

Publisher: Sivas Cumhuriyet University

On Fixed Point Results for Generalized Contractions in Non-Newtonian Metric Spaces

Demet Binbaşıoğlu 1,a,*

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Tokat Gaziosmanpaşa University, Tokat, Türkiye.

Research Article	ABSTRACT
History Received: 11/10/2021 Accepted: 19/04/2022	The work of non-Newtonian calculus was begun in 1972. This calculus provides a different area to the classical one. Non-Newtonian metric concept was defined in 2002 by Basar and Cakmak. Then Binbaşıoğlu et al. had given the metric spaces of non-Newtonian in 2016. Also, they started to the fixed-point theory by defining some topological properties in non-Newtonian metric spaces. In this work, we give some fixed-point theorems and results for self-mappings satisfying certain conditions in
Copyright	the non-Newtonian metric spaces. Keywords: Fixed point, Non-Newtonian metric space, Contraction mapping, Generalized contraction mapping.
Sivas Cumhuriyet University	<i>Reywords</i> : Fixed point, Non-Newtonian metric space, Contraction mapping, Generalized contraction mapping.

22demet.binbasioglu@gop.edu.tr

Introduction

There exist too many studies on fixed-point theory in different spaces [1-12]. Also, there are many applications of the theory and mappings that meet certain conditions of contraction and have been a crucial area of different research works.

The non-Newtonian calculus is alternative to what is customary. The non-Newtonian calculus in various fields including information technology, fractal geometry, economic growth, finance, wave theory, quantum physics, in medicine for examples tumor therapy, cancerchemotherapy, in mathematics for examples functional analysis, differential equations, approximation theory, problems of decision making, and chaos theory has many applications. The non-Newtonian metric concept was defined in 2002 by Basar et al. and then Binbaşioğlu et al. gave the metric spaces of non-Newtonian in 2016. Also, they started to study on the fixed-point theory in non-Newtonian metric spaces.

In this work, we present fixed-point theorems and results for self-mappings satisfying certain conditions in the non-Newtonian metric spaces.

Preliminaries

We mention that some basic knowledge related to structure of non-Newtonian calculus.

Definition

A generator is called as an injective function from $\mathbb R$ to a subset of $\mathbb R$ [6].

Remark

Every generator generates an arithmetic. An arithmetic is generated by a generator [6].

Remark

Let us take the function $\beta \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $a \to \beta(a) = e^a = b$. If $\beta = \exp$, then the function generates the geometrical arithmetics [6].

Remark

Assume that the function β is a generator, i.e., if $\beta = I$, then β generates the usual arithmetic, where I is an identity mapping [6].

Definition

The β -integers are produced as follows;

 β -zero, β -one and similarly all β -integers are denoted as, ..., β (-1), β (0), β (1),

Let us take any generator β with range A. Then for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the operations β -addition, β -substraction, β -multiplication, β -division and β -order are defined as follows,

 $\begin{array}{l} a_{\dot{+}} b = \beta \{ \beta^{-1}(a) + \beta^{-1}(b) \}, \\ a_{\dot{-}} b = \beta \{ \beta^{-1}(a) - \beta^{-1}(b) \}, \\ a_{\dot{\times}} b = \beta \{ \beta^{-1}(a) \times \beta^{-1}(b) \}, \\ a_{\dot{-}} b = \beta \{ \beta^{-1}(a) \div \beta^{-1}(b) \}, \\ a_{\dot{-}} b = \beta (a) < \beta (b). \end{array}$

The set $\mathbb{R}(N) = \{\beta(a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$, is non-Newtonian real numbers set.

For $a \in A \subset \mathbb{R}(N)$, the β -square is described as $a \ge a$ and denoted with a^{2N} . The notation \sqrt{a}^N denotes $k = \beta \{\sqrt{\beta^{-1}(a)}\}$. The β –square is equal to a and which means $k^{2N} = a$.

During this work, a^{pN} denotes the concept of pth non-Newtonian exponent.

