (k-f)[S(Y,V) - 2kg(Y,V)] + \mu[S(hY,V) - 2kg(hY,V)] = 0.$$

Putting hY for Y in (3.5) yields

$$(3.6) (k-f)[S(hY,V) - 2kg(hY,V)] + \mu(k+1)[S(Y,V) - 2kg(Y,V)] = 0.$$

Multiplying (3.5) by (k-f) and (3.6) by μ and subtracting the results we have

$$[(k-f)^2 - \mu^2(k+1)][S(Y,V) - 2kq(Y,V)] = 0.$$

Then either S(Y, V) = 2kg(Y, V) or, $(k - f)^2 = \mu^2(k + 1)$.

Case 1: Let S(Y, V) = 2kg(Y, V). Then the manifold is an Einstein manifold.

Case 2: Let $(k-f)^2 = \mu^2(k+1)$. Therefore $f = k \pm \mu \sqrt{1+k}$. Hence the manifold is of the form $R \cdot S = (k \pm \mu \sqrt{1+k})Q(g,S)$.

By the above discussions we have the following:

Theorem 3.1. A Ricci pseudo-symmetric generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifold is either an Einstein manifold or of the form $R \cdot S = (k \pm \mu \sqrt{1+k})Q(g, S)$.

Also we can state the following:

Proposition 3.1. Every Ricci pseudo-symmetric generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifold is of the form $R \cdot S = (k \pm \mu \sqrt{1+k})Q(g,S)$, provided the manifold is non-Einstein.

If the manifold is an Einstein manifold, then obviously the manifold is Ricci pseudo-symmetric. This leads to the following:

Corollary 3.1. A generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifold is Ricci pseudo-symmetric if and only if the manifold is an Einstein manifold, provided $f \neq k \pm \mu \sqrt{1+k}$.

4. Ricci generalized pseudo-symmetric generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifolds

This section is devoted to study Ricci generalized pseudo-symmetric generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifolds. Then we have $R \cdot R = fQ(S, R)$, that is,

$$(4.1) (R(X,Y) \cdot R)(U,V)W = f((X \wedge_S Y) \cdot R)(U,V)W).$$

Then using (1.6) in (4.1), we get

$$R(X,Y)R(U,V)W - R(R(X,Y)U,V)W - R(U,R(X,Y)V)W$$

$$-R(U,V)R(X,Y)W = f[S(Y,R(U,V)W)X - S(X,R(U,V)W)Y$$

$$-S(Y,U)R(X,V)W + S(X,U)R(Y,V)W - S(Y,V)R(U,X)W$$

$$+S(X,V)R(U,Y)W - S(Y,W)R(U,V)X + S(X,W)R(U,V)Y].$$
(4.2)

Putting $X = U = \xi$ in (4.2), we have

$$R(\xi,Y)R(\xi,V)W - R(R(\xi,Y)\xi,V)W - R(\xi,R(\xi,Y)V)W - R(\xi,V)R(\xi,Y)W = f[S(Y,R(\xi,V)W)\xi - S(\xi,R(\xi,V)W)Y - S(Y,\xi)R(\xi,V)W + S(\xi,\xi)R(Y,V)W - S(Y,V)R(\xi,\xi)W + S(\xi,V)R(\xi,Y)W - S(Y,W)R(\xi,V)\xi + S(\xi,W)R(\xi,V)Y].$$
(4.3)

Applying (2.4) and (2.7) in (4.3), we get

$$-k^{2}g(V,W)Y - \mu kg(V,W)hY - \mu k\eta(W)g(hV,Y)\xi$$

$$-\mu kg(hW,V)Y - \mu^{2}g(hW,V)hY + \mu k\eta(W)g(Y,hV)\xi$$

$$+kR(Y,V)W + \mu R(hY,V)W + \mu kg(hY,W)\eta(V)\xi - \mu k\eta(V)\eta(W)hY + \mu^{2}(k+1)\eta(V)g(Y,W)\xi - \mu^{2}(k+1)\eta(V)\eta(W)Y$$