 $|a|_N$ denotes the β -absolute value for a number $a \in A \subset \mathbb{R}(N)$ defined by $\beta(|\beta^{-1}(a)|)$ and so

$$\sqrt{a^{2N}}^N = |a|_N = \beta(|\beta^{-1}(a)|).$$

Thus,

$$|a|_{N} = \beta(|\beta^{-1}(a)|) = \begin{cases} a, & \beta(0) \ge a, \\ \beta(0), & \beta(0) = a, \\ \beta(0) \ge a, & \beta(0) \ge a. \end{cases}$$

Let us take any $c \in \mathbb{R}(N)$. If $c \ge \beta(0)$, then c is called a positive non-Newtonian real number. If $c \ge \beta(0)$, then cis called a non-Newtonian negative real number. If $c = \beta(0)$, then c is called an unsigned non-Newtonian real number. Non-Newtonian positive and negative real numbers are denoted by $\mathbb{R}^+(N)$ and $\mathbb{R}^-(N)$ respectively [6].

Definition

Let us take $X \neq \emptyset$ and suppose that $d_N: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+(N)$ satisfies the following conditions for $a, b, c \in X$;

 $(\mathsf{NM1}) \, d_N(a,b) = \beta(0) \text{ iff } a = b, \\ (\mathsf{NM2}) \, d_N(a,b) = d_N(b,a), \\ (\mathsf{NM3}) \, d_N(a,b) {}_{\leq} d_N(a,c) \, {}_{\downarrow} d_N(c,b).$

Then d_N is a non-Newtonian metric on X. Also (X, d_N) is a non-Newtonian metric space [6].

Example

Assume that d_N is defined as $d_N(a, b) = |a \perp b|_N$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}(N)$, then $(\mathbb{R}(N), d_N)$ is a non-Newtonian metric space [6].

Main Results

Theorem

Let d_N be a non-Newtonian complete metric on X and c, d be positive integers. If a mapping $K: X \to X$ satisfies

$$d_N(K^ca, K^db) \leq k \leq d_N(a, b) \neq l \leq d_N(a, K^ca)$$

$$\downarrow m \geq d_N(b, K^db) \neq n \geq d_N(a, K^db) \neq p \geq d_N(b, K^ca)$$

for all $a, b \in X$, where k, l, m, n, p are non-Newtonian positive real numbers with $k \downarrow l \downarrow m \downarrow n \downarrow p \triangleleft \beta(1)$, l = m, n = p, then K has a unique fixed-point.

Proof

Take $a_0 \in X$, $t \ge \beta(0)$, we construct

$$a_{2t+1} = K^c a_{2t}, a_{2t+2} = K^d a_{2t+1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_N(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+2}) &= d_N(K^c a_{2t}, K^d a_{2t+1}) \\ &\leq k \cdot d_N(a_{2t}, a_{2t+1}) \downarrow l \cdot d_N(a_{2t}, K^c a_{2t}) \downarrow m \cdot d_N(a_{2t+1}, K^d a_{2t+1}) \\ &\downarrow n \cdot d_N(a_{2t}, K^d a_{2t+1}) \downarrow p(a_{2t+1}, K^c a_{2t}) \\ &\leq (k \downarrow l) \cdot d_N(a_{2t}, a_{2t+1}) \downarrow m \cdot d_N(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+2}) \downarrow n \cdot d_N(a_{2t}, a_{2t+2}) \\ &\leq (k \downarrow l \downarrow n) \cdot d_N(a_{2t}, a_{2t+1}) \downarrow (m \downarrow n) \cdot d_N(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Definition

A sequence (a_n) in a non-Newtonian metric space $X = (X, d_N)$ is non-Newtonian convergent if taken any $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}, a \in X$ there exists $\varepsilon \ge \beta(0)$ such that for all $n > n_0, d_N(a_n, a) \ge \varepsilon$ and it is shown with $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = a \text{ or } a_n \xrightarrow{N} a, n \to \infty$ [5].

Definition

A sequence (a_n) in a non-Newtonian metric space $X = (X, d_N)$ is non-Newtonian Cauchy if taken any $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in X$ there exists $\varepsilon \not \Rightarrow \beta(0)$ such that for all $m, n > n_0, d_N(a_n, a_m) \not \Rightarrow \varepsilon$. The non-Newtonian metric space (X, d_N) is non-Newtonian complete if every non-Newtonian Cauchy sequence is non-Newtonian convergent [5].

Remark

Let k, l, m, n, p be non-Newtonian positive real numbers with $k \downarrow l \downarrow m \downarrow n \downarrow p \triangleleft \beta(1), l = m, n = p$.

If $r = (k \downarrow l \downarrow n) \stackrel{\cdot}{\times} (\beta(1) \perp m \perp n)^{-1}$ and $s = (k \downarrow m \downarrow p) \stackrel{\cdot}{\times} (\beta(1) \perp l \perp p)^{-1}$, then $r \stackrel{\cdot}{\times} s \stackrel{\cdot}{\prec} \beta(1)$. If l = m then

 $\begin{array}{c} r_{\times}s = \\ \frac{k \downarrow l \downarrow n}{\beta(1) _ m _ n} \stackrel{\times}{\times} \frac{k \downarrow m \downarrow p}{\beta(1) _ l _ p} = \frac{k \downarrow m \downarrow n}{\beta(1) _ l _ p} \stackrel{\times}{\times} \frac{k \downarrow l \downarrow p}{\beta(1) _ m _ n} \stackrel{\times}{\times} \frac{\beta(1), \\ \beta(1) _ m _ n} \end{array}$

and if
$$n = p$$
 then

$$r_{\dot{\times}}s = \frac{k \downarrow m \downarrow n}{\beta(1) \lrcorner m \lrcorner n} \div \frac{k \downarrow m \downarrow p}{\beta(1) \lrcorner l \lrcorner p}$$

$$= \frac{k \downarrow l \downarrow p}{\beta(1) \lrcorner m \lrcorner n} \div \frac{k \downarrow m \downarrow n}{\beta(1) \lrcorner l \lrcorner p} \div \beta(1).$$

It implies that

So

 $\leq (\beta(1) \perp m \perp n) \times d_N(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+2}) \leq (k \downarrow l \downarrow n) \times d_N(a_{2t}, a_{2t+1}).$

 $d_N(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+2}) \leq r \leq d_N(a_{2t}, a_{2t+1})$, where $r = \frac{(k \neq l \neq n)}{\beta(1) \perp m \perp n}$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_N(a_{2t+2}, a_{2t+3}) &= d_N(K^c a_{2t+2}, K^d a_{2t+1}) \\ &\leq k \cdot d_N(a_{2t+2}, a_{2t+1}) \downarrow l \cdot d_N(a_{2t+2}, K^c a_{2t+2}) \downarrow m \cdot d_N(a_{2t+1}, K^d a_{2t+1}) \\ &\downarrow n \cdot d_N(a_{2t+2}, K^d a_{2t+1}) \downarrow p(a_{2t+1}, K^c a_{2t+2}) \\ &\leq k \cdot d_N(a_{2t+2}, a_{2t+1}) \downarrow l \cdot d_N(a_{2t+2}, a_{2t+3}) \downarrow m \cdot d_N(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+2}) \\ &\downarrow n \cdot d_N(a_{2t+2}, a_{2t+2}) \downarrow p \cdot d_N(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+3}) \\ &\leq (k \downarrow m \downarrow p) \cdot d_N(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+2}) \downarrow (l \downarrow p) \cdot d_N(a_{2t+2}, a_{2t+3}), \end{aligned}$$

implies that

 $d_N(a_{2t+2}, a_{2t+3}) \leq s \times d_N(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+2}),$

where $s = \frac{(k \downarrow m \downarrow p)}{\beta(1) \downarrow l \downarrow p}$.