$$+k^{2}g(Y,W)V + \mu kg(Y,W)hV + \mu kg(hW,Y)V$$

$$+\mu^{2}g(hW,Y)hV = f[-k\eta(W)S(Y,V)\xi - \mu\eta(W)S(Y,hV)\xi$$

$$-2k^{2}g(V,W)Y - 2k\mu g(hW,V)Y + 2kR(Y,V)W$$

$$+2k^{2}\eta(V)g(Y,W)\xi + 2k\mu g(hW,Y)\eta(V)\xi - 2k\mu\eta(V)\eta(W)hY$$

$$-k\eta(V)S(Y,W)\xi + kS(Y,W)V + \mu S(Y,W)hV + 2k^{2}\eta(W)g(V,Y)\xi$$

$$(4.4) + 2k\mu\eta(W)g(hY,V)\xi].$$

Taking inner product with T, we obtain

$$-k^{2}g(V,W)g(Y,T) - \mu kg(V,W)g(hY,T) - \mu k\eta(W)g(hV,Y)\eta(T)$$

$$-\mu kg(hW,V)g(Y,T) - \mu^{2}g(hW,V)g(hY,T) + \mu k\eta(W)g(Y,hV)\eta(T)$$

$$+kg(R(Y,V)W,T) + \mu g(R(hY,V)W,T) + \mu kg(hY,W)\eta(V)\eta(T)$$

$$-\mu k\eta(V)\eta(W)g(hY,T) + \mu^{2}(k+1)\eta(V)g(Y,W)\eta(T)$$

$$-\mu^{2}(k+1)\eta(V)\eta(W)g(Y,T) + k^{2}g(Y,W)g(V,T)$$

$$+\mu kg(Y,W)g(hV,T) + \mu kg(hW,Y)g(V,T) + \mu^{2}g(hW,Y)g(hV,T)$$

$$= f[-k\eta(W)S(Y,V)\eta(T) - \mu\eta(W)S(Y,hV)\eta(T) - 2k^{2}g(V,W)Y$$

$$-2k\mu g(hW,V)g(Y,T) + 2kg(R(Y,V)W,T) + 2k^{2}\eta(V)g(Y,W)\eta(T)$$

$$+2k\mu g(hW,Y)\eta(V)\eta(T) - 2k\mu \eta(V)\eta(W)g(hY,T) - k\eta(V)S(Y,W)\eta(T)$$

$$+kS(Y,W)g(V,T) + \mu S(Y,W)g(hV,T) + 2k^{2}\eta(W)g(V,Y)\eta(T)$$

$$(4.5) + 2k\mu \eta(W)g(hY,V)\eta(T)].$$

Let $\{e_i\}$, i = 1, 2, 3 be a local orthonormal basis in the tangent space T_PM at each point $p \in M$. Substituting $Y = T = e_i$ in (4.5) and summing over i = 1 to 3, we infer that

$$(4.6) \quad (1-3f)k\{S(Y,T)-2kg(Y,T)\} + \mu(1-f)\{S(hY,T)-2kg(hY,T)\} = 0.$$

Setting hY for Y in (4.6), we get

$$(4.7) \ \ (1-3f)k\{S(hY,T)-2kg(hY,T)\} + \mu (1-f)(k+1)\{S(Y,T)-2kg(Y,T)\} = 0.$$

Multiplying (4.6) by (1-3fk) and (4.7) by $\mu(1-f)$ and then subtracting the result, we have

$$\{(1-3f)^2k^2 - \mu^2(1-f)^2(k+1)\}\{S(Y,T) - 2kq(Y,T)\} = 0.$$

Then either S(Y,T) = 2kg(Y,T)or, $(1-3f)^2k^2 - \mu^2(1-f)^2(k+1) = 0$.

Thus we can state the following:

Theorem 4.1. A Ricci generalized pseudo-symmetric generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifold is an Einstein manifold, provided $(1-3f)^2k^2-\mu^2(1-f)^2(k+1)\neq 0$.

Now if we consider $\mu = 0$, then from $(1 - 3f)^2 k^2 - \mu^2 (1 - f)^2 (k + 1) = 0$, we infer $f = \frac{1}{3}$.

Thus we can state that

Corollary 4.1. A Ricci generalized pseudo-symmetric generalized N(k)-paracontact metric manifold is of the form $R \cdot R = \frac{1}{3}Q(S,R)$, provided the manifold is non-Einstein

Again if we consider f=0, then from $(1-3f)^2k^2-\mu^2(1-f)^2(k+1)=0$, we obtain

$$(4.9) k^2 - \mu^2(k+1) = 0,$$

which implies $(2k - \mu^2)(\xi k) - 2\mu(k+1)(\xi \mu) = 0$. Now by using (2.6) we have $\mu(k+1)(\xi \mu) = 0$. Taking account of $\mu \neq 0$ and k < -1, we have $\xi \mu = 0$. Hence using Lemma 2.1 we have the following:

Corollary 4.2. If a generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifold with k > -1 satisfy the curvature condition $R \cdot R = 0$ then at any point $P \in M$ there exists a chart (U, (x, y, z)) with $P \in U \subseteq M$, such that the functions k, μ depend only on the variable z and either $\mu = 2(1 + \sqrt{1 + k})$, or $\mu = 2(1 - \sqrt{1 + k})$ is valid.