Therefore, we get for each t = 0, 1, 2, ...

$$\begin{array}{c} d_{N}(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+2}) \leq r \times d_{N}(a_{2t}, a_{2t+1}) \\ \leq r \times s \times d_{N}(a_{2t-1}, a_{2t}) \\ \leq r \times (r \times s) \times d_{N}(a_{2t-2}, a_{2t-1}) \\ \leq \cdots \leq r \times (r \times s)^{tN} \times d_{N}(a_{0}, a_{1}), \\ d_{N}(a_{2t+2}, a_{2t+3}) \leq s \times d_{N}(a_{2t+1}, a_{2t+2}) \\ \leq \cdots \leq (r \times s)^{(t+1)N} \times d_{N}(a_{0}, a_{1}). \end{array}$$

So, for y < z we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{N}(a_{2y+1}, a_{2z+1}) \leq d_{N}(a_{2y+1}, a_{2y+2}) \\ &\downarrow d_{N}(a_{2y+2}, a_{2y+3}) \downarrow \dots \downarrow d_{N}(a_{2z}, a_{2z+1}) \\ &\leq & [r \geq \sum_{i=y}^{z-1} (r \geq s)^{iN} \downarrow \sum_{i=y+1}^{z} (r \geq s)^{iN}] \geq d_{N}(a_{0}, a_{1}) \\ &\leq & [\frac{r \geq (r \geq s)^{yN}}{\beta(1) \perp r \geq s} \downarrow \frac{(r \geq s)^{(y+1)N}}{\beta(1) \perp r \geq s}] \geq d_{N}(a_{0}, a_{1}) \\ &\leq & (\beta(1) \downarrow r) \geq [\frac{(r \geq s)^{yN}}{\beta(1) \perp r \geq s}] \geq d_{N}(a_{0}, a_{1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we deduced

$$\begin{aligned} &d_N(a_{2y}, a_{2z+1}) \leq (\beta(1) \downarrow r) \times [\frac{(r \downarrow s)^{y_N}}{\beta(1) \downarrow r \downarrow s}] \times d_N(a_0, a_1), \\ &d_N(a_{2y}, a_{2z}) \leq (\beta(1) \downarrow r) \times [\frac{(r \downarrow s)^{y_N}}{\beta(1) \downarrow r \downarrow s}] \times d_N(a_0, a_1), \\ &d_N(a_{2y+1}, a_{2z}) \leq (\beta(1) \downarrow r) \times [\frac{(r \downarrow s)^{y_N}}{\beta(1) \downarrow r \downarrow s}] \times d_N(a_0, a_1). \end{aligned}$$

For 0 < w < v, $d_N(a_w, a_v) \leq q_w$, where

 $q_w = (\beta(1) \downarrow r) \div [\frac{(r \lor s)^{\mathcal{Y}N}}{\beta(1) \downarrow r \lor s}] \dotplus d_N(a_0, a_1) \text{ with an integer part of } \frac{w}{2}.$

So $\{a_w\}$ is non-Newtonian Cauchy. Since (X, d_N) is non-Newtonian complete, there exists $x \in X$ such that

 $a_w \xrightarrow{N} x.$

For a non-Newtonian real number $0 \not\in \beta(e)$, choose $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_N(x, a_{2t}) \stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\underset{}{\overset{}{\beta(e)}}} \frac{\beta(e)}{\beta(3) \stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\underset{}{\times}}^A}, d_N(a_{2t-1}, a_{2t}) \stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\underset{}{\overset{}{\beta(e)}}} \frac{\beta(e)}{\beta(3) \stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\underset{}{\times}}^A}, d_N(x, a_{2t-1}) \stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\underset{}{\overset{}{\leftarrow}}} \frac{\beta(e)}{\beta(3) \stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\underset{}{\times}}^A}$$