5. Generalized
$$(k,\mu)$$
-paracontact metric manifolds satisfying $Q(S,R)=0$

In this section we study generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifolds satisfying the curvature condition Q(S,R)=0. Therefore

$$(5.1) (X \wedge_S Y) \cdot R)(U, V)W = 0.$$

Then using (1.7) in (5.1), we get

$$S(Y, R(U, V)W)X - S(X, R(U, V)W)Y - S(Y, U)R(X, V)W + S(X, U)R(Y, V)W - S(Y, V)R(U, X)W + S(X, V)R(U, Y)W$$

(5.2)
$$-S(Y,W)R(U,V)X + S(X,W)R(U,V)Y = 0.$$

Substituting $X = U = \xi$ in (5.2) yields

$$S(Y, R(\xi, V)W)\xi - S(\xi, R(\xi, V)W)Y - S(Y, \xi)R(\xi, V)W$$
$$+S(\xi, \xi)R(Y, V)W - S(Y, V)R(\xi, \xi)W + S(\xi, V)R(\xi, Y)W$$

(5.3)
$$-S(Y,W)R(\xi,V)\xi + S(\xi,W)R(\xi,V)Y = 0.$$

Applying (2.4) and (2.7) in (5.3), we get

$$\begin{split} -k\eta(W)S(Y,V)\xi - \mu\eta(W)S(Y,hV)\xi - 2k^2g(V,W)Y - 2k\mu g(hW,V)Y \\ + 2kR(Y,V)W + 2k^2\eta(V)g(Y,W)\xi + 2k\mu g(hW,Y)\eta(V)\xi - 2k\mu\eta(V)\eta(W)hY \\ -k\eta(V)S(Y,W)\xi + kS(Y,W)V + \mu S(Y,W)hV + 2k^2\eta(W)g(V,Y)\xi \end{split}$$

$$(5.4) + 2k\mu\eta(W)g(hY, V)\xi = 0.$$

Taking inner product with T, we obtain

$$\begin{split} -k\eta(W)S(Y,V)\eta(T) - \mu\eta(W)S(Y,hV)\eta(T) - 2k^2g(V,W)Y \\ -2k\mu g(hW,V)g(Y,T) + 2kg(R(Y,V)W,T) + 2k^2\eta(V)g(Y,W)\eta(T) \\ +2k\mu g(hW,Y)\eta(V)\eta(T) - 2k\mu\eta(V)\eta(W)g(hY,T) - k\eta(V)S(Y,W)\eta(T) \\ +kS(Y,W)g(V,T) + \mu S(Y,W)g(hV,T) + 2k^2\eta(W)g(V,Y)\eta(T) \end{split}$$

$$(5.5) +2k\mu\eta(W)g(hY,V)\eta(T) = 0.$$

Let $\{e_i\}$, i = 1, 2, 3 be a local orthonormal basis in the tangent space T_PM at each point $p \in M$. Substituting $Y = T = e_i$ in (5.5) and summing over i = 1 to 3, we have

$$(5.6) -6k^2g(Y,T) + 3kS(Y,T) - 2k\mu g(hY,T) + \mu S(hY,T) = 0$$

Putting Y = hY in (5.6), we get

$$(5.7) -6k^2g(hY,T) + 3kS(hY,T) - 2(k+1)k\mu g(Y,T) + \mu(k+1)S(Y,T) = 0.$$

Multiplying (5.6) by 3k and (5.7) by μ and then subtracting the result we have

$$(5.8) (9k^2 - \mu^2(k+1))\{S(Y,T) - 2kg(Y,T)\} = 0.$$

Then either $9k^2 - \mu^2(k+1) = 0$ or, S(Y,T) = 2kg(Y,T).

Thus we can state the following:

Theorem 5.1. If a generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifold satisfy the condition Q(S,R)=0, then the manifold is an Einstein manifold, provided $9k^2-\mu^2(k+1)\neq 0$

6. Generalized (k,μ) -paracontact metric manifolds satisfying Q(g,S)=0

In this section we investigate generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifolds satisfying Q(g, S) = 0. Therefore

(6.1)
$$(X \wedge_q Y \cdot S)(U, V) = 0$$

Using (1.6)in (6.1), we get

$$(6.2) -g(Y,U)S(X,V) + g(X,U)S(Y,V) - g(Y,V)S(U,X) + g(X,V)S(U,Y) = 0.$$

Substituting $X = U = \xi$, we obtain

$$(6.3) -g(Y,\xi)S(\xi,V) + g(\xi,\xi)S(Y,V) - g(Y,V)S(\xi,\xi) + g(\xi,V)S(\xi,Y) = 0.$$

Applying (2.4) and (2.7) in (6.3), we get

(6.4)
$$S(Y,V) - 2kg(Y,V) = 0.$$

This leads to the following:

Theorem 6.1. If a generalized (k, μ) -paracontact metric manifold satisfy the condition Q(g, S) = 0, then the manifold is an Einstein manifold.

References

- [1] Blair, D.E., Koufogiorgos, T. and Papatoniou, B.J., Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, Israel J. Math., **91**(1995), 189-214.
- [2] Calvaruso. G., Homogeneous paracontact metric three-manifolds, Illinois J. Math., 55(2011), 697-718.
- [3] Calvaruso, G. and A. Zaeim, A complete classification of Ricci and Yamabe solitons of non-reductive homogeneous 4-spaces, J. Geom. Phys, 80(2014), 15-25.
- [4] Calvaruso, G. and Martin-Molina. V., Paracontact metric structure on the unit tangent sphere bundle, Ann. Math. Pura Appl. 194(2015), 1359-1380.
- [5] Calvaruso, G. and Perrone, A., Ricci solitons in three-dimensional paracontact geometry, J. Geom. Phys, 98(2015), 1-12.
- [6] Capplelletti-Montano, B., Kupeli Erken, I and Murathan, C., Nullity conditions in paracontact geometry, Diff. Geom. Appl. 30(2012), 665-693.
- [7] Cappelletti-Montano, B., Carriazo, A., Martin-Molina, V., Sasaki-Einstein and paraSasaki-Einstein metics from (k,μ) -structure, J. Geom. Phys, **73**(2013), 20-36.
- [8] Cappelletti-Montano, B. and Di Terlizzi, L., Geometric structure associated to a contact metric (k,μ) -space, Pacific J. Math., **246**(2010), 257-292.
- [9] De, U.C., Han, Y. and Mandal, K., On para-sasakian manifolds satisfying certain Curvature Conditions, Filomat 31(2017), 1941-1947.
- [10] De, U.C., Deshmukh, S. and Mandal, K., On three-dimensional N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds and Ricci solitons, to appear in Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.
- [11] De, U.C. and Pathak, G., On 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 35(2004), 159-165.
- [12] Jun, J.-B. and Kim, U.-K., On 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds, Kyungpook Math. J., 34(1994), 293-301.
- [13] Kowalczyk, D., On some subclass of semi-symmetric manifolds, Soochow J. Math., 27(2001), 445-461.
- [14] Kupeli Erken, I., Generalized $(\tilde{k} \neq -1, \tilde{\mu})$ -paracontact metric manifolds with $\xi(\tilde{\mu}) = 0$, Int. Electron. J. Geom., 8(2015), 77-93.
- [15] Kupeli Erken, I. and Murathan, C., A complete study of three-dimensional paracontact (k, μ, ν) -spaces, arXiv: 1305.1511.

- [16] Kaneyuki, S. and Williams, F.L, Almost paracontact and parahodge structure on manifolds, Nagoya Math. J. 99(1985), 173-187.
- [17] L. Verstraelen, Comments on pseudo-symmetry in sense of R. Deszcz, in: Geometry and Topology of submanifolds, World Sci. Publication. 6(1994), 199-209.
- [18] Szabó, Z. I., Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X,Y) \cdot R = 0$ I the local version, J. Diff. Geom. 17(1982), 531-582.
- [19] Zamkovoy, S., Canonical connection on paracontact manifolds, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 36(2009), 37-60.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA, 35, BALLYGUNGE CIRCULAR ROAD, KOL- 700019, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: sou.pmath@gmail.com}$

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA, 35, BALLYGUNGE CIRCULAR ROAD, KOL- 700019, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: uc_de@yahoo.com}$