for all $t \ge d_0$, where

$$A = max\{\frac{\beta(1) \downarrow n}{\beta(1) \lrcorner l \lrcorner p}, \frac{k \downarrow p}{\beta(1) \lrcorner l \lrcorner p}, \frac{m}{\beta(1) \lrcorner l \lrcorner p}\}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{N}(x, K^{v}a) &\leq d_{N}(x, a_{2t}) \downarrow d_{N}(a_{2t}, K^{v}x) \\ &\leq d_{N}(x, a_{2t}) \downarrow d_{N}(K^{w}a_{2t-1}, K^{v}x) \\ &\leq d_{N}(x, a_{2t}) \downarrow k \times d_{N}(x, a_{2t-1}) \downarrow l \times d_{N}(x, K^{v}x) \downarrow m \times d_{N}(a_{2t-1}, K^{w}a_{2t-1}) \\ &\downarrow n \times d_{N}(x, K^{w}a_{2t-1}) \downarrow p \times d_{N}(a_{2t-1}, K^{v}x) \\ &\leq d_{N}(x, a_{2t}) \downarrow k \times d_{N}(x, a_{2t-1}) \downarrow l \times d_{N}(x, K^{v}x) \downarrow m \times d_{N}(a_{2t-1}, a_{2t}) \\ &\downarrow n \times d_{N}(x, a_{2t}) \downarrow p \times d_{N}(a_{2t-1}, x) \downarrow p \times d_{N}(x, K^{v}x) \\ &\leq (\beta(1) \downarrow n) \times d_{N}(x, a_{2t}) \downarrow (k \downarrow p) \times d_{N}(x, a_{2t-1}) \\ &\downarrow m \times d_{N}(a_{2t-1}, a_{2t}) \downarrow (l \downarrow p) \times d_{N}(x, K^{v}x). \\ &d_{N}(x, K^{v}x) \leq A \times d_{N}(x, a_{2t}) \downarrow A \times d_{N}(x, a_{2t-1}) \downarrow A \times d_{N}(a_{2t-1}, a_{2t}) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta(e)}{\beta(3)} \downarrow \frac{\beta(e)}{\beta(3)} \downarrow \frac{\beta(e)}{\beta(3)} = \beta(e). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

 $d_N(x, K^v x) \leq \frac{\beta(e)}{\beta(y)}$ for every $y \in \mathbb{N}$. From $\frac{\beta(e)}{\beta(y)} \perp d_N(x, K^v x) \geq \beta(0)$ we have $d_N(x, K^v x) = \beta(0)$. This implies that $x = K^v x$.

By using the inequality,

$$d_N(x, K^w x) \leq d_N(x, a_{2t+1}) \neq d_N(a_{2t+1}, K^w x),$$

now we show that $x = K^w x$.

$$d_{N}(Kx, x) = d_{N}(KK^{\nu}x, K^{w}x) = d_{N}(K^{\nu}Kx, K^{w}x)$$

$$\stackrel{i}{\leq} k \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(Kx, x) \stackrel{i}{\downarrow} l \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(Kx, K^{\nu}Kx)$$

$$\stackrel{i}{\Rightarrow} m \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(x, K^{\nu}x) \stackrel{i}{\Rightarrow} n \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(Kx, K^{w}x) \stackrel{i}{\Rightarrow} p \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(x, K^{\nu}Kx)$$

$$\stackrel{i}{\leq} k \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(Kx, x) \stackrel{i}{\downarrow} l \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(Kx, Kx)$$

$$\stackrel{i}{\Rightarrow} m \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(x, x) \stackrel{i}{\Rightarrow} n \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(Kx, x) \stackrel{i}{\Rightarrow} p \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(x, Kx)$$

$$= (k \stackrel{i}{\Rightarrow} n \stackrel{i}{\Rightarrow} p) \stackrel{i}{\leq} d_{N}(Kx, x).$$

So x is a fixed-point of K.

We suppose that for some x^* , there exists another point $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* = Kx^*$. Thus, we have

$$d_N(x, x^*) = d_N(K^{\nu}x, K^{w}x^*)$$

$$\leq k \leq d_N(x, x^*) \neq l \leq d_N(x, K^{\nu}x)$$

$$\neq m \leq d_N(x^*, K^{w}x^*) \neq n \leq d_N(x, K^{w}x^*) \neq p \leq d_N(x^*, K^{\nu}x)$$

$$\leq k \leq d_N(x, x^*) \neq l \leq d_N(x, x)$$

$$\neq m \leq d_N(x^*, x^*) \neq n \leq d_N(x, x^*) \neq p \leq d_N(x, x^*)$$

$$\leq (k \neq n \neq p) \leq d_N(x, x^*).$$

Consequently, x^* is equal to x.

Theorem

Let d_N be non-Newtonian complete metric on X. If $K: X \to X$ satisfies

$$d_N(Ka, Kb) \leq k \times d_N(a, b) \downarrow l \times d_N(a, Ka)$$

$$\downarrow m \times d_N(b, Kb) \downarrow n \times d_N(a, Kb) \downarrow p \times d_N(b, Ka)$$

for all $a, b \in X$, where k, l, m, n, p are non-Newtonian positive real numbers with $k \downarrow l \downarrow m \downarrow n \downarrow p \downarrow \beta(1)$, then K has a unique fixed-point.

Proof

Since d_N is a non-Newtonian metric, the above inequality implies that

$$d_{N}(Ka, Kb) \leq k \leq d_{N}(Ka, Kb)$$

$$\downarrow \frac{l \downarrow m}{\beta(2)} \geq [d_{N}(a, Ka) \downarrow d_{N}(b, Kb)] \downarrow \frac{n \downarrow p}{\beta(2)} \geq [d_{N}(a, Kb) \downarrow d_{N}(b, Ka)]$$

If we substitute $K^{\nu} = K^{w} = K$ in the above theorem, we get the required result.

Corollary

Let (X, d_N) be a non-Newtonian complete metric space and v, w be positive integers. If a self-mapping K on X satisfies

for all $a, b \in X$, where k, l, m, n, p be non-Newtonian positive real numbers with $k \downarrow l \downarrow m \downarrow n \downarrow p \triangleleft \beta(1), l = m, n = p$, then K has a unique fixed-point.

Conclusion

In this paper, we use the concept of non-Newtonian metric space and present some new fixed-point theorems. We expect that our research results can offer a mathematical basis. In the future research, we will explore so concrete applications of the obtained results, here.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank the referee for valuable suggestions and comments which improved the paper considerably.

Conflicts of Interest

The author states that did not has conflict of interests.

References

- Alar R., Yigit Dakmaz E., Sola Erduran F., Gezici A., On Soft Fuzzy Metric Spaces and Topological Structure, *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, 9 (2018) 61-70.
- [2] Aslantas M., Some Best Proximity Point Results via a New Family of F-Contraction and an Application to Homotopy Theory, *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 23 (4) (2021).
- [3] Aslantas M., Sahin H., Sadullah U., Some Generalizations for Mixed Multivalued Mappings, *Applied General Topology*, 23 (1) (2022) 169-178.
- [4] Aslantas M., Sahin H., Turkoglu D., Some Caristi Type Fixed-Point Theorems, *The Journal of Analysis*, 29 (2021) 89-103.
- [5] Binbasioglu D., Demiriz S., Turkoglu D., Fixed-Points of non-Newtonian Metric Spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 18 (2016) 213-224.
- [6] Cakmak A. F., Basar F., Some New Results on Sequence Spaces with respect to non-Newtonian Calculus, J. Inequal. Appl., 2012 (2012) 228.

- [7] Cevik C., Altun I., Sahin H., Ozeken C. C., Some Fixed-Point Theorems for Contractive Mapping in Ordered Vector Metric Spaces, *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, 10 (4) (2017) 1424.1432.
- [8] Choudhary B., Nanda S., Functional Analysis with Applications. Newyork: John Wiley and Sons, (1990).
- [9] Grossman M. and Katz R., Non-Newtonian Calculus, Lowell Technological Institute (1972).
- [10] He X., Song M. and Chen D., Common Fixed-Points for Weak Commutative Mappings on a Multiplicative Metric Space, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2014 (2014) 48.
- [11] Sola Erduran F., Yigit Dakmaz E., Alar R., Gezici A., Soft Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *General Letters in Mathematics*, 3 (2017) 91-101.
- [12] Uzer A., Multiplicative Type Complex Calculus as an Alternative to the Classical Calculus, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 60 (2010) 2725-2737.